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ABSTRACT

Under contract to the Dallas Area Rapid Transit (DART), URS Corporation (URS) conducted a Historic Resources Reconnaissance Survey for the proposed Cotton Belt Corridor Regional Rail Project in Tarrant, Dallas, and Collin counties, Texas. The study was completed to assist DART in meeting their anticipated regulatory obligations under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and the Antiquities Code of Texas. The project consists of approximately twenty-six (26) miles of rail corridor from Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport (DFW Airport) to central Plano. An Area-of-Potential-Effect (APE) for historic architectural resources (buildings, structures, and objects built 1971 or earlier) was established in coordination with the Texas Historical Commission (THC) and defined as 175 feet (ft) from the centerline for existing Right-of-Way (ROW). For areas requiring new ROW, the APE was adjusted to 250 ft from the centerline (Appendix A).

Data was compiled to develop an environmental cultural context for the project area. A review of the Texas Historic Sites Atlas (THSA) database was undertaken to identify known cultural resources, previous investigations, and to assist in the development of a cultural and historical context for resources in the project area. The THSA database search yielded 28 known historic architectural resources within or near the project APE. Following the database search, a historic resources reconnaissance survey of the APE was completed resulting in the documentation of 68 historic resources. Of these, three resources are recommended individually eligible for inclusion in the NRHP (Resources 21, 44, and 45). Resources 21 and 45 are also recommended as contributing resources to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor, and Resource 44 (Addison State Bank), was previously designated a Registered Texas Historic Landmark (RTHL).
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CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

On behalf of the Dallas Area Rapid Transit (DART), URS Corporation (URS) completed a Historic Resource Reconnaissance survey for the proposed Cotton Belt Corridor Regional Rail project. The project consists of approximately 26 miles of predominantly existing track running in a northeasterly direction from north of Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport (DFW Airport) to central Plano. The project area passes through Tarrant, Dallas, and Collin counties and includes the cities of Grapevine, Coppell, Carrollton, Addison, Dallas, Richardson, and Plano (Figure 1).

The Cotton Belt Regional Rail alignment is designed for double tracking within the existing single track corridor. The alignment will have a 20-foot (ft) track center offset and a maximum design speed of 80 miles per hour with the majority of the horizontal alignment following closely to the existing freight track alignment. Lower design speeds were utilized in areas where existing constraints would not accommodate larger radius curves. The alignment will consist of at-grade, retained earth, retained cut sections, tunnel sections, and aerial structures. The majority of the project area runs along existing tracks through commercial and industrial districts, as well as residential developments. A more detailed description of the proposed project is provided in the following section.

The objective of this reconnaissance level survey was to locate, identify, and evaluate historic resource in the Area-of-Potential-Effect (APE) to fulfill requirements under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq., as amended), and the Antiquities Code of Texas. In coordination with the Texas Historical Commission (THC), the APE for this project is 175 ft from the centerline for existing Right-of-Way (ROW) and 250 ft from the centerline for areas requiring new ROW (Appendix A). The estimated date of construction for the Cotton Belt Corridor Regional Rail project is 2016; therefore, architectural resources constructed 1971 or earlier were included in this survey as historic resources. This date is based on a project letting date of 2016 minus 45 years to provide a five-year buffer that allows for unexpected delays in project planning.
Figure 1
Cotton Belt Regional Rail Corridor Project Location

- Cotton Belt Alignment
- South (Richardson) Alternative
- Cypress Waters Alignment

Source: ESRI Basemap US Topo
PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project lies within the following U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5 Minute quadrangle maps: Grapevine, Tex. [3297-444], Carrollton, Tex. [3296-333], Addison, Tex. [3296-334], Garland, Tex., and Plano, Tex. [3396-212]. The URS design team divided the entire project corridor into three separate, contiguous sections:

Section 1

The proposed Cotton Belt Corridor project assumes that the proposed Fort Worth Transportation Authority’s (The T) TEX Rail project extending from southwest Fort Worth to Terminal B at DFW Airport will be in place. The DART project would utilize the portion of the T’s project that extends northwest, on new ROW, from the DFW Terminal B Station to the DFW North Station located just south of the Cotton Belt Corridor. At this station the TEX Rail project turns to west on the Cotton Belt right-of-way, while the DART project turns to the east. Section 1 of the Cotton Belt project begins at the DFW North Station. DART’s project proceeds northeast and connects to the existing Cotton Belt freight track. It then heads east and crosses the Cottonwood Branch and its floodplain on an aerial structure and continues under International Parkway, under Interstate Highway (IH) 635 and crosses Royal Lane and Freeport Parkway at-grade. It continues east and crosses South Coppell Road at-grade and is on an aerial structure over Grapevine Creek. It is on retained fill until it crosses South Denton Tap Road, where it is a grade-separated aerial structure. The alignment then runs parallel to Belt Line Road and crosses Moore Road, Mockingbird Lane, MacArthur Boulevard, and Fairway Drive at-grade. The profile gradually ascends on retained fill to an aerial structure over two floodplain areas just west of the Elm Fork Branch of the Trinity River. The Section 1 alignment ends just before the Elm Fork Branch of the Trinity River.

This section includes the DFW Terminal B Station (to be constructed by the T) and DFW North Station (portions of which would be constructed by both transit agencies).

Cypress Waters Design Options:

Section 1 has two alternatives that deviate from the existing alignment in the vicinity of North Lake and the planned Cypress Waters mixed-use development.

- **Cypress Waters Southwestern Boulevard Alternative:** This alternative begins at South Coppell Road as a single track alignment on new location and veers to the southeast, generally following Southwestern Boulevard and relocated Belt Line Road. It is on an aerial structure over Grapevine Creek and is grade-separated at South Denton Tap Road with an aerial structure. The alignment then descends to at-grade and turns northeast to rejoin the existing Cotton Belt alignment at Moore Road.

- **Cypress Waters South Alternative:** This alternative begins at South Coppell Road as a single track alignment on new location and veers to the southeast, similar to the Southwestern Boulevard Alternative; however, this alternative is located south of Southwestern Boulevard and Belt Line Road. It is on an aerial structure over Grapevine Creek and is grade-separated at South
Denton Tap Road with an aerial structure. The alignment then descends to at-grade and turns northeast to rejoin the existing Cotton Belt alignment at Moore Road.

The Cypress Waters alternatives include the North Lake Station.

Section 2
Section 2 begins on a structure over the Elm Fork Branch of the Trinity River. It then continues to run just north of Belt Line Road and under the President George Bush Turnpike and crosses Luna Road at-grade. The alignment continues east, crosses the Hutton Branch of the Trinity River, then travels under IH 35E aerial bridges as well as under the DART Green Line aerial bridges. The station at the downtown Carrollton location will serve as the interface with the DART Green Line. East of the station, the alignment is on an aerial structure over the Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway (BNSF) freight tracks (Madill Sub). In downtown Carrollton, portions of the existing Cotton Belt and the existing Madill Sub would be realigned to facilitate grade-separation of the two rail corridors. The alignment continues east toward Addison crossing the intersecting streets at-grade. The alignment offset varies between 20 and 30 ft to eliminate impacts to existing storage tracks in the Addison industrial area. In Addison, the alignment is on an aerial structure over Midway Road but the freight track would remain at-grade. The Section 2 alignment ends at the southbound frontage road of the Dallas North Tollway.

This section includes the Downtown Carrollton Station and the Addison Transit Center Station.

Section 3
Section 3 begins just west of the Dallas North Tollway and remains grade-separated over the main lanes. It continues as double track and the centerlines are set at 16-ft track centers. The alignment crosses Knoll Trail Drive at-grade, crosses over White Rock Creek, and then traverses slightly to the northeast so the alignment does not impact the existing Preston Road bridge columns. At this point, three profile options will be considered through a 2.6 mile section between Preston Road and Meandering Way in the North Dallas area.

North Dallas Design Options
At-grade Alternative: This alternative would operate at-grade through North Dallas and will use bridges at the three creek crossings locally known as McKamy Branch, Osage Branch Crossing #1 and Osage Branch Crossing #2. The alignment will cross the roadways of Davenport Road (twice), Campbell Road, Hillcrest Road, McCallum Boulevard and Meandering Way at-grade.

Trench alternative: This alternative combines a maximum trench depth with walls and berms to maintain a 15-ft wall top over the rail with the use of culverts instead of bridges at the creek crossings of McKamy Branch, Osage Branch Crossing #1 and Osage Branch Crossing #2. The roadways of Davenport Road (twice), Campbell Road, Hillcrest Road, McCallum Boulevard and Meandering Way will be elevated over the rail alignment.
**Tunnel alternative:** This alternative proposes a 2.6 mile long tunnel section that starts west of Preston Road and ends west of Coit Road. It includes a 0.7 mile long west portal, a 0.3 mile long east portal, and a 1.6 mile long tunnel. The tunnel will be located entirely within a limestone rock formation. Generally, the tunnel runs parallel to and below an unnamed tributary to White Rock Creek, which splits into the McKamy Branch and the Osage Branch. The tunnel crosses under the creek three times at McKamy Branch, Osage Branch Crossing #1, and Osage Branch Crossing #2. The depth of overburden above the tunnel crown is between 20 and 40 ft. The shallow cover areas are near the portal structures and below the creek crossings.

After passing through the North Dallas area where the three options described above are being considered, the alignment will pass under grade-separated Coit Road. The alignment continues east and will cross Water Parkway, KCS Railway, Synergy Park Boulevard, Renner Road, and Custer Parkway at-grade. The track centers vary between 16 and 33 ft to allow the placement of the center platform station that is located between Waterview Parkway and KCS Railway.

The section includes the Knoll Trail Station, Preston Road Station, Renner Village Station (two options are being consider—either Dickerson Street or Coit Road) and University of Texas-Dallas/Synergy Station.

**Red Line Interface Design Options**

The final length of the Section 3 alignment begins at Alma Road and includes two alternatives.

**North Alignment Alternative:** The North Alignment Alternative follows the Cotton Belt track alignment, travels under the existing President George Bush Tollway Bridge, crosses over US Highway (US) 75, interfaces with the DART Red Line and travels east, terminating near Shiloh Road.

This alternative includes an at-grade 12th Street Station and Shiloh Road Station.

**South Alignment with Depressed Freight:** The South Alignment Alternative deviates from the existing alignment and veers southerly away from the President George Bush Tollway, crosses the floodplain and US 75 on an aerial structure, then turns north and descends to the President George Bush Turnpike Station and adjacent existing Red Line station. From this station, the alignment travels north and parallel to the DART Red Line. Near 12th Street, the alignment then takes a sharp right turn and ascends on aerial structure to an aerial 12th Street Station. In this area, the existing freight track would be depressed between 10th Street and N Avenue. K Avenue and Municipal Avenue will be reconstructed to cross the depressed freight track at-grade. The remainder of this section travels east on the existing Cotton Belt alignment terminating near Shiloh Road.

This alternative includes the President George Bush Turnpike Station, an aerial 12th Street Station, and the Shiloh Road Station.
CHAPTER 2. METHODOLOGY

Previous Documentation

Prior to fieldwork, a search of the Texas Historic Sites Atlas (THSA) was conducted to identify any known recorded historic resources within or near the APE, including Recorded Texas Historic Landmarks (RTHLs), State Antiquities Landmarks (SALs), National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) properties, historic districts, markers, and cemeteries. In addition, existing reports, records, maps, and aerial photographs were examined. Information collected during the records search was obtained from the following institutions:

- THSA;
- Reports housed at various agencies including URS;
- Online maps of the Texas State Library & Archives Commission;
- The Handbook of Texas Online; and
- The online records of the Tarrant, Dallas and Collin appraisal districts.

As a result of the records search, 28 known recorded historic resources were identified within or near the project APE (Table 1). Of those, only three are within the APE: the Addison State Bank (RTHL); Hilltop Memorial Park; and Plano-Old Cemetery. All three resources were included in the current survey and are discussed further in the Results section of this report.

Table 1. Previously Recorded Historic Resources, THSA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTY</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>DESIGNATION</th>
<th>Proximity to ROW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>752 South Coppell Road, Coppell</td>
<td>Residence at 752 South Coppell Road</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>457'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1038 South Elm Street, Carrollton</td>
<td>Gravley Hardware (1910)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>430'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1101 West Belt Line Road, Coppell</td>
<td>Boatwright House (1905)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>415'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1000 Denton Drive, Carrollton</td>
<td>Dr. Blackburn House (1900)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>538'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1011 Jackson Street, Carrollton</td>
<td>Residence at 1011 Jackson Street (1900)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>747'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1201 Carroll Avenue, Carrollton</td>
<td>Residence at 1201 Carroll Avenue (1900)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>1140'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>DESIGNATION</td>
<td>Proximity to ROW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1203 Carroll Avenue, Carrollton</td>
<td>Residence at 1203 Carroll Avenue (1900)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>1140'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1319 Walnut Street, Carrollton</td>
<td>Residence at 1319 Walnut Street (1915)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>645'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1101 Clint Street, Carrollton</td>
<td>Residence at 1101 Clint Street (1915)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>1282'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1501 Walnut Street, Carrollton</td>
<td>A.T. Stewart House (1915)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>1282'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1400 Rosemon Avenue, Carrollton</td>
<td>J.C. Davis House (1915)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>654'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1407 Rosemon Avenue, Carrollton</td>
<td>Residence at 1407 Rosemon Avenue (1910)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>654'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1208 Clint Street, Carrollton</td>
<td>Residence at 1208 Clint Street (1910)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>788'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1810 North Perry Road, Carrollton</td>
<td>Hilltop Memorial Park</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>Within APE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1805 North Perry Road, Carrollton</td>
<td>Perry Cemetery (1897)</td>
<td>Historic Texas Cemetery (2005); Historic Texas Marker (2005)</td>
<td>675'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1509 North Perry Road, Carrollton</td>
<td>Perry Homestead (1909)</td>
<td>Historic Texas Marker (1976)</td>
<td>275'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>4803 Broadway Street, Addison</td>
<td>Addison State Bank Building at 4803 Broadway (1905)</td>
<td>RTHL (surveyed 1984)</td>
<td>Within APE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>6800 McCallum Boulevard, Dallas</td>
<td>Residence at 6800 Block McCallum (1910)</td>
<td>no designation (date unknown)</td>
<td>745'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>17700 Frank Jackson, Dallas</td>
<td>Residence at 17700 Frank Jackson (1920)</td>
<td>no designation (date unknown)</td>
<td>917'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>17726 Frank Jackson, Dallas</td>
<td>Institutional Building at 17726 Frank Jackson (1915)</td>
<td>no designation (date unknown)</td>
<td>746'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>7659 Newt Drive, Dallas</td>
<td>Residence at 7659 Newt Drive (1920)</td>
<td>no designation (date unknown)</td>
<td>687'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>7700 Ronnie Drive, Dallas</td>
<td>Residence at 7700 block Ronnie (1920)</td>
<td>no designation (date unknown)</td>
<td>328'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>17727 Dickerson,</td>
<td>Commercial Building at</td>
<td>no designation (date unknown)</td>
<td>832'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>DESIGNATION</td>
<td>Proximity to ROW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>17727 Dickerson (1925)</td>
<td>unknown)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>17720 Dickerson, Dallas</td>
<td>Institutional Building at 17720 Dickerson (1925)</td>
<td>no designation (date unknown)</td>
<td>325'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>7758 Ronnie Drive, Dallas</td>
<td>Residence at 7758 Ronnie Drive (1930)</td>
<td>no designation (date unknown)</td>
<td>305'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>7759 Ronnie Drive, Dallas</td>
<td>Residence at 7759 Ronnie Drive (1925)</td>
<td>no designation (date unknown)</td>
<td>305'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>7767 Ronnie Drive, Dallas</td>
<td>Residence at 7767 Ronnie Drive (1910)</td>
<td>no designation (date unknown)</td>
<td>305'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>Avenue H &amp; I, 11th &amp; 12th Streets, Plano</td>
<td>Plano-Old City Cemetery, (1881)</td>
<td>Texas Historical Marker (1980)</td>
<td>Within APE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field Survey**

Fieldwork for the Cotton Belt Corridor Regional Rail project was conducted in November and December 2012, by an architectural historian who meets the Secretary of the Interior’s professional qualification standards. The objective of the field survey was to identify and document historic resource (buildings, structures, and objects built 1971 or earlier) within the project APE. During the field survey the project architectural historian noted the condition, materials, alterations, and other features for each resource. In addition, the resources were documented with digital photography.

**Archival Research**

Archival research for the proposed Cotton Belt Corridor Regional Rail project focused on both primary and secondary sources including county histories, previous cultural resource investigations, topographic maps, and historic aerial photographs. In addition, the Tarrant County Central Appraisal District (CAD), Dallas County CAD, and Collin County CAD records were searched to aid in providing information on dates of construction and modifications. For properties where a date of construction could not be obtained through the appraisal district or appeared to be inaccurate, a date was approximated according to the resource’s method of construction, materials, style, form, and design. The information gathered through the archival research and onsite observation provided data and information for developing a historic context and evaluating the NRHP eligibility of each recorded resource.
NRHP Eligibility Criteria

Identified historic resources were evaluated by applying the NRHP criteria of eligibility as presented in 36 CFR Part 60.4 [a-d]. In brief, to be considered eligible for inclusion in the NRHP, a resource must be 50 years of age or older and meet at least one of the four following criteria:

a. an associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of history; or
b. an associated with the lives of persons significant in our past; or
c. embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or represents the work of a master, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; or
d. has the ability to yield or potential to yield information important in prehistory or history [36 CFR § 60.4].

In addition, a resource must retain a certain level of integrity to be eligible for listing in the NRHP. The National Register defines seven aspects of integrity: (1) location; (2) setting; (3) design; (4) materials; (5) workmanship; (6) feeling; and (7) association.

The level of integrity required for NRHP eligibility is different for each of the four NRHP Criteria of Significance. If a resource is being assessed for significance because of its association with an event, then integrity of setting, feeling, and association are more important. If being assessed for significance as an example of design, then integrity of location, design, materials, and workmanship are more important. See National Register Bulletin: How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation (National Park Service [NPS] 1997) for a full explanation of how the criteria are applied.

NRHP Eligibility Criteria Considerations

Typically, certain types of resources are not usually considered for listing in the NRHP, including religious properties, birthplaces and graves, cemeteries, reconstructed properties, commemorative properties, and resources achieving significance within the past fifty years. However, a resource that falls within one of those categories can be eligible for listing in the NRHP if it meets one of the following Criteria Considerations in conjunction with one or more of the four standard NRHP criteria listed above.

a. a religious property that derives its primary significance from its distinctive art or architecture, or is historically important;
b. a moved property that is primarily significant for architectural value or it is the only extant property associated with an important historic person or event;
c. birthplace or grave site of a historical figure if the person is of transcendent importance, and if it is the only extant property directly associated with the person’s significance;
d. a cemetery that is primarily significant because it contains graves of transcendent importance, from its age, its design, or association with historic events;
e. reconstructed properties that are in a suitable environment and presented in a proper physical context and with a suitable interpretation in a master plan, and when it is the only surviving example of a property with the same associations;
f. a commemorative property that has in itself gained significance in design, age, symbolic value, or tradition; and
g. a property less than fifty years of age that is of exceptional importance.
CHAPTER 3. CULTURAL AND HISTORIC CONTEXT

This chapter serves to present the historic context for the DART Cotton Belt Corridor Regional Rail project, and was used as the framework for evaluating the NRHP eligibility of the historic resources within the project APE. The historic context developed for this project took into account the broader surrounding area of Tarrant, Dallas, and Collin counties, land usage, special organization of the built environment, and cultural trends. Significant historic themes identified within the project area are community development and early railroad development in North Texas.

**Tarrant County**

Tarrant County is located in north-central Texas. The county is made up of 898 square miles and is fed by the Trinity River, which flows from the northwest to the southeast across the county. The Clear Fork and the West Fork of the river drain the western half of the county and various smaller tributaries drain the eastern half (Hightower 2012).

The historic period of Tarrant County is broadly defined as the time when European-American settlement began on the continent. Although Spanish explorers and later French and European-American traders, trappers, and explorers traversed throughout Texas from the sixteenth century onward, the systematic European-American settlement of the project area did not begin until the establishment of the Peters Colony in August 1841. The Texas Land and Emigration Company of St. Louis (known as the Peters Colony) was an empresario grant received through a contract with the Republic of Texas. The land grant encompassed approximately 16,000 square miles in north Texas including modern-day Dallas/Fort Worth and the surrounding metropolitan area.

The population of Tarrant County rose dramatically during the 1850s. The 1850 census counted 599 white citizens and 65 slaves. While Fort Worth was abandoned as a military outpost in 1853, the majority of the settlers who had flocked to the area during the occupation of the fort remained after its abandonment. Buildings that were once part of the fort were converted into hotels, general stores, and doctor’s offices. The number of white occupants increased to 5,170 and the number of slaves increased to 850 by 1860. Following the growth in the population during the first years of the 1860s were the detrimental effects of the Civil War and Reconstruction. Over the decade between 1860 and 1870, the population decreased, dropping from 6,020 to 5,788. The construction of the promised permanent courthouse was put on hold, and common items from flour to fabric were rationed (Hightower 2012).

The 1870s saw periods of prosperity for Tarrant County. The cattle business brought economic growth to the area, as cattle drives ran through the county on their way north. Local merchants capitalized on the opportunity to showcase their goods. Railways also brought development to the area. The Texas and Pacific Railway (T&P) designated Fort Worth as its eastern terminus for the route to San Diego, California, in the early 1870s. The railroads had a significant economic effect in Tarrant County and north-central Texas, as they made the shipping of cotton and wheat possible. Subsistence crop farming, such as cultivation of corn and vegetables, decreased and was replaced with a rapid increase of cotton.
and wheat production. By 1890, the years of long cattle drives had ended, and more farmers moved to the area (Hightower 2012).

**Grapevine**
Grapevine, Texas is located along State Highway (SH) 121, 19 miles northwest of Dallas and 19 miles northeast of Fort Worth in Tarrant County. The area that eventually became Grapevine was settled in the late 1840s and early 1850s. The first store was opened by Archibald F. Leonard in 1849. Leonard was one of the Missouri Colonists, arriving in the area in 1845 from Platte County, Missouri. He and other settlers, including James Tracy Morehead and Henry Suggs, laid out the town and established a post office in 1854. By 1890 the town claimed 800 residents, three gristmills, four churches, three cotton gins, and a public school. Later that decade the *Grapevine Sun* newspaper began publication. By 1900 the St. Louis Southwestern Railway (SLSW, also known as the Cotton Belt Railroad) was extended through Grapevine, accelerating growth so that by 1914 the town had 1,200 residents and several businesses including grocers, hotels, and banks.

The population of Grapevine fell to 821 by 1925. Slowly, the town began to recover so that by 1940, the population had risen to 1,043 residents and the number of businesses had risen to 70. The town remained small, containing only 5,000 people, until the opening of the DFW Airport in 1974, which created immediate growth. In 1970, Grapevine’s population stood at 7,023 and by 1980 the population increased to 11,801. By 2000, Grapevine had 42,059 people (Payne and Fitzpatrick 1999; Young 2012).

**Dallas County**
Dallas County is located in north-central Texas and is bordered by Denton and Collin counties to the north, Ellis County to the south, Kauffman and Rockwell counties to the east, and Tarrant County to the west. Dallas County as a whole comprises 902 square miles of mostly flat, heavy Blackland Prairie soils. The County was included in the 16,000 square miles that the Texas Land and Emigration Company of St. Louis (known as the Peters Colony) received through a contract with the Republic of Texas.

Dallas County was formed by the Texas Legislature in 1846. It remained primarily rural and agricultural through 1920, although manufacturing and industries became more important to the County’s economy during this time period. Cotton production was at its peak in 1900; while wheat and oats had their largest crops in 1920. The year 1820 also saw the largest number of farms in the county.

The absence of rail slowed Dallas County’s growth. From 1843 to 1850 goods were shipped by road to the nearest markets of Houston, Texas, and Shreveport, Louisiana. The county was at the crossroads of two roads: the Military Road from Austin (south) to the Red River (north), which was completed in 1842, and Preston Road. Preston Road was laid out in 1840 by Colonel W.G. Cooke and the First Texas Infantry Regiment Texas soldiers. It served as a military road, beginning in Austin and terminating at the Coffee trading post, adjacent to a fort established by Captain William Preston. The road was part of the Central National Road of the Republic of Texas that had been authorized by the Eight Congress of the Republic of Texas (Cowling 1936:13). Between 1850 and 1870, the road was heavily utilized for freight, immigration, and as a trail for driving cattle (Dunn 2000:15). Preston Road remains a primary transportation route today, although it has been paved and designated as SH 289 (Dunn 2000:14-15).
By 1846 Dallas County approved building of roads to surrounding counties. In 1872 the first railroad The Houston and Texas Central Railroad (HT&C), was built through Dallas County from the south to the north and passed through Hutchins, Oasis, Wilmer, and Richardson. A year later the T&P ran through the county and many communities along the railroad prospered, including Coppell, Carrollton, Dallas, Addison, and Richardson (Maxwell 2012a).

**Coppell**
The area, including the current city of Coppell, was part of a land grant issued to James Parrish from Goliad in 1848 (Coppell Historical Society 2012). By 1873, the town of Gibbs was established, named after Texas State Senator and Lieutenant Governor Barnett Gibbs who was a large landowner in the area. The SLSW (the Cotton Belt) was constructed and had established a “Gibbs Station” stop in 1889. The name of the small community and the post office was changed to Coppell in 1892. The name probably comes from George Coppell, a businessman associated with the railroads and local pioneer. By 1893, the town had four stores, a lumber yard, cotton gin, blacksmith shop and a school. In the next four years, the Baptist and Methodist churches were built. After the turn of the century, in 1908, another school building was constructed to the west of the downtown area. In 1909, the First State Bank of Coppell and the Coppell Informer newspaper were established. The town and the county worked together to fund a public well and trough that was located in the downtown (Coppell Historical Society 2012).

By 1914, the town had reached a population of 450 and boasted two churches, two general stores, two blacksmiths, a bank, a hardware store and telephone service. In the early 1920s, Coppell saw a decline in population to less than half of the 1914 number. The local bank closed in 1924. By the end of the 1920s, the three community schools, Bethel, Coppell and Gentry, were consolidated into one Coppell school. By 1930 the population had risen again to 400, most of whom were farmers and their families (Coppell Historical Society 2012).

The Works Progress Administration (WPA) built a park at Grapevine Springs in 1936, located near the site where Sam Houston tried to negotiate the first Indian Treaty for the Republic of Texas. By 1940, Coppell had 10 businesses, a cotton gin, two churches and a school. The primary agricultural products produced in the area were cotton, wheat, peanuts, sweet potatoes and melons (Nall 2012).

By 1956, Coppell had grown enough to establish a city government, develop a city zoning plan and elect their first mayor, R.M. Johnson. The population reported in 1960 was 666 people with six businesses. The town was incorporated in 1962 with two square miles of land in the city limits (Coppell Historical Society 2012).

By the 1970s, the town had expanded north into Denton County and had constructed a new courthouse and the General Telephone Company building. When the DFW Airport opened in 1974, Coppell established a municipal utilities district. Coppell adopted a council-manager form of government and had a population of 2,500. The population continued to grow due to the location of the community. In 1984, the population was 3,826. Twenty years later, the population of the Coppell was almost 36,000 (Nall 2012).
Carrollton
Carrollton is located near IH 35 within Dallas, Denton and Collin counties. The site was in the original Peters Colony grant. The first settlers in the area were William and Mary Larner, who came to the area in 1842 followed by A.W. Perry and his family. Perry settled in the Trinity Mills area where he established a mill with Wade H. Pitt. Perry acquired extensive landholdings, which likely included the site of present-day Carrollton. The area extended into Denton County. It is believed that Carrollton is named for Carrollton, Illinois, where many of the settlers originated (Perez 2012).

Originally, Carrollton was an agricultural community but in 1881 with purchase and expansion of the unfinished rail line by the Missouri-Kansas-Texas (MKT) Railway, Carrollton soon became a shipping center for livestock, grain, cotton, and cottonseed. A gravel industry began in 1912 and by the 1940s, Carrollton was known as a “grain and gravel” town. Other industrial plants that were in operation 1950 included a brick factory and the National Metal Products, a manufacturer of metal utility cabinets and shelving (Perez 2012).

The first Baptist church in Dallas County was located near the present site of Carrollton. Around 1856, the Union Baptist Church became the site of the first community school. The post office was established in 1878. By 1885, with a population of 150 residents, the town was able to support cotton gins, flour mills, a school, and two churches (Perez 2012).

Around 1888, the Cotton Belt Railroad (formerly known as the SLSW) was constructed just north of the Carrollton Town Square and crossed the MKT tracks. A third railroad, the St. Louis-San Francisco Railroad or “Frisco” railroad was constructed in 1902. This line intersected the two earlier lines, thereby creating a unique rail junction that secured the future success and development of Carrollton. The City of Carrollton was incorporated on June 14, 1913 and by 1920 the city had a population of 573 and continued to grow and prosper. The population of Carrollton grew exponentially between 1970 and 1980 due to the Sun Belt boom; it increased 193 percent to 40,595. By 2010, the population of the city had grown to 119,097. As the population and available workforce grew, major industries moved to the city. These industries included auto-parts distribution, food packing, light manufacturing, and manufacturing of computers, semiconductors, and electric components. Although, primarily industrial, Carrollton still maintained a working cattle ranch within its city limits as of 1983 (Perez 2012).

City of Dallas
The initial settlement of Dallas was founded on the eastern bank of the Trinity River by John Neely Bryan in November of 1841. This land was also included in the 16,000 square miles that the Texas Land and Emigration Company of St. Louis (known as the Peters Colony) received through a contract with the Republic of Texas. The townsite was laid out in 1844 and in 1846, the Texas Legislature formed Dallas County. The town of Dallas became the temporary county seat and then the permanent county seat in 1850 (McDonald 1978:10). By the 1850s, the town included a newspaper, a hotel, dry-goods stores, brickyards and two livery stables (McDonald 1978:10).

By 1860, the population of the town had reached 678. However, the advent of the Civil War meant that economic growth in the town and surrounding area came to a standstill. Additionally, transportation in
the area was impacted: stagecoach schedules were interrupted, there was no maintenance or construction of new roads and railroad construction was halted for seven years (Quimby and Singleton 2008). During the 1870s, the City of Dallas began a decade of expansion and development. Anticipating the arrival of the railroad, Dallas extended its city limits to the east by one mile in 1871. That same year, the HT&C Railway announced plans to build a rail line near Dallas. The line would be located approximately eight miles east of the courthouse therefore bypassing the city. Captain William Gaston, along with many of local business leaders, donated $5,000 in cash and land for a right-of-way through Gaston’s property to entice the railroad to come through Dallas. (McDonald 1978:19) The first train pulled into town on July 16, 1872.

The T&P Railway announced that the railroad line would skirt Dallas, passing 50 miles to the south of the town. Once again civic leaders acted. They convinced their State Representative to attach a rider to the bill that granted right of way allotments to the railroads. The rider required the T&P to pass within one mile of Browder Springs (approximately one mile southeast of the courthouse). When the railroad realized what had occurred, they threatened to run their line south of the town. However, once again, business leaders donated land for the right of way, cash and bonds. On February 22, 1873, the T&P arrived in Dallas. The MKT Railroad was completed in 1873 and provided a link to St. Louis via the connection with the Houston T&P Railway line.

During the 1870s to the early 1900s, Dallas became one of the largest inland cotton exchanges in the country. Almost half of the state’s cotton acreage was located within a 100-mile radius of the city. The cotton was warehoused, traded and shipped through Dallas. Dallas also became the distribution center for farm and ranch equipment (Quimby and Singleton 2008).

The population of the city boomed from 3,000 in 1872 to 7,000 in 1874 and 10,285 by 1880. Manufacturing, banking and finance were the major industries in Dallas well into the early 1900s. The city continued to annex more areas including East Dallas and Oak Cliff. The first decades of the 20th century saw the development of the interurban system and additional rail lines. By 1913, Dallas had experienced tremendous growth. The population had increased from 92,105 in 1910 to 120,594 in 1913 (Babcock 1913: 18). Like many cities, growth in Dallas ceased during World War I. By the end of the war, Dallas remained the largest inland cotton market in the nation and had a population of 158,976. During the 1920s, Dallas expanded its physical size from 23.4 square miles to 45.09 square miles (Quimby and Singleton 2008). The advent of the Great Depression once again halted the growth of the city but the city soon received an influx of federal dollars for relief and public projects. Dallas was also chosen to host the Texas Centennial celebration which brought additional dollars and jobs into the city.

World War II brought growth, prosperity and new industries, especially related to aircraft manufacturing, to Dallas. The City physically grew from 45 square miles in 1945 to 198 square miles in 1955. By 1955 the population hit 795,000. In the post war years, Dallas continued to grow. Stemmons Freeway (IH 35 North) opened in 1959; it was the first freeway completed under the 1956 Federal Highway Act. By 1960, the population was 679,684 and the city encompassed approximately 282 square miles (Quimby and Singleton 2008). Dallas experienced a building boom in the 1970s and 1980s which
impacted the downtown and north Dallas. The population during this time had grown to 844,401 represented the continued expansion and development of the City.

**Addison**
The city is located in northern Dallas County and southern Collin County. The area that is now the town of Addison was originally settled by immigrants of the Peters Colony in the 1840s. The first settlers in the area were Preston and Pleasant Witt, who settled on White Rock Creek and built a gristmill in 1849. In 1888, W.W. Julian, E.R. Horten, and S.S. Noell donated land for right-of-way to the St. Louis, Arkansas and Texas Railway (SLA&T) in exchange for a coaling station, later known as Noell Station. After the completion of the railroad in the area, several buildings from nearby Frankford were relocated to the railroad station. In 1902 Noell Junction became the site of the depot for the SLSW Railroad, which then built a spur leading south into Dallas. In 1904, the post office opened at the junction and was named after the first postmaster, Addison Robertson (Maxwell 2012b).

In 1914 Addison had a population of 75, three stores and a bank. By 1926 the population had declined to 40 and the bank had closed. Addison was incorporated in 1953 in an effort to avoid annexation by Dallas, and by the mid-1950s the population had grown to 600. The Addison airport was built in 1956. In 1970, the population had grown to 595 with eighty businesses and by 1980 the city had 5,553 residents and 263 businesses. In order to promote industrial and commercial growth, the residents of the city voted to legalize alcohol in 1976, unlike the majority of communities in Dallas County. This effort attracted restaurants, hotels and several other support businesses. By 1990, the population had grown to 8,783, in 2000 increased to 14,166 and by 2010 had decreased to 13,056 (Maxwell 2012b).

**Richardson**
Richardson is located in northern Dallas and southern Collin Counties on US 75 and the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe, the Southern Pacific and the SLSW railroads, ten miles north of downtown Dallas. The area of what is now Richardson was part of the Peters Colony land grant and was settled in the 1840s and 1850s. The numerous springs and creeks and fertile land made the area suitable for farming. Early settlers to the area established the community of Breckenridge which thrived from the 1840s to 1873 when the HT&C bypassed it (Maxwell 2012c). Richardson was established adjacent to the tracks of the HT&C. William J. Wheeler and Bernard Reilly, local property owners, donated the land for the townsite and the railroad ROW (Maxwell 2012c). Wheeler turned down the opportunity to have the community named after him. Instead, the town was named after E.H. Richardson, the railroad contractor who built the line from Dallas to Denison (City of Richardson 2012). After Richardson was established, people abandoned Breckenridge. The town was chartered in 1873 and the post office was established in 1874 along with a drug store and general store (City of Richardson 2012). The town prospered and by 1881 there were four doctors, several cotton gins, general stores, grocery stores, drugstores and churches (Maxwell 2012c).

By the beginning of the 1900s, the community had a newspaper, the *Richardson Register*, and a population of 147. In 1908, the Interurban, the electric railway, began service from Dallas through Richardson north to Denison and Sherman. By 1910, there was a gravel road from Dallas to the Collin County line and Richardson had a population of around 600 people who had access telephone service
and electric lights. The town boasted four churches, a new eight-room brick school, a bank, several stores and a weekly newspaper in 1914 (Maxwell 2012c). The community began an agricultural fair in 1924 to promote interest in agriculture, farming and livestock that continued into the 1970s. In 1924, the Red Brick Road (now Greenville Avenue/SH 5) was completed and opened up better transportation opportunities for the community. The next year Richardson incorporated and elected its first mayor, Thomas F. McKamy, under a commission form of city government (City of Richardson 2012). The City added a volunteer fire department and public waterworks in 1926 and awarded utility franchises to Lone Star Gas and Texas Power and Light Company (City of Richardson 2012). The Richardson and Addison High Schools were consolidated into the Richardson High School in 1927.

By 1940, the population had grown to 740 but after World War II, it almost doubled to 1,300. In 1951 Collins Radio opened a Richardson office and other electronic and technology firms followed including Texas Instruments in 1961 (City of Richardson 2012, Maxwell 2012c). Central Expressway or U.S. 75 opened in 1954 and the growth of Richardson exploded. In June of 1956 voters adopted a home rule charter and the council/manager form of government (City of Richardson 2012). Five years later the population was 16,810. The city became known as the “electronic suburb” and later, with the advent of high tech companies, “the Telecom Corridor” (Maxwell 2012c).

In 1961 the Southwest Center for Advanced Studies was created in conjunction with Texas Instruments. Eight years later Texas Instruments executives gave the Center to the State of Texas and it became the University of Texas at Dallas. In the late 1960s and early 1970s, the city annexed a large amount of land along what is now the northern border of the city. In 1972 the population was approximately 56,000. Residential building grew quickly during between 1972 and 2010. By 1990 the population was 74,840 and by 2010 it was 99,223 (City of Richardson 2012).

Collin County

Collin County consists of an area of approximately 851 miles that is in the Blackland Prairie region of Texas. The topography of the county is by and large, ideal for farming. The area was part of the Peters Colony and was first settled in the 1840s. Originally part of Fannin County, the area became a separate county in 1846. It was named after Collin McKinney, an early settler and signer of the Texas Declaration of Independence who moved to the area in 1840. The town of McKinney, also named for Collin McKinney, became the county seat in 1848. Another prominent early figure of the county was that of James Throckmorton who was a Collin County political leader. He was a member of the Texas legislature and acted as Governor under the short-lived Constitution of 1866 (Minor 2012).

Settlement of Collin County was slow before the Civil War. Most of the farms established before 1860 were small family farms that raised wheat, corn, and subsistence crops. The next phase of settlement occurred during and after the construction of the railroads through the county. The railroads meant a transportation systems and markets for agricultural products. For the farmers, the railroads also brought building supplies and mechanized farm implements. The HT&C Railway, the first railroad in the county, reached McKinney in 1872 and Melissa in 1874. With the arrival of the railroads, farmers could take full advantage of the rich soils of the Blackland Prairie, which resulted in the growth and production of cotton. In 1860, census records show the county produced six bales of cotton. By 1870, the county
annually produced 4,371 bales and by 1920, annual production increased to 49,311 bales of cotton (Minor 2012).

The railroad contributed to the development and growth of several communities within the county. These communities, in turn, served as transportation centers to move agricultural products from Collin County to larger markets.

**Plano**

Plano is currently located approximately 15 miles north of Dallas in southwestern Collin County near US 75. The town site was developed in 1845 on the headrights of Joseph Klepper and Sanford Beck when Kentucky farmer William Forman moved to Texas. Forman purchased Beck’s survey in 1851 and built several businesses, which formed a focal point for the community. A post office was established in 1852 and the postal authorities approved the name “Plano”, Spanish for “flat.” Plano was platted and incorporated in 1873 and the first school system was organized in 1891. Early industries in Plano included plumbing and stove plants, a garment factory, and an electric-wire factory. The cattle industry became an additional economic source in 1872, when the HT&C Railway connected the town site to nearby Dallas. New businesses opened in 1888 when the SLA&T Company intersected the HT&C. As a result, Plano became an outlet for the Blackland Prairie farmers. In 1908 Plano became an interurban stop on the Texas Electric Railroad (Schell and Wells 2012).

**Wagon Roads**

Before the railroads spread to the west, stage coach lines served as the main means of transportation. Stage coaches carried mail and people, connecting the east coast to the west coast. The stage lines were also used as freight lines. Teams of oxen pulled wagons over the stagecoach lines to deliver goods to towns and settlements across the state (Potts 1909: 16). Counties opened roads as the population in their areas increased. Private stage lines were established between principal towns. Owners of the stagecoach lines laid out the routes and roads but the counties maintained them. The stage line routes eventually became the railroad routes. Railroad companies used the stagecoach companies’ business models for the railroads (Potts 1909:14).

Horse-drawn public transportation connecting Houston and Harrisburg started in Texas in 1837, one year after the Battle of San Jacinto. The first Congress of the Republic of Texas created a postal system in 1839 and established postal routes, which not only carried the mail, but also included passengers and freight. Routes for Texas were established that carried mail and passengers northward to the area of Dallas and Fort Worth from Houston and the ports of Galveston and Indianola. Stagecoach routes within Texas had a series of established stops most of which were at rural inns or log cabins, located approximately 15 to 30 miles apart, where drivers could obtain a fresh team of horses and passengers could purchase meals.

By 1848, the Gold Rush of California encouraged a boom in travel and aided in the development of stagecoach lines within Texas. Early stagecoach routes were established by Henry Skillman who contracted with the government to provide service from San Antonio to El Paso in 1851. The first stagecoach line licensed to carry United States mail entered Fort Worth on July 18, 1856 (King 1949:31-
By 1857, U.S. Postmaster General Aaron Brown contracted with John Butterfield to provide twice-weekly mail service in each direction heading eastward and westward beginning in St. Louis, Missouri. Butterfield’s Overland Mail Company built stops every 15 to 20 miles and guaranteed arrival in San Francisco in 25 days establishing regular stage coach service that connected Fort Belknap to Dallas and ran through Fort Worth, carrying United States mail (King 1949:31-32).

In 1858, a stage coach line (the Fort Worth-Jacksboro Stage Line) ran from Fort Worth to Jacksboro where it joined with the Butterfield Overland line that connected the east and west coasts (King 1949:31-32). Shortly before the beginning of the Civil War, Texas had 31 stage lines in operation. The Butterfield Overland line discontinued operations in Texas on March 2, 1861, less than six weeks before the outbreak of the Civil War. At that time, the U.S. Congress moved all mail stagecoach lines further north to avoid interruption during the war (King 1949:31-32).

**Preston Road**

Preston Road was originally part of the Shawnee Trail used by Native Americans that led from southwest Texas to what is now St. Louis. The trail connected to the Santa Fe Trail. It followed a natural ridge that avoided rivers and creeks until it crossed the Red River at a low water, rocky crossing. In 1840, the Central National Road of the Republic of Texas was authorized by the Eight Congress of the Republic of Texas. The road was laid out by Colonel W. G. Cook and the First Texas Infantry Regiment as a military road that stretched from Austin, through what would become Dallas, north to the Coffee Trading Post. The trading post was located adjacent to the fort established by Captain William Preston near the Red River for the purpose of protecting travelers and settlers from Indian attacks (Cowling 1936: 13).

The full extent of the Central National Road was 130 miles. The portion commonly known as the Preston Road began on the banks of the Trinity River near the Dallas County Courthouse (Old Red) and ran approximately 70 miles north to the Red River (Cowling 1936:13). Prior to the 1870s, the Preston Road was the most important freight and immigration route in North Texas (Dunn 2000:15). In the 1850s, it served as an important route for cattle drives and remained the principal route north until the Civil War. In 1905, Preston Road was designated as a north cardinal road and was targeted for an improvement by Dallas County and, by 1911 was a paved road leading north from Dallas (Dunn 2000:14-15). It is now designated as SH 289.

**Railroad Development in Texas**

After Texas achieved independence at the 1836 Battle of San Jacinto, there were only 1,273 miles of railroads within the United States; none were west of the Mississippi and none were in the southern United States. Roads and canals were thought as being more reliable than the steam locomotives. Within six months after the Battle of San Jacinto, the First Congress of Texas met and decided that an examination should be made regarding transportation conditions within the state of Texas. It granted a charter to the Texas Railroad Navigation and Banking Company for a railroad, as well as for the improvement of the waterways, rivers, bays, and canals in order to connect the railroads to these already established modes of transportation. This charter became the first granted for a railroad west of the Mississippi and was unanimously approved by Senate vote in 1836. However the charter was
viewed as a betrayal to the people, jeopardizing their rights, property and liberty, and hence was rescinded (Reed 1981:1-10).

In 1838, another charter was granted to the Brazos and Galveston Railroad Company to improve transportation between Galveston and Houston. The charter specified the use of turnpikes instead of canals, the use of public lands, and that men and munitions for the Army and Navy would be transported for free. This charter failed as well. With the commercial competition between Galveston and Houston at hand, the City of Houston petitioned for their own rail charter for the Houston and Brazos Railroad Company. By 1840, laborers had been hired to start grading for a length of ten miles. With the threat of a Mexican invasion, the grading was abandoned and there is no record of the railroad being built (Reed 1981:32-33). Harrisburg resident Andrew Briscoe, a pioneer in planning the laying out of towns in advance of the rail line construction, believed strongly in the development of rail lines between the Brazos and Harrisburg. He secured several lots of land within Harrisburg for the sole purpose of grading for a new rail line. In 1841, he secured a charter under the name of the Harrisburg Railroad and Trading Company. Briscoe and his engineers planned a route for a transcontinental railroad. Even with all of Briscoe's pre-planning efforts for construction, the country was still under the threats of a Mexican invasion and all male citizens were expected to be able to report for war duty. With the lack of labor, this charter rail line never began (Reed 1981:36-37). None of the early Republic’s chartered railroads were developed, but the efforts and ideas planted seeds for future development.

The first railroad in Texas was chartered in 1847. Beginning on Buffalo Bayou between Houston and Lynchburg, it extended to a point on the Brazos between Richmond and Washington. The lots originally purchased by Andrews Briscoe in Harrisburg were to be used, provided that within two years the rail charter could complete and have in operation 20 miles of rail (Reed 1981:56). In 1851 a survey of the area was conducted to locate the termini of the route. Harrisburg was selected as the starting point and Richmond as the point crossing the Brazos. Work began in 1852 when the contract for grading was awarded to W.J. Kyle and Frank Terry. In August of 1853, 20 miles of rail were completed from Harrisburg to Stafford's Point. The rail did not officially open until September 7, 1853 and boasted two mixed trains daily, one each way. By the end of December, 1855, the rail road to Brazos was completed extending the line to 32 miles. By March 1859, the rail was extended another 18 miles to the San Barnard River; an additional 15 miles to Eagle River by November 1859; and in the fall of 1860 an additional 15 miles of rail reached Alleyton. Total rail line constructed in the six-year time period totaled 80 miles, at which time construction ceased until after the Civil War (Reed 1981:59-61). The total cost to construct the first rail line in Texas amounted to $1,490,847.02 or $18,400 per mile.

By 1860 the future of railroads in Texas was very promising and plans were made to build northward towards Houston, Austin, and the eastern boundary of Texas along the Red River (Reed 1981:63-65). Three railroads had been completed by the beginning of the Civil War: the Texas and New Orleans, the Eastern Texas and the Washington County railroads (Werner 2012). Other railroad companies had started construction or were about to begin construction when the Civil War broke out. They did not resume construction until after the war. The existing railroads suffered from lack of maintenance as well as having materials pilfered for other uses. It was not until the 1870s that railroad construction resumed in earnest. The HT&C continued building north through Corsicana (1871) and Dallas (1873). Coming from
the north into Texas was the MKT Railroad which reached Denison in 1872. The following year the HT&C also reached Denison, giving the state a link to the nationwide railroad system (Werner 2012). The Houston and Great Northern Railroad constructed a line from Houston to East Texas in 1870. Other rail lines were constructed and completed during the 1870s. Acquisition and consolidation of lines also occurred. T&P Railway acquired the Southern Pacific and the Memphis, El Paso and Pacific Railways and completed a line from Texarkana through Dallas to Fort Worth. By the end of the 1870s, Texas had 2,440 miles of track.

From the 1870s through the 1880s more than 6,000 miles of railroad track was constructed in Texas. Acquisition and consolidation of various lines continued. Jay Gould acquired control of the T&P and the MKT rail lines as well as others. Issues with the railroads including high rates, traffic pools and other abuses lead to several court cases filed by State Attorney General James Hogg. His successes in these cases lead to significant changes to Gould’s railroad empire in Texas. Hogg made a successful bid for governor and established the Texas Railroad Commission during his tenure (Werner 2012).

At the turn of the century, there were still large areas of the state that had little or no rail service including West Texas, the Panhandle and Southwest Texas. However, by 1911 Texas became the state with the most railway trackage. Between 1900 and 1932, almost 45 percent of the mileage in the state was constructed, bringing the total to 17,078 miles. Consolidation and reorganization of railroad companies continued through the 1930s. Three railroad companies, the Southern Pacific, Missouri Pacific and the Santa Fe owned more than 70 percent of the mileage in Texas (Werner 2012).

Passenger trains reached their zeniths during the 1930s and 1940s. Many of the Texas lines ordered streamlined passenger equipment and new diesel engines. However, with the construction of the interstate highway system as well as the development of airlines, passenger trains were phased out. The railroads were deregulated in 1980 and lead to reconfiguration of the major freight lines. They abandoned unprofitable lines and routes and focused on consolidation and profitable routes. New companies acquired and successfully operated on secondary lines (Werner 2012).

**Fort Worth & Western Railroad (FW&WR), 1988**

Seeking to gain trackage in Fort Worth from the Burlington Northern Railroad Company, the Fort Worth and Western Railroad Company (FW&WR) was chartered on May 13, 1988. Prior to its merger into the Burlington Northern, the track was owned by the St. Louis-San Francisco Railroad Company. In October of 1988 the company began operating over 6.5 miles of track controlled by the Tarantula Corporation. The Tarantula Train is an excursion passenger train that operates open coaches on the Fort Worth and Western between Eighth Avenue and the Fort Worth Stockyards (Cravens 2012).

**Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway (BNSF), 1970**

The Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway (BNSF) operated as a portion of the Burlington System, the name commonly used for the Chicago, Burlington, and Quincy Railroad Company. The Chicago, Burlington and Quincy, the Great Northern, the Northern Pacific, and the Pacific Coast Railways merged on March 2, 1970, to become Burlington Northern, Incorporated. The following year the name was changed to Burlington Northern Railroad. In 1995, Burlington Northern Railroad and Santa Fe Pacific
Corporation merged to become one of the largest railroad systems in the United States, the BNSF Railway (Werner 2012).

**St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company (SSW or SLSW or Cotton Belt), 1891**
The St. Louis Southwestern Railway (SLSW) line began as the Texas and St. Louis Railway Company (T&SL) in 1879 and was envisioned as tying the East Texas cotton fields with compresses and warehouses in St. Louis. The rail was reorganized as the St. Louis Arkansas and Texas Railway Company of Texas (SLA&T) in 1886, and was commonly called the Cotton Belt, but operated separately in conformity with Texas law. The 99-mile line from Commerce in East Texas to Fort Worth was completed in 1888. The portion of the SLA&T line that runs through Texas was transferred by foreclosure sale in 1891 to the SLSW Railway Company of Texas with general headquarters and car shops in Tyler. Historically, the Cotton Belt main line ran from St. Louis and Memphis on the east through Texarkana to Dallas/Fort Worth and Gatesville on the west, including branch lines (Lagrangerail 2012; Werner 2012).

The railway company continued to expand throughout East Texas timber lands over the next 25 years. The railway began to decline in the 1930s due to competition from other railways and the development of trucking companies, but primarily due to the depletion of Eastern Texas timber which was a major rail commodity. Controlling interest in the capital stock of the SLSW was acquired by the Southern Pacific in 1932. Abandonment of the Texas Branch lines began in 1933 and by 1965 the line between Addison and Dallas was removed from operation (Reed 1981).

**Cotton Belt Route in Dallas 1903**
Several railroad companies made up the Cotton Belt Route from Missouri to Texas, including Tyler Southwestern Railway Company, SLSW, and SLA&T. Tyler, Texas was the origination of the Texas Cotton Belt Route because of a rising need of transportation in east Texas. A railroad was formed from St. Louis to Texarkana and then to Tyler in 1880. The line branched off after Tyler to extend to Sherman, Hillsboro, Lufkin, Gatesville, and Fort Worth (Beck 1998). The company began serving Dallas via trackage rights in 1896 and in 1903 built its own 12-mile line between Addison and Dallas (Lagrangerail 2012).

**Dallas, Garland and Northeastern Railroad (DGNO), 1992**
The Dallas, Garland and Northeastern Railroad (DGNO) is a shortline operation based out of Garland, Texas, and for many years was part of the RailAmerica family of shortlines. The railroad was started in the early 1990s and currently operates over 300 miles of trackage through lease and outright ownership. Along with property it directly owns, DGNO also leases lines from DART and Union Pacific (American Rails 2012).

Much of the trackage the DGNO currently operates was owned by the MKT system, commonly known as the Katy. This line had a history dating back to the Union Pacific Railway of 1865. Over the succeeding years, the Katy railroad grew and acquired smaller railroads eventually developing a system that extended from Kansas City and St. Louis southward to Dallas, Fort Worth, San Antonio and Houston/Galveston. In 1988, Union Pacific acquired the Katy and soon thereafter began abandoning and selling off redundant sections. In 1992, the sale of trackage occurred when the DGNO was created
to operate the former MKT line between Garland, Greenville, and Trenton. The DGNO then acquired another section of track between McKinney and Sherman. Soon thereafter, the DGNO was granted exclusive rights to operate freight service over the DART lines between Dallas, Plano, and Lake Dallas. At the end of 1990, all Class I carriers in Dallas, TX sold necessary trackage to Dallas Area Rapid Transit Property Acquisition Corporation (DARTPAC). DARTPAC appointed the DGNO as the operator of the rail lines. DGNO is leasing from UP the line between Greenville and Garland and has purchased the former MKT line (abandoned in 1987) from Greenville to Trenton. DGNO began operating the group of lines through Plano and Carrollton in January 1999. In 2000, the DGNO was purchased by RailAmerica, Inc. in order to expand its' rail lines of shortline rail. The Dallas area shortline transported commodities ranging from lumber and paper to food products, auto parts, military contracts, plastics, and chemicals. In 1990, DART purchased trackage in Dallas from several of the major rail lines. DART appointed the DGNO as the operator of these rail lines. DGNO began operating lines through Plano and Carrollton in 1999 (America Rails 2012).

**Highway Transportation**

The development of the highway system in Texas coincided with the burgeoning purchase and use of automobiles in the early 1900s. Road improvements were necessary for drivers to be able to traverse the even the shortest distances let alone a trip across the state. In 1903, like many other states, Texas saw the formation of “good road” associations to promote improvements. The Federal Highway Act of 1916 provided for the establishment of state highway departments. In that year, Texas had 194,720 cars registered in the state. In 1917, the state legislature established the State Highway Department (now the Texas Department of Transportation [TxDOT]). In these early years, the primary responsibility of the department was to give financial aid to the counties for highway construction and maintenance.

In the early 1920s, there were several changes that furthered the development of highways in Texas. The Federal Road Act of 1921 provided matching funds for states to build roads. Texas imposed a gasoline tax in 1923 to fund highway construction and the Texas Highway Department assumed the responsibility for constructing and maintaining the state highways. The state also adopted a pay-as-you-go system to construct the roads and developed a road marking and numbering system. By 1929, Texas had 18,728 miles of highways, 9,271 of which were hard surfaced. During the Great Depression, the state sought funds for highway construction as a way of providing employment to those who were without work. By 1939, there were over 1.5 million registered cars in Texas and more than 21,000 miles of roadways (Kite 2012).

Tremendous growth in the development of highways across the United States occurred in the post-war years. In 1945, the Texas state highway commission authorized the construction of 7,500 miles of rural roads to be financed by federal and state funds. The Colson-Briscoe Act of 1949 appropriated $15 million a year to from the Omnibus Tax Clearance Fund to the state highway department. This money was earmarked for the construction of rural roads that did not have sufficient traffic to warrant their construction or maintenance. In 1962, the amount was increased to $23 million a year for new farm to market roads. By 1990, there were over 41,750 miles of secondary roads in the state, the most in the world (Kite 2012).
In 1956, the U.S. Congress established the National System of Interstate and Defense Highways, which had the goal of linking nearly every major population center in the nation. The system was to consist of 42,000 miles of highways across the country. The law established a trust fund under which the states would pay ten percent of the cost of the system and the federal government would pay 90 percent. The states had to pay for the construction and be reimbursed by the federal government. As a result, by the 1990s Texas had completed over 3,000 miles of interstate highway mileage (Kite 2012).

**Leslie A. Stemmons Freeway (IH 35E)**

The Leslie A. Stemmons Freeway (Stemmons) was designed as the Dallas section of IH 35E, an interstate highway extending from Mexico to Canada. It was envisioned in 1952 when the Dallas County Commissioners Court initiated efforts to build a freeway along the US 77 corridor. Later that year businessmen and civic leaders went to Austin to make a presentation to the Texas Transportation Commission for the construction of the highway. At the time the alignment was to the west of the current IH 35. State approval and funding was secured but construction was placed on hold because ROW had not been obtained. The northern portion of IH 35E (Stemmons), through Denton County, was completed in 1953; the Lewisville to Lake Dallas portion in 1955. In 1954, plans were finalized for IH 35 with an alignment just west of downtown Dallas, next to the Trinity River levee, where there was a large swath of undeveloped land. Because construction occurred before the 1956 Federal Aid Act, local governments were still responsible for acquiring ROW with their own resources. It was up to local officials to convince property owners in the adjacent areas to donate the needed ROW. The property owners included John Stemmons (Leslie Stemmons’ son), David Bruton of the Inwood Industrial District and W.C. Windsor Jr. of the Brookhollow Industrial District. The last section to be acquired, from Oak Lawn Avenue to Commerce Street was purchased by the City of Dallas with public funds. Stemmons Freeway was a truly modern highway with ten main lanes, frontage roads and ample entrance/exit ramps (Slotbloom 2012:1-8)

The first section of Stemmons Freeway was opened on August 3, 1959 in Dallas County (DMN New Expressway 1959). The Dallas segment cost $35 million and was part of a 13-year program to build $40 billion worth of new highways in the United States (TxDOT 2012). The southern half of the freeway was the Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex’s (DFW) first, modern, large-sized freeway when it opened in 1959. The freeway served as a catalyst for business and industrial development for Dallas. It continues to be the longest, widest freeway in the DFW area.

**Dallas North Tollway**

The North Texas Tollway Authority’s (NTTA’s) origins can be traced back to 1953 with the creation of the Texas Turnpike Authority (TTA), the state agency responsible with the building and operations of the Dallas-Fort Worth Turnpike between Dallas and Fort Worth. This turnpike became known as IH 30 when the Texas Department of Transportation assumed responsibility for the highway in 1977. The NTTA began its second project, the Dallas North Tollway in 1966 (NTTA 2012). The Dallas North Tollway is a 32-mile controlled-access toll road operated by the NTTA, which runs from the south at IH 35E in Dallas northward to US 380, near Frisco in Collin County. The original segment of the tollway ran from IH 35E to IH 635 along an old SLSW Railway Corridor. The tollway was approved by the Texas Turnpike Authority for construction on August 7, 1964. The first section from IH 35E to Mockingbird Lane was
opened on February 11, 1968. With the growth of north Dallas neighborhoods, the tollway was extended to IH 635 on July 1, 1968. The tollway north of IH 635 was not started until the late 1980s and was opened in 1986 (DFW Freeways 2012). All of these extensions parallel SH 289, known as Preston Road, originally a section of an Indian trail that lead from southwest Texas to what is now SLSW Railway (Dunn 2000:15).

**North Central Highway (US Highway 75)**

US 75 was built from the 1950s through the 1960s as a main thoroughfare from Dallas to Oklahoma City. In the late 1960s, the route of US 75 was changed significantly. The highway was moved west starting at Fairview (south of McKinney) to the Texas and Oklahoma state line. This moved it to the outskirts of McKinney, Melissa and Anna, bisecting farmland along the way. The rural character that once defined US 75 is rapidly changing due to the suburban residential development that is occurring adjacent to the highway. The extant rural and agricultural structures have been rapidly losing their context with the expansion of urbanization.

**Aviation**

Texas has been integral to the development of aviation since the early days of flight. The relatively flat terrain of much of the state and the warm climate provided a naturally agreeable environment for flight before the invention of modern avionics and aircraft development. It remains an influential and important part of the aviation industry.

In 1938, the Civil Aeronautics Authority was created and given the power by the U.S. Government to regulate airline fares and determine the routes air carriers would travel. More importantly for airports, it lifted the ban on federal aid and changed the previous airmail contract system to a program of negotiated non-competitive certificates. In 1940, the Civil Aeronautics Authority was split into two agencies, the Civil Aeronautics Administration (CAA) and the Civil Aeronautics Board (CAB). The CAA was responsible for the air traffic control, safety and airway development and the CAB continued to regulate airline fares and routes (Bednarek 2001:99; Federal Aviation Administration [FAA] 2012).

From 1945 to 1958, the rapid growth of air commerce, air technology, and an increasing public demand for air services caused aviation to reach high levels of capacity. The Federal Airport Act of 1946, which grew out of initial airport funding efforts begun by the CAA and Congress in 1944, provided aid for municipal airports through the Federal Air for airports Program (FAAP). The CAA was given the responsibility of administering the federal airport aid program, which was intended to promote development at U.S. civil airports. Between its inception in 1946 and 1969, when the FAAP expired, $1.2 billion had been distributed to airports. Its successor program, the Airport and Airway Development Act (ADAP) distributed $1.3 billion between 1970 and 1975, creating 85 new airports nationwide, and was involved in more than 1,000 improvement projects. Over the years between 1971 to 1998, the federal government spent $26.1 billion for airport construction and improvements through ADAP and the funding sources provided by the Airport Improvement Act (Crouch 2003:609).
Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport (DFW Airport)
The proposed project rail line is immediately north of the DFW Airport. The airport is located equidistant between downtown Dallas and downtown Fort Worth, within Dallas and Tarrant counties. The development of the DFW Airport is rooted in the events of 1940, when the CAA approached the City of Arlington to develop an airport midway between Dallas and Fort Worth. American Airlines and Braniff Airways purchased and donated 1,000 acres of land for the new Midway Airport. Construction began in 1942 and the field was operated as a military training field during World War II. The first airport plan was developed in 1946 after Midway was returned to civilian use and in 1947 the field was renamed the Greater Fort Worth International Airport. In 1950, the field was renamed Amon G. Carter Field. This greatly expanded field opened in 1953. In 1960, the field was renamed yet again and became the Greater Southwest International Airport (Leatherwood 2012).

Throughout its history, the Greater Southwest International Airport competed fiercely with Love Field in Dallas, which had started as a military airfield in 1917. From 1950 to 1965, passenger service to Love Field increased, resulting in the airport outgrowing its available, while passenger service to the Greater Southwest International Airport declined. In 1964 Dallas and Fort Worth were ordered by the CAB to devise a regional airport plan to reduce competition and duplication of the cost of operating two airfields. After much debate between the cities of Dallas and Fort Worth as to the proper organization and siting of the airport, a board was appointed in 1965. DFW Airport would be jointly owned by the cities of Dallas and Fort Worth and operated by the DFW Airport Board. Ground was broken for the new Dallas-Fort Worth Regional Airport, which included the site of Greater Southwest International Airport (south of the project area). The name was changed to its present name, the Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport in 1973. When the airport opened, 12 airlines operated out of the facilities, which included four 790,000 square-ft horseshoe-shaped terminals along the central International Parkway with room for nine more, all connected with the world’s first automated transit system known as Airtrans. Beginning immediately after its opening, DFW Airport began expanding and has continued to do so since that time. The DFW Airport covers more than 29.8 square miles and is bounded by the cities of Grapevine, Irving, and Euless. It currently ranks third in terms of operations and seventh in terms of passengers world-wide (DFW Airport 2012; Leatherwood 2012).
CHAPTER 4. RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The proposed Cotton Belt Corridor Regional Rail project, consisting of approximately 26 miles of predominantly existing railroad track, crosses through developed areas of northeast Tarrant County, northwest Dallas County, and southwest Collin County. During the current survey effort a total of 68 historic resources (building, structure, object built 1971 or before) were recorded within the project APE (175 ft from the centerline of existing ROW and 250 ft from the centerline of new ROW) and evaluated for listing in the NRHP. Historic resources within the APE consist of Domestic, Commercial, Industrial, Religious, Funerary, and Transportation related property types. In addition to individual historic resources, development patterns indicating the locations of a potential historic district within or abutting the project area were also sought.

Property Types

Domestic

The most common resource type found in the project area is domestic single-family and multi-family dwellings. The date of construction for these resources was determined through the review of aerial photographs, tax records, and onsite observation. Among the evaluated resources are individual resources and resources grouped together due to their type and proximity. The grouped resources (Resources 23, 24, 28, 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 38, 39, 41, and 42) are Ranch style or Minimal Traditional domestic dwellings located within cul-de-sacs constructed between 1968 and 1971.

Common architectural styles exhibited among the domestic resources include Craftsman, Minimal Traditional, Ranch, and resources with no discernible style. A brief discussion of each style is presented below.

Craftsman (1905-1930)

The most common residential buildings built during the first half of the twentieth century were of the Craftsman style. The style is distinguished by its solid simplistic design, which was influenced by modern inventions of the early twentieth century (motor car, electricity, telephone, and indoor plumbing). Most Craftsman are wood frame covered in wood clapboard siding, have low pitched roofs, exposed rafter tails, decorative beams at gable ends, and full or partial-width porches supported by square or tapered columns. A narrow one-car garage was typically located at the rear of the lot. The earliest houses of the Craftsman Era often utilized Neo-Classical design with a hipped roof, centered dormer, and a full-façade porch (McAlester and McAlester 2002:453-463). Historic resources recorded during this survey and categorized as having a Craftsman stylistic influence are Resources 4, 21, 56, 57, and 62.

Minimal Traditional (1935-1950)

The Minimal Traditional style is an outgrowth of the 1930s Depression-era. The style exhibits traditional Eclectic forms with low or modest decorative details. Typical characteristics of this style include low or moderate roof pitches and minimal eave overhangs. Large chimneys, however, are typical, and many
examples resemble a stripped down version of the Tudor. The houses were built in large numbers, sometimes as complete subdivisions, immediately after World War II. Combinations of brick, stone, and wood siding were utilized to offer some individuality to the structures, a design element that carried over to the Ranch style (McAlester and McAlester 2002:478). Historic resources recorded during this survey and categorized as having a Minimal Traditional stylistic influence are Resources 5, 6, 23, and 63.

**Ranch (1935-1975)**

Originating in California, the Ranch Style became the dominant style of residential construction during the 1950s. This style reflects a period when the ownership of private automobiles increased, allowing people to live further from city centers. The style is typified by the maximization of the façade width and the incorporation of the garage into one wing of the structure (often with a concealed entry) so as to make the house look even wider. Details often include wide eave overhangs, exposed rafter tails, low pitched roofs, the combined use of brick, stone, and wood siding on the facades, decorative ironwork, expansive picture or ribbon windows, and decorative shutters (McAlester and McAlester 2002:479). Historic resources recorded during this survey and categorized as having a Ranch stylistic influence are Resources 3, 7, 8a, 9, 11, 13, 14, 24, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31, 33, 34, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, and 60.

**Other: No Discernible Style**

Several domestic resources within the project APE were identified as having no discernible style, because the resource was either constructed in a vernacular style (local construction methods with simple form) or has been modified to such an extent it no longer conveys a definable style. Due to the lack of a style, these resources were categorized by their form and/or floor plan. Historic resources recorded during this survey that were defined by their form and/or floor plan are Resources 8b, 10, 32, 52, 53, 54, 55, 58, and 59.

**Commercial and Industrial**

Commercial and industrial buildings in the project APE typically fall into the following categories: stores, warehouses, manufacturing, and offices. These resources are generally utilitarian in nature, have simple forms, and minimal decorative elements. Some of the commercial and industrial buildings are mixed use with both warehouse and office space or any combination of office, warehouse, manufacturing, and retail space. Historic resources recorded during this survey that were categorized as Commercial or Industrial are Resources 22, 36, 37, 43, 44, 49, 64, 65, and 66.

**Religious**

One Religious-related historic resource (Resource 35) was found within the project APE. Typically, Religious resources are not eligible for the National Register unless they meet Criteria Consideration A: Religious Properties. According to NRHP requirements for this property type, a church may be eligible for the NRHP if it is an integral part of a district that does meet Criteria A through D or it “... derives its primary significance from architectural or artistic distinction or historical importance” (NPS 1997:26). Because Resource 35 was documented during this survey, it is necessary to evaluate the resource under Criterion Consideration A.
Funerary

Funerary resources documented in the project APE include Resource 25 (Hilltop Cemetery) and Resource 61 (Plano, Old City Cemetery). This property type is typically not eligible for the National Register and must be evaluated under Criterion Consideration D: Cemeteries. According to NRHP requirements for this property type, a cemetery may be eligible for the NRHP if it is an integral part of a district that does meet Criteria A through D or it “... derives its primary significance from graves of persons of transcendent importance, from age, from distinctive design features, or association with historic events” (NPS 1997:34). Because Resources 25 and 61 were documented during this survey, it is necessary to evaluate these resources under Criterion Consideration D.

Transportation Rail-Related

During the survey, 18 rail-related resources were also identified. These resources include the Carrollton Depot, 15 bridges, and two concrete culverts (Resources 27 and 67). The Carrollton Depot (Resource 21) was previously identified as eligible for the NRHP. Of the 15 bridges surveyed, 11 are wood trestles (Resources 1, 2, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 46, and 47), originally constructed in the late 1930s. These bridges are common railroad structures and have all had significant modifications, including the replacement of structural members and track. The remaining four bridges include the White Rock Creek Bridge, a 1917 American Bridge Company Warren Pony truss (Resource 45); Spanky Branch Bridge 1, a half-through metal plate girder (Resource 48); a concrete open deck (Resource 50); and Spring Creek Bridge, a deck metal plate girder (Resource 51). In addition to being individually evaluated for listing in the NRHP, these resources were evaluated as potential contributing resources to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor (see discussion below).

Historic Districts

During the field survey two potential historic districts were noted: the Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor and the Douglass Community in Plano, Texas.

Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor: The SLSW line began as the T&SL in 1879, but was reorganized as the SLA&T in 1886. Commonly called the Cotton Belt, the rail line operated separately in Texas to conform to state law. The 99-mile line from Commerce in East Texas to Fort Worth was completed in 1888. The Texas portion of the SLA&T line was transferred by foreclosure sale in 1891 to the SLSW Railway Company of Texas with general headquarters and car shops in Tyler (Lagrangerail 2012; Werner 2012). Historically, the Cotton Belt main line ran from St. Louis to Memphis on the east, and entered Texas through Texarkana. From Texarkana the railway traveled southwest through Texas, crossing towns such as Mt. Pleasant, Sulphur Springs, Greenville, Plano, Carrollton, Grapevine, and on through to the city of Fort Worth (Rand McNally and Company 1898).

The railway company continued to expand throughout East Texas timber lands over the next 25 years. The railway began to decline in the early 1930s, and controlling interest in the capital stock of the SLSW was acquired by the Southern Pacific in 1932. After filing for bankruptcy in
1935, the company was placed under the trusteeship of Judge Berryman Henwood, a former member of the Missouri Supreme Court. The company remained in trust for the following six years, during which time numerous improvement programs along the rail line were implemented. Following World War II, additional repairs and maintenance work was done to the rail line to accommodate heavier and faster locomotives. In general, work included replacing open deck trestles with ballast deck trestles and abandoning or replacing trestles. Over the following decades, modifications to the rail line continued as needed to comply with the modernization of railroads and railroad equipment (Cotton Belt Public Relations Department 1957).

![1898 map showing general route of St. Louis & Southwestern Railway route from Texarkana to Fort Worth (Randy McNally and Company 1898).](image)

Due to the significant role railroads played in the development of communities in North Texas, railroad lines, including the SLSW or Cotton Belt, have previously been identified as being potential NRHP eligible thematic corridors (Howard et al. 2013). Thematic corridors serve as a basis for evaluating the NRHP eligibility of thematically-related resources including, but not limited to, bridges, culverts, depots, and stations. For a thematic corridor to be eligible, however, it must demonstrate association and significance with important developments related to the theme of transportation (Criterion A), or represent a design, significant engineering innovation, or prominent engineer or contractor (Criterion C). In addition, the corridor must retain sufficient integrity to convey its historic significance. Individual resources
within the potential corridor may also be eligible for listing in the NRHP based on their own merit.

At this time, further study is needed to determine if the segment of the Cotton Belt Railway evaluated during this investigation retains sufficient integrity to be a contributing segment to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor. As a whole, however, the Cotton Belt railroad line is significant for its association with early railroads and the development of North Texas (Criterion A). The period of significance identified for the corridor is 1881 through 1935. The beginning date of 1881 covers the construction of the railroad, while the end date of 1935 represents the first major effort to modernize the railroad line, which resulted in the removal and replacement of bridges, culverts, depots, and other rail-related resources associated with early railroad development. Therefore, resources constructed between 1881 and 1935 retaining sufficient integrity to convey their historic association and significance with early railroad development were evaluated as a potential contributing resource to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor.

**Douglass Community, Plano, Texas:** The Douglass community is situated roughly between Avenue F on the west, East 14th Street on the north, the DART track on the east, and the Cotton Belt Railroad tracks on the south. This area includes the Plano Old City Cemetery, which is located on the original Joseph Klepper grant. Klepper, an early settler of the area, deeded a portion of his property for a cemetery and a Methodist church. The church, located at 13th Street (formerly Water Street) and Avenue I (formerly Church Street), was active between 1874 and 1894. After 1894, the church relocated and the demographics of the neighborhood changed. Many new families moved into the Douglass Community (also known as South End or Colored Town) were freed African American slaves who came with their former masters after the Civil War (Fowler 1891).

African Americans that lived in the community resided along the southern end of what is now Avenue I, 13th and 14th Streets, and an area called Kendrick’s Alley approximately 16th Street between Avenues J and K. There were also some African American families that lived around the juncture of the HT&C and Cotton Belt Railroad tracks. Eventually, the neighborhood became predominantly African American, and families living in the neighborhood attended the Mt. Zion Colored Baptist Church (founded 1884) which is currently known as the now Shiloh Baptist Church, located on what is now Avenue I just north of 13th Street (Sanborn Map 1901: 1, 2; THC 1988).

In 1907, a community school house was located on Avenue I at 13th Street, but was relocated south on Avenue I to the first floor of the lodge building in the 1920s. As the area continued to develop many families living in the Douglass Community began operating small businesses including barbershops, grocery store, cafes, and other commercial enterprise (Sanborn Map 1921: 8, 9, 13). Over the years, industrial operations including G.W. Owens Lumber Company, Weld and Neville’s Cotton Gin, the Plano Milling Company, J.T. Elliot Lumber Company, and the
Plano Gin Company operated in the area near the railroad (Sanborn Maps 1901:1,2, 1907:8,9, 1921:8,9,13, 1949:3,4,5). All of these businesses provided employment opportunities to the residents that lived in the Douglass Community (Friends of Plano Public Library 1981: 191-198). Between 1920 and 1949, the community experienced significant residential development, which continued well into the 1960s (Sanborn Maps 1949, rev. 1961:3,4,5). As growth continued into the latter part of the twentieth century, older buildings were removed or replaced.

Although the Douglass Community has an obviously rich history, continual development in the late 1900s through the early 2000s has altered the neighborhoods historic fabric. Currently, the community consists of several non-historic resources, empty lots, and significantly modified historic resources (modifications consist of additions and replacement materials including windows, doors, roofs, and exterior cladding). Due to these alterations the Douglass Community has lost integrity of setting, design, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association and is not recommended as a potential historic district.

**NRHP Recommendations**

Of the 68 historic resources evaluated within the project APE, three individual resources are recommended eligible for listing in the NRHP. Of these, two are recommended as contributing to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor for their association with early railroads and the development of North Central Texas (Table 2). Concurrence with the eligibility of these resources is being sought from the THC. Descriptive and other information for each recorded historic resource is presented below. Maps showing the project location, APE, and historic resource are located in Appendix B, and THC Historic Resource Survey Forms for each recorded historic resources are located in Appendix C.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Number</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Construction Date</th>
<th>Style</th>
<th>Historic Use</th>
<th>Current Use</th>
<th>Property Type</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>NRHP Eligibility Recommendation</th>
<th>Impact Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mile Marker 25.9 Cottonwood Branch, Grapevine, Texas</td>
<td>Tarrant</td>
<td>ca. 1937</td>
<td>Wood Trestle Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not individually eligible/Non-contributing resource to potential Historic Cotton Belt Railroad Thematic Corridor</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>West of Hwy. 121-International Pkwy, south of Coppell Rd./Wall St., Grapevine, Texas</td>
<td>Tarrant</td>
<td>ca. 1937</td>
<td>Wood Trestle Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not individually eligible/Non-contributing resource to potential Historic Cotton Belt Railroad Thematic Corridor</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>440 Southwestern Blvd., Coppell, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1958</td>
<td>Ranch</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Multi-family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>436 Southwestern Blvd., Coppell, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>Craftsman</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>428 Southwestern Blvd., Coppell, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1958</td>
<td>Minimal Traditional</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>424 Southwestern Blvd., Coppell, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1957</td>
<td>Minimal Traditional</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>404 Southwestern Blvd., Coppell, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1948</td>
<td>Ranch</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8a</td>
<td>400 Southwestern Blvd., Coppell, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>Ranch</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8b</td>
<td>400 Southwestern Blvd., Coppell, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>Rectangular Plan</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Temporary Building</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>312 Southwestern Blvd., Coppell, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1955</td>
<td>Ranch</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>308 Southwestern Blvd., Coppell, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1948</td>
<td>Irregular Plan</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>304 Southwestern Blvd., Coppell, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>Ranch</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Number</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Construction Date</td>
<td>Style</td>
<td>Historic Use</td>
<td>Current Use</td>
<td>Property Type</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>NRHP Eligibility Recommendation</td>
<td>Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Grapevine Creek, Coppell, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>ca. 1937</td>
<td>Wood Trestle Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not individually eligible/Non-contributing resource to potential Historic Cotton Belt Railroad Thematic Corridor</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>196 Southwestern Blvd., Coppell, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td>Ranch</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>800-808 Howell Dr., Coppell, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1970-1971</td>
<td>Ranch</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>East of Denton Tap Rd., Coppell, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>ca. 1937</td>
<td>Wood Trestle Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not individually eligible/Non-contributing resource to potential Historic Cotton Belt Railroad Thematic Corridor</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>North of E. Belt Line Rd., Coppell, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>ca. 1937</td>
<td>Wood Trestle Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not individually eligible/Non-contributing resource to potential Historic Cotton Belt Railroad Thematic Corridor</td>
<td>No Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>West of Fairway Dr., Coppell, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>ca. 1937</td>
<td>Wood Trestle Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not individually eligible/Non-contributing resource to potential Historic Cotton Belt Railroad Thematic Corridor</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>West of Bush Trnpk., Mile Marker 32.5, Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>ca. 1937</td>
<td>Wood Trestle Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not individually eligible/Non-contributing resource to potential Historic Cotton Belt Railroad Thematic Corridor</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>East of Bush Trnpk., north of Belt Line Rd., Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>ca. 1937</td>
<td>Wood Trestle Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not individually eligible/Non-contributing resource to potential Historic Cotton Belt Railroad Thematic Corridor</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>North of Belt Line Rd., East of Luna Rd., Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>ca. 1937</td>
<td>Wood Trestle Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not individually eligible/Non-contributing resource to potential Historic Cotton Belt Railroad Thematic Corridor</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Number</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Construction Date</td>
<td>Style</td>
<td>Historic Use</td>
<td>Current Use</td>
<td>Property Type</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>NRHP Eligibility Recommendation</td>
<td>Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1013 E. Belt Line Rd., Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1925</td>
<td>Craftsman</td>
<td>Train Depot</td>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Eligible/Contributing resource to potential Historic Cotton Belt Railroad Thematic Corridor</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1200 Denton Dr., Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1954</td>
<td>Rectangular Plan</td>
<td>Specialty Store</td>
<td>Specialty Store</td>
<td>Commerce/Trade</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1513-1605 Baxley St., Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1952</td>
<td>Minimal Traditional</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1500-1600 Cecil Dr., Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1971-1972</td>
<td>Ranch</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1810 Perry Rd., Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1954-2013</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>Funerary</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1708 N. Perry Rd., Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>Ranch</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Northeast corner of Perry Rd. at Cotton Belt, Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Concrete Culvert</td>
<td>Culvert</td>
<td>Culvert</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Not individually eligible/Non-contributing resource to potential Historic Cotton Belt Railroad Thematic Corridor</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1804-1810 Edgecliff Cove, Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>Ranch</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>1818-1824 Briar Cove, Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>Ranch</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1832-1838 Ridgemoadow Cove, Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>Ranch</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>1846-1850 Meadowbrook Cove, Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>Ranch</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1845 N. Josey Ln., Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Irregular Plan</td>
<td>Multi-family</td>
<td>Multi-family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>1860-1864 Shadyview Cove, Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>Ranch</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>1874-1878 Hill Cove, Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Ranch</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Number</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Construction Date</td>
<td>Style</td>
<td>Historic Use</td>
<td>Current Use</td>
<td>Property Type</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>NRHP Eligibility Recommendation</td>
<td>Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>1855 N. Josey Ln. Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>Rectangular Plan</td>
<td>Church</td>
<td>Church</td>
<td>Religious</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>1855 N. Josey Ln. Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>Rectangular Plan</td>
<td>Auto garage</td>
<td>Auto repair</td>
<td>Commerce/Trade</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>1820 N. Josey Ln. Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>Rectangular Plan</td>
<td>Auto garage</td>
<td>garage</td>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>2705-2706 Lakewood Ln. Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>Ranch</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>2727-2728 Lakeridge Ln. Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Ranch</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>2808-2809 Lakeside Ln. Carrollton, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>Ranch</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>4300 Lindberg Dr. Addison, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1967</td>
<td>Irregular Plan</td>
<td>Auto garage</td>
<td>Auto garage</td>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>4803 Broadway Addison, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>One-part Commercial</td>
<td>Bank</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>Commerce/Trade</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>West of Preston Rd., adjacent to Prestonwood Country Club, Dallas, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>Warren Pony Truss Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Eligible/Contributing resource to potential Historic Cotton Belt Railroad Thematic Corridor</td>
<td>Adverse Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>South of Campbell Rd., East of Keller Springs Rd. Dallas, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>ca. 1937</td>
<td>Wood Trestle Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not individually eligible/Non-contributing resource to potential Historic Cotton Belt Railroad Thematic Corridor</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>East of Davenport Rd. Dallas, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>ca. 1937</td>
<td>Wood Trestle Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not individually eligible/Non-contributing resource to potential Historic Cotton Belt Railroad Thematic Corridor</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Number</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Construction Date</td>
<td>Style</td>
<td>Historic Use</td>
<td>Current Use</td>
<td>Property Type</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>NRHP Eligibility Recommendation</td>
<td>Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>South of Duffield Dr., east of Devenport Rd. Dallas, Texas</td>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>ca. 1940</td>
<td>Half-Through Plate Girder Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not individually eligible/Non-contributing resource to potential Historic Cotton Belt Railroad Thematic Corridor</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>7671 Ronnie Ave. Dallas, Texas</td>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>Irregular Plan</td>
<td>Dwelling</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Commerce/Trade</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Over Cotton Belt Tracks, east of Waterview Dr. Richardson, Texas</td>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>ca. 1940</td>
<td>Concrete modified trestle</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not individually eligible/Non-contributing resource to potential Historic Cotton Belt Railroad Thematic Corridor</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>North of Bush Trnpk., East of Alma Rd. Plano, Texas</td>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>ca. 1940</td>
<td>Deck Plate Girder Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Bridge</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Not individually eligible/Non-contributing resource to Historic Cotton Belt Railroad Thematic Corridor</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>1000 Avenue F Plano, Texas</td>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>1948</td>
<td>Irregular Plan</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>1001 Avenue G Plano, Texas</td>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>1918</td>
<td>Foursquare</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>1009 Avenue G Plano, Texas</td>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>1945</td>
<td>Rectangular Plan</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>1008 Avenue G Plano, Texas</td>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Irregular Plan</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>1010 Avenue G Plano, Texas</td>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>1928</td>
<td>Craftsman</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>1012 Avenue G Plano, Texas</td>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>1928</td>
<td>Craftsman</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>1029 Southwestern Ave. Plano, Texas</td>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>1923</td>
<td>Irregular Plan</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>1033 Southwestern Ave. Plano, Texas</td>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>1923</td>
<td>Irregular Plan</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1101 Avenue H Plano, Texas</td>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>1948</td>
<td>Ranch</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Number</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Construction Date</td>
<td>Style</td>
<td>Historic Use</td>
<td>Current Use</td>
<td>Property Type</td>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>NRHP Eligibility Recommendation</td>
<td>Impact Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>1000 Avenue H Plano, Texas</td>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>1881-2009</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>Funerary</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>1101 Avenue I Plano, Texas</td>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>Craftsman</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>1105 Avenue I Plano, Texas</td>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>Minimal Traditional</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Single Family</td>
<td>Domestic</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>901 10th Street Plano, Texas</td>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>1955</td>
<td>Irregular Plan</td>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>1302 13th Street Plano, Texas</td>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>ca. 1945</td>
<td>Rectangular Plan</td>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>1304 13th Street Plano, Texas</td>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>ca. 1945</td>
<td>Rectangular Plan</td>
<td>Manufacturing</td>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>Industry</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not Eligible</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>North of Technology Drive, East of Jupiter Rd. Plano, Texas</td>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>1951</td>
<td>Concrete Double-Barrel Culvert</td>
<td>Culvert</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Not individually eligible/Non-contributing resource to potential Historic Cotton Belt Railroad Thematic Corridor</td>
<td>No Impact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Resource 1

Resource 1 (Figures 3 and 4) is a wood trestle bridge constructed in ca. 1937. The bridge has replacement wood pile bents and wood abutments. Wood trestle bridges were a popular type of bridge constructed by railroads throughout Texas, for their low cost, simple design, and ease of construction. Due to their frequent use, several intact examples of wood trestle bridges survive today (Soloman 2007:57-59). Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, feeling, and association, its integrity of design, materials, and workmanship has been impacted due to modifications.

Because Resource 1 does not demonstrate a significant association with important early railroad developments in North Texas, and is not associated with any other significant events or persons, the rail-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion A or B. The resource was also constructed in a common design type, does not represent a significant engineering innovation, and does not exhibit the work of a prominent engineer or contractor; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C. The resource also has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory, and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D. In addition, due to the resource’s age, common design type, and lack of historic association and significance to the theme of transportation as it relates to early railroad development, Resource 1 is recommended as a non-contributing resource to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor.

Figure 3. Resource 1, looking east.
Figure 4. Resource 1, looking east.

Resource 2

Resource 2 (Figures 5 and 6) is a wood trestle bridge constructed in ca. 1937. The bridge has replacement wood pile bents and wood abutments. Wood trestle bridges were a popular type of bridge constructed by railroads throughout Texas, for their low cost, simple design, and ease of construction. Due to their frequent use, several intact examples of wood trestle bridges survive today (Soloman 2007:57-59). Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, feeling, and association, its integrity of design, materials, and workmanship has been impacted due to modifications.

Because Resource 2 does not demonstrate a significant association with important early railroad developments in North Texas, and is not associated with any other significant events or persons, the railroad-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion A or B. The resource was also constructed in a common design type, does not represent a significant engineering innovation, and does not exhibit the work of a prominent engineer or contractor; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C. The resource also has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory, and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D. In addition, due to the resource’s age, common design type, and lack of historic association and significance to the theme of transportation as it relates to early railroad development, Resource 2 is recommended as a non-contributing resource to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor.
Figure 5. Resource 2, looking east.

Figure 6. Resource 2, looking east.
Resource 3

Resource 3 (Figures 7 and 8), constructed in 1958, is a one-story, three bay, cross-hipped roof, Ranch style single-family dwelling. The façade exhibits two replacement single-door entrances with gable roof porches supported by replacement brick pillars. The windows along the façade are currently filled-in with plywood; however, windows along the west elevation are 1/1 double-hung. This building has undergone several modifications since it was first constructed, including the replacement of the exterior wall cladding, roof, doors, and windows. Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to modifications.

Because Resource 3 is not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and is not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resource also does not exhibit the work of a master craftsman, has been significantly modified with uncharacteristic design elements, and was constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

Figure 7. Resource 3, looking north.
Resource 4

Constructed in 1950, **Resource 4** (Figures 9 and 10) is a one-story, two bay, hipped roof with exposed rafters, Craftsman style single-family dwelling. The façade exhibits an inset porch with a single ornamental metal support. Since its construction the building has been severely modified, including the replacement of exterior wall cladding, roof, doors, and windows. Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to modifications.

Because **Resource 4** is not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and is not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resource also does not exhibit the work of a master craftsman, has been significantly modified with uncharacteristic design elements, and was constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 9. Resource 4, looking northeast.

Figure 10. Resource 4, looking north.
Resource 5

Resource 5 (Figures 11 and 12), constructed in 1958, is a one-story, three bay, side gabled roof with exposed rafters, Minimal Traditional style single-family dwelling. The building’s exterior walls are clad with replacement horizontal siding. The façade exhibits an offset single-door entrance, offset front facing gable roof porch with two ornamental metal supports, and three 1/1 wood sash windows. Overall, modifications include the replacement of the roof, wall cladding, and porch supports. Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to the addition of uncharacteristic materials and modifications.

Because Resource 5 is not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and is not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resource also does not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and was constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

Figure 11. Resource 5, looking north.
Resource 6

Constructed in 1957, Resource 6 (Figures 13 and 14) is a Minimal Traditional style one-story, three bay, cross-gabled roof single-family dwelling. The façade exhibits a centrally located single-door entrance flanked by replacement 2/2 aluminum-sash windows, and two front facing gable roof bays with replacement 2/2 aluminum-sash windows. The building’s exterior walls are clad with replacement horizontal siding. Overall, modifications include the replacement of the roof sheathing, door, windows, and wall cladding. Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to the addition of uncharacteristic materials.

Because Resource 6 is not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and is not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resource also does not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and was constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 13. Resource 6, looking north.

Figure 14. Resource 6, looking northwest.
Resource 7

Resource 7 (Figures 15 and 16), constructed in 1948, is a one-story, five bay, hipped with gable roof, Ranch style single-family dwelling. The façade exhibits five front facing gables, an offset single-door entrances, and four replacement 1/1 double-hung window. An attached stone wall projects west from the center bay of the façade, covering the side gabled west wing addition. Overall, modifications to this building include the addition of the west wing and the replacement of the roof, windows, doors, and exterior wall cladding. Although the resource retains integrity of location and setting, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association has been lost due to the addition of uncharacteristic materials and modifications.

Because Resource 7 is not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and is not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resource also does not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and was constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

Figure 15. Resource 7, looking northeast.
Resources 8a and 8b

Resource 8a (Figures 17 and 18) is a Ranch style single-family dwelling constructed in 1960. The building is one-story, three bays, and has a side facing gable roof. The building’s exterior walls are clad with replacement horizontal siding. The façade exhibits an inset porch with a single ornamental metal support, single-door entrance, picture window with side lights at the center bay, two replacement 2/2 aluminum sash windows at the east bay, and single car garage at the west bay. The façade’s center bay projects southward and has a flat roof with sloped eaves that extends over the porch. Overall, modifications to this building include the addition of the east wing and the replacement of the roof, windows, door, and exterior wall cladding. Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to the addition of uncharacteristic materials and modifications.

Resource 8b (Figure 19), constructed in 1960, is a one-story, two bay detached garage with a low pitched side gable roof and wood board exterior wall sheathing. The façade exhibits two replacement garage doors, one of which is collapsed. Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, design, workmanship, feeling, and association, integrity of materials has been somewhat compromised due to replacement materials.

Because Resources 8a and 8b are not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and are not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resources are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resources also do not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and were constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resources do not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resources have little potential to provide information that
may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

Figure 17. Resource 8a, looking northeast.
Figure 18. Resource 8a, looking north.

Figure 19. Resource 8b, looking northwest.

Resource 9

**Resource 9** (Figures 20 and 21), constructed in 1955, is a one-story, four bay, side gabled roof, Ranch style single-family dwelling. The façade exhibits two single-door entrances with a front facing gable roof porch supported by four wood posts. A picture window is featured on the façade’s west bay, while the remaining four windows are 2/2 aluminum sash. The building’s exterior walls are clad with replacement horizontal vinyl siding. Overall, modifications include the replacement of the roof, windows, doors, and exterior wall cladding. Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to uncharacteristic modifications.

Because **Resource 9** is not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and is not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resource also does not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and was constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 20. Resource 9, looking northwest.

Figure 21. Resource 9, looking north.
Resource 10

Constructed in 1948, Resource 10 (Figures 22 and 23) is a one-story, two bay, pyramidal with hip roof single-family dwelling. The building has an irregular plan due to additions, including the projecting east bay of the façade and a two unit addition at the north end of the building. The façade exhibits an offset single door entrance and shed roof porch supported by three wood posts that extends over the west and center bays. Windows throughout the building are replacement 2/2 aluminum-sash. Overall, modifications include two additions and the replacement of the roof, door, and windows. Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to the addition of uncharacteristic materials and modifications.

Because Resource 10 is not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and is not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resource also does not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and was constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

Figure 22. Resource 10, looking northeast.
Resource 11

Resource 11 (Figures 24 and 25), constructed in 1950, is a one-story, two bay with wings, side gabled roof Ranch style single-family dwelling. The building’s exterior walls are clad with replacement vinyl siding. A single-unit addition projects south from the west wing. The façade exhibits a centrally located single-door entrance flanked by paired 2/2 aluminum-sash windows and an integral porch supported by two wood posts. Overall, modifications include a single-unit addition and the replacement of the roof, windows, door, and exterior wall cladding. Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to the addition of uncharacteristic materials and modifications.

Because Resource 11 is not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and is not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resource also does not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and was constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 24. Resource 11, looking northeast.

Figure 25. Resource 11, looking north.
Resource 12

Resource 12 (Figures 26 and 27) is a wood trestle bridge constructed in ca. 1937. The bridge has replacement wood pile bents and wood abutments. Wood trestle bridges were a popular type of bridge constructed by railroads throughout Texas, for their low cost, simple design, and ease of construction. Due to their frequent use, several intact examples of wood trestle bridges survive today (Soloman 2007:57-59). Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, feeling, and association, its integrity of design, materials, and workmanship has been impacted due to modifications.

Because Resource 12 does not demonstrate a significant association with important early railroad developments in North Texas, and is not associated with any other significant events or persons, the rail-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion A or B. The resource was also constructed in a common design type, does not represent a significant engineering innovation, and does not exhibit the work of a prominent engineer or contractor; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C. The resource also has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory, and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D. In addition, due to the resource’s age, common design type, and lack of historic association and significance to the theme of transportation as it relates to early railroad development, Resource 12 is recommended as a non-contributing resource to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor.

Figure 26. Resource 12, looking west.
Resource 13

Resource 13 (Figures 28 and 29), constructed in 1966, is a one-story, three bay, cross-gabled roof, Ranch style single-family dwelling. The building’s exterior walls are clad with replacement vinyl siding and brick. The façade exhibits an offset inset porch supported by a single ornamental metal support and a single door entrance. Windows throughout the building are aluminum-sash and have non-functional shutters. Overall, modifications include the replacement of the roof, door, and exterior wall cladding. Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, feeling, and association, its integrity of design, materials, and workmanship has been lost due to the replacement of original materials.

Because Resource 13 is not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and is not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resource also does not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and was constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 28. Resource 13, looking north.

Figure 29. Resource 13, looking northwest.
Resource 14

Resource 14 (Figures 30, 31, and 32) is a grouping of Ranch style single-family dwellings constructed 1970-1971. These resources are located in a cul-de-sac and share similar design characteristics. In general the buildings are one-story, three bay, have side gable roofs, and one or two bay attached garages. Windows include plate glass and 2/2 aluminum-sash. The primary entrances are single-door entrances centrally located on the façade. Modifications to the buildings include replacement roofs and doors. Although these resources retain integrity of location, setting, design, workmanship, feeling, and association, their integrity of materials has been lost due to the replacement or original materials.

Because Resources 14 are not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and are not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resources are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resources also do not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and were constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resources do not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resources have little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

Figure 30. Resource 14, general view looking north.
Figure 31. Resource 14, representative example, looking northwest.

Figure 32. Resource 14, representative example, looking northeast.
Resource 15

Resource 15 (Figures 33 and 34) is a wood trestle bridge constructed in ca. 1937. The bridge has replacement wood pile bents and wood abutments. Wood trestle bridges were a popular type of bridge constructed by railroads throughout Texas, for their low cost, simple design, and ease of construction. Due to their frequent use, several intact examples of wood trestle bridges survive today (Soloman 2007:57-59). Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, feeling, and association, its integrity of design, materials, and workmanship has been impacted due to modifications.

Because Resource 15 does not demonstrate a significant association with important early railroad developments in North Texas, and is not associated with any other significant events or persons, the rail-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion A or B. The resource was also constructed in a common design type, does not represent a significant engineering innovation, and does not exhibit the work of a prominent engineer or contractor; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C. The resource also has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory, and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D. In addition, due to the resource’s age, common design type, and lack of historic association and significance to the theme of transportation as it relates to early railroad development, Resource 15 is recommended as a non-contributing resource to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor.

Figure 33. Resource 15, looking east.
Resource 16

Resource 16 (Figures 35 and 36) is a wood trestle bridge constructed in ca. 1937. The bridge has replacement wood pile bents and wood abutments. Wood trestle bridges were a popular type of bridge constructed by railroads throughout Texas, for their low cost, simple design, and ease of construction. Due to their frequent use, several intact examples of wood trestle bridges survive today (Soloman 2007:57-59). Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, feeling, and association, its integrity of design, materials, and workmanship has been impacted due to modifications.

Because Resource 16 does not demonstrate a significant association with important early railroad developments in North Texas, and is not associated with any other significant events or persons, the rail-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion A or B. The resource was also constructed in a common design type, does not represent a significant engineering innovation, and does not exhibit the work of a prominent engineer or contractor; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C. The resource also has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory, and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D. In addition, due to the resource’s age, common design type, and lack of historic association and significance to the theme of transportation as it relates to early railroad development, Resource 16 is recommended as a non-contributing resource to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor.
Figure 35. Resource 16, looking west.

Figure 36. Resource 16, looking east.
Resource 17

Resource 17 (Figures 37 and 38) is a wood trestle bridge constructed in ca. 1937. The bridge has replacement wood pile bents and replacement concrete bents. Wood trestle bridges were a popular type of bridge constructed by railroads throughout Texas, for their low cost, simple design, and ease of construction. Due to their frequent use, several intact examples of wood trestle bridges survive today (Soloman 2007:57-59). Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, feeling, and association, its integrity of design, materials, and workmanship has been impacted due to modifications.

Because Resource 17 does not demonstrate a significant association with important early railroad developments in North Texas, and is not associated with any other significant events or persons, the rail-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion A or B. The resource was also constructed in a common design type, does not represent a significant engineering innovation, and does not exhibit the work of a prominent engineer or contractor; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C. The resource also has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory, and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D. In addition, due to the resource’s age, common design type, and lack of historic association and significance to the theme of transportation as it relates to early railroad development, Resource 17 is recommended as a non-contributing resource to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor.

Figure 37. Resource 17, looking west.
Resource 18

Resource 18 (Figures 39 and 40) is a wood trestle bridge constructed in ca. 1937. The bridge has replacement wood pile bents and wood abutments. Wood trestle bridges were a popular type of bridge constructed by railroads throughout Texas, for their low cost, simple design, and ease of construction. Due to their frequent use, several intact examples of wood trestle bridges survive today (Soloman 2007:57-59). Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, feeling, and association, its integrity of design, materials, and workmanship has been impacted due to modifications.

Because Resource 18 does not demonstrate a significant association with important early railroad developments in North Texas, and is not associated with any other significant events or persons, the rail-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion A or B. The resource was also constructed in a common design type, does not represent a significant engineering innovation, and does not exhibit the work of a prominent engineer or contractor; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C. The resource also has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory, and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D. In addition, due to the resource’s age, common design type, and lack of historic association and significance to the theme of transportation as it relates to early railroad development, Resource 18 is recommended as a non-contributing resource to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor.
Figure 39. Resource 18, looking northwest.

Figure 40. Resource 18, looking west.
Resource 19

Resource 19 (Figures 41 and 42) is a wood trestle bridge constructed in ca. 1937. The bridge has replacement wood pile bents and wood abutments. Wood trestle bridges were a popular type of bridge constructed by railroads throughout Texas, for their low cost, simple design, and ease of construction. Due to their frequent use, several intact examples of wood trestle bridges survive today (Soloman 2007:57-59). Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, feeling, and association, its integrity of design, materials, and workmanship has been impacted due to modifications.

Because Resource 19 does not demonstrate a significant association with important early railroad developments in North Texas, and is not associated with any other significant events or persons, the rail-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion A or B. The resource was also constructed in a common design type, does not represent a significant engineering innovation, and does not exhibit the work of a prominent engineer or contractor; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C. The resource also has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory, and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D. In addition, due to the resource’s age, common design type, and lack of historic association and significance to the theme of transportation as it relates to early railroad development, Resource 19 is recommended as a non-contributing resource to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor.

Figure 41. Resource 19, looking west.
Resource 20

Resource 20 (Figures 43 and 44) is a wood trestle bridge constructed in ca. 1937. The bridge has replacement wood pile bents and wood abutments. Wood trestle bridges were a popular type of bridge constructed by railroads throughout Texas, for their low cost, simple design, and ease of construction. Due to their frequent use, several intact examples of wood trestle bridges survive today (Soloman 2007:57-59). Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, feeling, and association, its integrity of design, materials, and workmanship has been impacted due to modifications.

Because Resource 20 does not demonstrate a significant association with important early railroad developments in North Texas, and is not associated with any other significant events or persons, the railroad-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion A or B. The resource was also constructed in a common design type, does not represent a significant engineering innovation, and does not exhibit the work of a prominent engineer or contractor; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C. The resource also has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory, and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D. In addition, due to the resource’s age, common design type, and lack of historic association and significance to the theme of transportation as it relates to early railroad development, Resource 20 is recommended as a non-contributing resource to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor.
Figure 43. Resource 20, looking northwest.

Figure 44. Resource 20, looking east.
Resource 21

Resource 21 (Figures 45 and 46) is the Carrollton Depot, located on Denton Drive at the railroad tracks in the DART station parking area. The Carrollton Crossing Depot was constructed in 1925 and served the three railroads that came through Carrollton (City of Carrollton 2012). The building is an L-shaped structure with wood siding and a hipped roof with deep overhanging eaves and 6/6 windows. As part of a Memorandum of Agreement between DART and the THC, the Carrollton Depot building was relocated to its current site and rehabilitated by DART (DART 2013).

The Carrollton Depot (Resource 21) was previously determined eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A for its association with early railroads and the development of Carrollton, and Criterion C as an example of a 1920s railroad depot. Research conducted for this study did not discover any information that would dispute the previous recommendation. Therefore, Resource 21 retains its eligibility status for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and C. In addition, Resource 21 is recommended as a contributing resource to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor.

Figure 45. Resource 21, looking southwest.
Resource 22

Resource 22 (Figures 47 and 48), constructed in 1954, is a one-story, three bay, gabled roof commercial building with corrugated metal cladding covering the exterior walls. A one bay shed roof addition projects from the northeast elevation. The façade exhibits an awning over a modified single door entrance flanked by paired plate-glass windows. Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, feeling, and association, integrity of design, materials, and workmanship has been lost due to modifications.

Because Resource 22 is not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and is not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the commercial-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resource also does not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and was constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 47. Resource 22, looking east.

Figure 48. Resource 22, looking southeast.
Resource 23

Resource 23 (Figures 49, 50, 51, and 52) is a grouping of Minimal Traditional single-family dwellings constructed in 1952. These resources are located in a cul-de-sac and share similar stylistic characteristics. In general, the resources are one-story, two bay, and have side gabled roofs with front facing gable roof porches. Windows include 1/1 and 2/2 aluminum and vinyl sash. Primary entrances are single-door and centrally located on the façade. Modifications to the buildings include replacement roof sheathing, doors, and windows. Although these resources retain integrity of location, setting, feeling, and association, their integrity of design, materials, and workmanship has been lost due to the addition of uncharacteristic materials and modifications.

Because Resources 23 are not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and are not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resources are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resources also do not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and were constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resources do not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resources have little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

Figure 49. Resource 23, representative example, looking northwest.
Figure 50. Resource 23, representative example, looking northwest.

Figure 51. Resource 23, representative example, looking north.
Figure 52. Resource 23, representative example looking north.

**Resource 24**

Resource 24 (Figures 53, 54, 55, and 56) is a grouping of Ranch style single-family dwellings constructed in 1971-1972. These resources are located in a cul-de-sac and share similar stylistic characteristics. In general, these resources are one-story, two or three bays, and have hipped roofs. The exterior walls are clad with brick. Windows include multiple light double-hung and multiple light fixed. Several of the dwellings have attached garages that project either from the façade or a side elevation. The primary entrances are single door entrances positioned in the center or offset along the façade. Modifications include the replacement of roofs and doors. Although these resources retain integrity of location, setting, design, workmanship, feeling, and association, their integrity of materials has lost due to the replacement of original materials.

Because Resources 24 are not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and are not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resources are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resources also do not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and were constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resources do not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resources have little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 53. Resource 24, general view, looking southeast.

Figure 54. Resource 24, general view, looking southeast.
Figure 55. Resource 24, general view, looking west.

Figure 56. Resource 24, representative example, looking southeast.
Resource 25

Resource 25 (Figures 57 and 58) is the Hilltop Memorial Park cemetery located at Cecil Drive and Perry Road in Carrollton, Dallas County, Texas. Burial dates within the cemetery range from 1954 to 2013. The cemetery exhibits a variety of headstones including marble and granite monuments. As a contemporary style cemetery, Resource 25 retains integrity of location, setting, design, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association.

Because Resource 25 is not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and is not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the funerary-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A and B. The resource also does not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and was designed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory. Therefore, it is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D. In addition, because the resource is not an integral part of an NRHP eligible historic district, does not derive its primary significance from graves of persons of transcendent importance, is not associated with historic events, is not significant for its age, and does not represent distinctive design features, the resource does not meet the requirements for eligibility under Criterion Consideration D.

Figure 57. Resource 25, looking north.
Resource 26

Resource 26 (Figures 59 and 60), constructed in 1967, is a one-story, three bay, cross-hipped roof, Ranch style single-family dwelling with a slab foundation. The building’s exterior walls are clad with brick. The façade exhibits an inset porch with an offset single door entrance. Windows throughout the dwelling are replacement multiple light vinyl sash. Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, workmanship, feeling, and association, its integrity of materials and design has been lost due to the replacement of the windows.

Because Resource 26 is not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and is not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resource also does not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and was constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 59. Resource 26, looking east.

Figure 60. Resource 26, looking east.
Resource 27

Resource 27 (Figures 61 and 62) is a single barrel concrete culvert constructed in 1970. The structure has a formed concrete headwall and single concrete barrel. The structure does not appear to have been modified since its construction; however, deterioration is evident on the top north corner of the headwall. The resource has retained integrity of location, setting, design, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association.

Because Resource 27 does not demonstrate a significant association with important early railroad developments in North Texas, and is not associated with any other significant events or persons, the rail-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion A or B. The resource was also constructed in a common design type, does not represent a significant engineering innovation, and does not exhibit the work of a prominent engineer or contractor; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C. The resource also has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory, and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D. In addition, due to the resource’s age, common design type, and lack of historic association and significance to the theme of transportation as it relates to early railroad development, Resource 27 is recommended as a non-contributing resource to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor.

Figure 61. Resource 27, looking southwest.
Resource 28

Resource 28 (Figures 63 and 64) is a grouping of Ranch style single-family dwellings constructed in 1968. These resources are located in a cul-de-sac and share similar stylistic characteristics. In general, the resources are one-story, three bay, have side gabled roofs with a front facing gable roof porch, and attached garages. Windows include 1/1 or 2/2 aluminum or vinyl sash. Primary entrances are single-door either offset or centrally located on the façade. Modifications to these buildings include the replacement of roof sheathing, doors, and windows. Although these resources retain integrity of location, setting, design, workmanship, feeling, and association, their integrity of materials has been lost due to replacement materials.

Because Resources 28 are not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and are not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resources are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resources also do not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and were constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resources do not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resources have little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 63. Resource 28, general view of representative examples, looking southeast.

Figure 64. Resource 28, general view of representative examples, looking southwest.
Resource 29

Resource 29 (Figures 65 and 66) is a grouping of Ranch style single-family dwellings constructed in 1968. These resources are located in a cul-de-sac and share similar stylistic characteristics. In general, the resources are one-story, three bay, have side gabled roofs with front facing gable roof porches, and attached garages. Windows include 1/1 or 2/2 aluminum or vinyl sash. Primary entrances are single-door either offset or centrally located on the façade. Modifications to the buildings include the replacement of roof sheathing, doors, and windows. Although these resources retain integrity of location, setting, design, workmanship, feeling, and association, their integrity of materials has been lost due to replacement materials.

Because Resources 29 are not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and are not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resources are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resources also do not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and were constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resources do not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resources have little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

Figure 65. Resource 29, general view of representative examples, looking southeast.
Resource 30

Resource 30 (Figures 67 and 68) is a grouping of Ranch style single-family dwellings constructed in 1968. These resources are located in a cul-de-sac and share similar stylistic characteristics. In general, the resources are one-story, three bay, have side gabled roofs with a front facing gable roof porches, and attached garages. Windows include 1/1 or 2/2 aluminum or vinyl sash. Primary entrances are single-door either offset or centrally located on the façade. Modifications to these buildings include the replacement of roof sheathing, doors, and windows. Although these resources retain integrity of location, setting, design, workmanship, feeling, and association, their integrity of materials has been lost due to replacement materials.

Because Resources 30 are not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and are not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resources are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resources also do not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and were constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resources do not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resources have little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 67. Resource 30, general view of representative examples, looking southeast.

Figure 68. Resource 30, general view of representative examples, looking southwest.
Resource 31 (Figures 69 and 70) is a grouping of Ranch style single-family dwellings constructed in 1968. These resources are located in a cul-de-sac and share similar stylistic characteristics. In general, the resources are one-story, three bay, have side gabled roofs with a front facing gable roof porches, and attached garages. Windows include 1/1 or 2/2 aluminum or vinyl sash. Primary entrances are single-door either offset or centrally located on the façade. Modifications to the buildings include the replacement of roof sheathing, doors, and windows. Although these resources retain integrity of location, setting, design, workmanship, feeling, and association, their integrity of materials has been lost due to replacement materials.

Because Resources 31 are not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and are not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resources are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resources also do not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and were constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resources do not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resources have little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

Figure 69. Resource 31, general view of representative examples, looking southeast.
Resource 32

Resource 32 (Figures 71 and 72) is a grouping of multi-family dwellings (apartment complex) constructed in 1970. These resources are two-story and have multiple bays to accommodate several apartment units. Open stairwells are intermittently located to allow access to each unit. The buildings have flat on gable roofs and vinyl and brick cladding on the exterior walls. Windows include 1/1 and 2/2 vinyl sash. Modifications to these buildings include the replacement of roof sheathing, exterior wall cladding, and windows. Although these resources retain integrity of location, setting, design, workmanship, feeling, and association, their integrity of materials has been lost due to replacement materials.

Because Resources 32 are not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and are not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resources are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resources also do not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and were constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resources do not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resources have little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 71. Resource 32, general view of representative example, looking northeast.

Figure 72. Resource 32, general view of representative example, looking northwest.
**Resource 33**

**Resource 33** (Figures 73 and 74) is a grouping of Ranch style single-family dwellings constructed in 1968. These resources are located in a cul-de-sac and share similar stylistic characteristics. In general, the resources are one-story, three bay, have side gabled roofs with a front facing gable roof porches, and attached garages. Windows include 1/1 or 2/2 aluminum or vinyl sash. Primary entrances are single-door either offset or centrally located on the façade. Modifications to the buildings include the replacement of roof sheathing, doors, and windows. Although these resources retain integrity of location, setting, design, workmanship, feeling, and association, their integrity of materials has been lost due to replacement materials.

Because **Resources 33** are not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and are not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resources are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resources also do not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and were constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resources do not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resources have little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

![Figure 73. Resource 33, general view of representative example, looking south.](image-url)
Resource 34 (Figures 75 and 76) is a grouping of Ranch style single-family dwellings constructed in 1970. These resources are located in a cul-de-sac and share similar stylistic characteristics. In general, the resources are one-story, three bay, have side gabled roofs with a front facing gable roof porches, and attached garages. Windows include 1/1 or 2/2 aluminum or vinyl sash. Primary entrances are single-door either offset or centrally located on the façade. Modifications to the buildings include the replacement of roof sheathing, doors, and windows. Although these resources retain integrity of location, setting, design, workmanship, feeling, and association, their integrity of materials has been lost due to replacement materials.

Because Resources 34 are not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and are not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resources are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resources also do not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and were constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resources do not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resources have little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 75. Resource 34, general view of representative examples, looking west.

Figure 76. Resource 34, general view of representative examples, looking southeast.
Resource 35

Resource 35 (Figures 77 and 78) is the Korean Church of Dallas located on North Josey Lane in Carrollton, Dallas County, Texas. The building, constructed in 1963, has a gabled roof topped by a four part steeple. The exterior walls are coated concrete masonry unit (CMU). The façade exhibits a portico supported by fluted columns and a double glass door entrance with side lights. Modifications to the building include the addition of the portico, replacement of the entrance, and addition of the exterior wall coating. Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to modifications.

Because Resource 35 is not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and is not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the religious-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A and B. The resource also does not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and was constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory. Therefore, it is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D. In addition, because the resource is not an integral part of an NRHP eligible historic district, does not derive its primary significance from architectural or artistic distinction or historical importance, the resource does not meet the requirements for eligibility under Criterion Consideration A.

Figure 77. Resource 35, looking north.
Resource 36

Resource 36 (Figures 79 and 80), constructed in 1967, is a one-story eight bay commercial building. The roof consists of two parallel gables separated by a flat roofed addition. Exterior walls are clad with corrugated metal. The building has three entrances, one located on the south side of the façade consisting of a double glass door entrance topped by a flat roof canopy with wood posts supports, and two located on the north side of the façade consisting of double glass door entrances topped by rounded canopies. Modifications to this building include the addition of the flat roofed section between the two gables and the replacement of the roof, exterior wall cladding, and entrances. Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to modifications.

Because Resource 36 is not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and is not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the commercial-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resource also does not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and was constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

Figure 78. Resource 35, looking northeast.
Figure 79. Resource 36, looking west.

Figure 80. Resource 36, looking northwest.
Resource 37

Resource 37 (Figures 81 and 82), constructed in 1967, is a one-story, three bay, flat roofed commercial garage building. The exterior walls are clad with brick and three metal overhead doors line the façade. The resource retains integrity of location, setting, design, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association.

Because Resource 37 is not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and is not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the commercial-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resource also does not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and was constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

Figure 81. Resource 37, looking southeast.
Resource 38

Resource 38 (Figures 83 and 84) is a grouping of Ranch style single-family dwellings constructed in 1971. These resources are located in a cul-de-sac and share similar stylistic characteristics. In general, the resources are one-story, three or four bay, have side gabled or hipped roofs, and attached garages. Windows include 1/1 and 2/2 aluminum or vinyl sash. Primary entrances are a single door either offset or centrally located on the façade. Modifications to the buildings include the replacement of roof sheathing, doors, and windows. Although these resources retain integrity of location, setting, design, workmanship, feeling, and association, their integrity of materials has been lost due to replacement materials.

Because Resources 38 are not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and are not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resources are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resources also do not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and were constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resources do not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resources have little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 83. Resource 38, general view of representative example, looking southeast.

Figure 84. Resource 38, general view of representative example, looking south.
Resource 39

Resource 39 (Figures 85 and 86) is a grouping of Ranch style single-family dwellings constructed in 1971. These resources are located in a cul-de-sac and share similar stylistic characteristics. In general, the resources are one-story, three or four bay, have side gabled or hipped roofs, and attached garages. Windows include 1/1 and 2/2 aluminum or vinyl sash. Primary entrances are single-door either offset or centrally located on the façade. Modifications to these buildings include the replacement of roof sheathing, doors, and windows. Although these resources retain integrity of location, setting, design, workmanship, feeling, and association, their integrity of materials has been lost due to replacement materials.

Because Resources 39 are not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and are not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resources are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resources also do not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and were constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resources do not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resources have little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

Figure 85. Resource 39, view of representative example, looking south.
Resource 40

Resource 40 (Figures 87 and 88) is a grouping of Ranch style single-family dwellings constructed in 1970. These resources are located in a cul-de-sac and share similar stylistic characteristics. In general, the resources are one-story, three or four bay, have side gabled or hipped roofs, and attached garages. Windows include 6/6 or 8/8 aluminum or vinyl sash. Primary entrances are single-doors either offset or centrally located on the façade. Modifications to these buildings include the replacement of roof sheathing, doors, and windows. Although these resources retain integrity of location, setting, design, workmanship, feeling, and association, their integrity of materials has been lost due to replacement materials.

Because Resources 40 are not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and are not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resources are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resources also do not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and were constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resources do not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resources have little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 87. Resource 40, view of representative example, looking southwest.

Figure 88. Resource 40, view of representative example, looking southwest.
Resource 41

Resource 41 (Figures 89 and 90) is a grouping of Ranch style single-family dwellings constructed in 1970. These resources are located in a cul-de-sac and share similar stylistic characteristics. In general, the resources are one-story, three or four bay, have side gabled or hipped roofs, and attached garages. Windows include multiple light aluminum or vinyl sash and picture windows. Primary entrances are single doors either offset or centrally located on the façade. Modifications to these buildings include the replacement of roof sheathing, doors, and windows. Although these resources retain integrity of location, setting, design, workmanship, feeling, and association, their integrity of materials has been lost due to replacement materials.

Because Resources 41 are not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and are not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resources are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resources also do not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and were constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resources do not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resources have little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

Figure 89. Resource 41, view of representative example, looking southeast.
Figure 90. Resource 41, view of representative example, looking south.

**Resource 42**

**Resource 42** (Figures 91 and 92) is a grouping of Ranch style single-family dwellings constructed in 1971. These resources are located in a cul-de-sac and share similar stylistic characteristics. In general, the resources are one-story, three or four bay, have side gabled or hipped roofs, and attached garages. Windows include multiple light aluminum or vinyl sash. Primary entrances are single doors either offset or centrally located on the façade. Modifications to these buildings include the replacement of roof sheathing, doors, and windows. Although these resources retain integrity of location, setting, design, workmanship, feeling, and association, their integrity of materials has been lost due to replacement materials.

Because **Resources 42** are not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and are not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the domestic-related resources are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resources also do not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and were constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resources do not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resources have little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and are recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 91. Resource 42, view of representative example, looking southeast.

Figure 92. Resource 42, view of representative example, looking southwest.
Resource 43

Resource 43 (Figures 93 and 94), constructed in 1967, is a commercial building with office and warehouse facilities located southwest of the Addison Airport. The building is one-story, has a flat roof at the front of the building, gabled roof over the warehouse, brick and corrugated metal cladding, and fixed windows. A wing addition projects from the northeast elevation. The façade exhibits a flat roof portico supported by stone pillars and a double glass door entrance with transom and sidelights. Modifications to this building include the addition of the wing projecting from the northeast elevation, addition of the portico, and replacement of the primary entrance. Although this resource retains integrity of location, setting, and association, its integrity of materials, design, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to modifications.

Because Resource 43 is not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Dallas County and is not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the commercial-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resource also does not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and was constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

Figure 93. Resource 43, looking southeast.
Resource 44

Resource 44 (Figures 95 and 96) is the Addison State Bank, located at 4803 Broadway in Addison, Texas. This resource was previously designated an RTHL. The building was constructed in 1913 to house the Addison State Bank, which had been organized the previous year to serve the new railroad community of Addison. The bank closed in 1926, after which the brick commercial structure served as a mercantile store for many years. The building is a one-story brick structure that features corbeled brickwork at the cornice. The façade features a replacement plate glass window with transom and replacement single glass door entrance with transom. The Addison State Bank building is one of the few remaining structures from the original town (THC 1984). The resource retains integrity of location, setting, workmanship, feeling, and association; however, integrity of design and materials has been lost due to uncharacteristic modifications.

As a building associated with a pattern of early community development in Addison, Texas, Resource 44 (Addison State Bank) is recommended eligible for the NRHP under Criterion A. The resource, however, is not associated with any other historically significant person (Criterion B), does not retain sufficient integrity to convey its architectural significance (Criterion C), nor does it possess the potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory (Criterion D). Therefore, Resource 44 is recommended eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A.
Figure 95. Resource 44, looking north.

Figure 96. Resource 44, looking northwest.
Resource 45

Resource 45 (Figures 97 and 98) is the White Rock Creek Bridge constructed in 1917. The bridge is a single span Warren with Verticals Pony Truss manufactured by the American Bridge Company. The American Bridge Company was organized by J.P. Morgan and Company and was incorporated in New Jersey in 1900. Within a year, it became a subsidiary of U.S. Steel Corporation, and maintained offices in New Jersey with headquarters in New York. The American Bridge Company purchased 24 fabricating companies during the first year of its incorporation; comprising 50 percent of the nation's fabricating capacity (Darnell 1984:85).

The Warren Truss, first developed in 1848 by James Warren and Willoughby Monzoni, was one of the most commonly used truss configurations in the early twentieth century due to its easy assembly on site. A true Warren Truss can be identified by the presence of many isosceles triangles, and these triangles can be further subdivided with a vertical member creating the Warren with Verticals Truss (Holth 2006:19-23).

The White Rock Creek Bridge (Resource 45) was previously determined eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion C for its design as a Warren Pony Truss (THC 2009). Research conducted for this study did not discover any information that would dispute the previous recommendation. Therefore, Resource 45 retains its eligibility status for listing in the NRHP under Criterion C. In addition, Resource 45 is recommended as a contributing resource to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor.

Figure 97. Resource 45, looking east.
Resource 46

Resource 46 (Figures 99 and 100), also known as McCamy Branch Bridge, is a wood trestle bridge constructed in 1937. The bridge has replacement wood pile bents and wood abutments. Wood trestle bridges were a popular type of bridge constructed by railroads throughout Texas, for their low cost, simple design, and ease of construction. Due to their frequent use, several intact examples of wood trestle bridges survive today (Soloman 2007:57-59). Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, feeling, and association, its integrity of design, materials, and workmanship has been impacted due to modifications.

Resource 46 was previously determined not eligible for listing in the NRHP (THC 2009). Research conducted for this study did not discover any information that would dispute the previous recommendation. Therefore, Resource 46 retains its eligibility status of not eligible. In addition, due to the resource’s age, common design type, and lack of historic association and significance to the theme of transportation as it relates to early railroad development, Resource 46 is recommended as a non-contributing resource to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor.
Figure 99. Resource 46, looking west.

Figure 100. Resource 46, looking east.
Resource 47

Resource 47 (Figures 101 and 102) is a wood trestle bridge constructed in 1937. The bridge has replacement wood pile bents and wood abutments. Wood trestle bridges were a popular type of bridge constructed by railroads throughout Texas, for their low cost, simple design, and ease of construction. Due to their frequent use, several intact examples of wood trestle bridges survive today (Soloman 2007:57-59). Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, feeling, and association, its integrity of design, materials, and workmanship has been impacted due to modifications.

Resource 47 was previously determined not eligible for listing in the NRHP (THC 2009). Research conducted for this study did not discover any information that would dispute the previous recommendation. Therefore, Resource 46 retains its eligibility status of not eligible. In addition, due to the resource’s age, common design type, and lack of historic association and significance to the theme of transportation as it relates to early railroad development, Resource 47 is recommended as a non-contributing resource to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor.

Figure 101. Resource 47, looking southwest.
Resource 48

Resource 48 (Figures 103 and 104), also known as Spanky Branch Bridge 1, is a Half-Through Plate Girder Bridge constructed in ca. 1940. Plate Girder Bridges, first developed in the 1830s, use metal plates to create the bridge walls (web) rather than the more complicated vertical and diagonal members used in truss bridges. This bridge type comes in two forms, either a deck (walls below the deck) or half-through (walls above the deck) and is typically used for spans measuring 80 to 120 feet. Simple in design, plate girders could be assembled offsite, reducing the amount of time and labor needed for its installation. Due to its ease of construction and cost effectiveness, plate girder bridges (deck and half-through types) became popular among railroads after World War I, and were predominantly used through the mid-twentieth century to replace older and more complex truss bridges (Solomon 2007:119). The use of plate girder bridges remains a common bridge type used for highway and railroad construction (Slater and Jackson 2005:3-110).

Resource 48 was previously determined to have unknown eligibility due to the lack of available information regarding this property type (THC 2009). However, during this investigation the resource was found to lack a significant association with important early railroad developments in North Texas, and lack an association with any other significant events or persons. Thus, the rail-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion A or B. The resource was also constructed in a common design type, does not represent a significant engineering innovation, and does not exhibit the work of a prominent engineer or contractor; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C. The resource also has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D. In addition, due to the resource’s age, common design type, and lack of historic association and significance to the theme of...
transportation as it relates to early railroad development, **Resource 48** is recommended as a non-contributing resource to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor.

Figure 103. Resource 48, looking east.

Figure 104. Resource 48, looking east.
Resource 49

Resource 49 (Figures 105 and 106), constructed in 1907, was originally used as a single-family dwelling and is now a commercial building. The resource is one-story, three bay, has a cross-gabled roof, and irregular floor plan. The roof and exterior walls are sheathed with corrugated metal. A double door entrance, likely for automobiles, is located on the north bay of the façade. A single door entry is located on the north elevation. Modifications to this resource include the two small additions projecting from the west elevation and north elevation and the replacement of the roof and wall sheathing and doors. Although this resource retains integrity of location, its integrity of setting, design, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association has been lost due to the building’s change in use and modifications.

Because Resource 49 is not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Collin County and is not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the commercial-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resource also does not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and was constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

Figure 105. Resource 49, looking northwest.
Resource 50

Resource 50 (Figures 107 and 108) is a modified wood trestle bridge constructed in ca. 1940. The bridge has replacement wood pile bents and replacement concrete bents. Wood trestle bridges were a popular type of bridge constructed by railroads throughout Texas, for their low cost, simple design, and ease of construction. Due to their frequent use, several intact examples of wood trestle bridges survive today (Soloman 2007:57-59). Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been impacted due to modifications.

Because Resource 50 does not demonstrate a significant association with important early railroad developments in North Texas, and is not associated with any other significant events or persons, the railroad-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion A or B. The resource was also constructed in a common design type, does not represent a significant engineering innovation, and does not exhibit the work of a prominent engineer or contractor; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C. The resource also has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory, and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D. In addition, due to the resource’s age, common design type, and lack of historic association and significance to the theme of transportation as it relates to early railroad development, Resource 50 is recommended as a non-contributing resource to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor.
Figure 107. Resource 50, looking west.

Figure 108. Resource 50, looking northeast.
Resource 51

**Resource 51** (Figures 109 and 110), also known as Spring Creek Bridge, is a Deck Plate Girder Bridge constructed in ca. 1940. Plate Girder Bridges, first developed in the 1830s, uses metal plates to create the bridge walls (web) rather than the more complicated vertical and diagonal members used in truss bridges. This bridge type comes in two forms, either a deck (walls below the deck) or half-through (walls above the deck) and is typically used for spans measuring 80 to 120 feet. Simple in design, plate girders could be assembled offsite, reducing the amount of time and labor needed for its installation. Due to its ease of construction and cost effectiveness, plate girder bridges (deck and half-through types) became popular among railroads after World War I, and were predominantly used through the mid-twentieth century to replace older and more complex truss bridges (Solomon 2007:119). The use of plate girder bridges remains a common bridge type used for highway and railroad construction (Slater and Jackson 2005:3-110).

Because **Resource 51** does not demonstrate a significant association with important early railroad developments in North Texas, and is not associated with any other significant events or persons, the rail-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion A or B. The resource was also constructed in a common design type, does not represent a significant engineering innovation, and does not exhibit the work of a prominent engineer or contractor; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C. The resource also has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory, and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D. In addition, due to the resource’s age, common design type, and lack of historic association and significance to the theme of transportation as it relates to early railroad development, **Resource 51** is recommended as a non-contributing resource to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor.

![Resource 51](image.jpg)

Figure 109. Resource 51, looking northeast.
Resource 52

Resource 52 (Figures 111 and 112), constructed in 1948, is a single-family dwelling in what is known locally as the Douglass Community. The resource is one-story, three bay, has a replacement cross-hipped roof, and an irregular floor plan. The exterior walls are clad with replacement horizontal vinyl siding. Exhibited on the façade is a central inset porch supported by a single wood post and single door entrance. Windows include a replacement picture window with sidelights and replacement 1/1 double-hung. Modifications to the resource include the replacement of the roof, door, windows, and wall cladding. Although this resource retains integrity of location and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to uncharacteristic modifications. The resource’s integrity of setting has also been compromised due to increased non-historic development in the surrounding area.

Due to the lack of integrity Resources 52 no longer conveys its historic significance and fails to meet the requirements for NRHP eligibility under Criterion A for its association with a pattern of community development in Collin County, Criterion B for association with an important person or historically significant event, and Criterion C for design or architectural significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory. Therefore, it is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 111. Resource 52, looking east.

Figure 112. Resource 52, looking southeast.
Resource 53

Resource 53 (Figures 113 and 114), constructed in 1918, is a single-family dwelling in what is known locally as the Douglass Community. The resource is one-story, two bay, has a pyramidal roof, and a simple floor plan (Foursquare). The exterior walls are clad with replacement horizontal vinyl siding. The façade exhibits an offset single door entrance flanked by replacement 2/2 double-hung windows. A wood porch exhibiting a balustrade with lattice work projects from the façade. The building’s pier and beam foundation is covered with corrugated metal. Modifications to this resource include the replacement of the roof, wall cladding, windows, doors, and porch. Although this resource retains integrity of location and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to uncharacteristic modifications. The resource’s integrity of setting has also been compromised due to increased non-historic development in the surrounding area.

Due to the lack of integrity Resources 53 no longer conveys its historic significance and fails to meet the requirements for NRHP eligibility under Criterion A for its association with a pattern of community development in Collin County, Criterion B for association with an important person or historically significant event, and Criterion C for design or architectural significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory. Therefore, it is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

Figure 113. Resource 53, looking northwest.
Resource 54

Resource 54 (Figures 115 and 116), constructed in 1945, is a single-family dwelling in what is known locally as the Douglass Community. The resource is one-story, three bay, has a side gabled roof, and a rectangular floor plan. The exterior walls are clad with replacement horizontal vinyl siding. The façade exhibits a centrally located single door entrance with a shed roof porch supported by wood posts. The entrance is flanked by replacement 6/6 double-hung windows, which are found throughout the building. Modifications to the resource include the replacement of the roof, door, windows, and wall cladding. Although this resource retains integrity of location and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to uncharacteristic modifications. The resource’s integrity of setting has also been compromised due to increased non-historic development in the surrounding area.

Due to the lack of integrity Resources 54 no longer conveys its historic significance and fails to meet the requirements for NRHP eligibility under Criterion A for its association with a pattern of community development in Collin County, Criterion B for association with an important person or historically significant event, and Criterion C for design or architectural significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory. Therefore, it is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 115. Resource 54, looking west.

Figure 116. Resource 54, looking northwest.
**Resource 55**

*Resource 55* (Figures 117 and 118), constructed in 1913, is a single-family dwelling in what is known locally as the Douglass Community. The resource is one-story, three bay, and has a replacement hipped roof. The building’s floor plan is irregular due to the enclosure of the porch projecting from the façade and a shed roof addition projecting off of the west elevation. The exterior walls are clad with replacement horizontal vinyl siding. Exhibited on the façade is the centrally located enclosed porch with a single door entrance. Windows throughout the building are replacement 6/6 double-hung. Modifications to the resource include two additions and replacement of the roof, door, windows, and wall cladding. Although this resource retains integrity of location and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to uncharacteristic modifications. The resource’s integrity of setting has also been compromised due to increased non-historic development in the surrounding area.

Due to the lack of integrity *Resources 55* no longer conveys its historic significance and fails to meet the requirements for NRHP eligibility under Criterion A for its association with a pattern of community development in Collin County, Criterion B for association with an important person or historically significant event, and Criterion C for design or architectural significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory. Therefore, it is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

![Figure 117. Resource 55, looking northeast.](image)
Resource 56

Resource 56 (Figures 119 and 120), constructed in 1928, is a Craftsman style single-family dwelling in what is known locally as the Douglass Community. The resource is one-story, three bay, has a replacement cross-gabled roof with exposed rafters, and an irregular floor plan. The exterior walls are clad with replacement horizontal siding. The façade exhibits an inset porch supported by a decorative metal pillar, single door entrance, ribbon of replacement 2/2 aluminum sash windows with decorative shutters. Additional windows throughout the house are also replacement 2/2 aluminum sash. Overall, modifications to the resource include the replacement of the roof sheathing, door, windows, and wall cladding. Although this resource retains integrity of location and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to uncharacteristic modifications. The resource’s integrity of setting has also been compromised due to increased non-historic development in the surrounding area.

Due to the lack of integrity Resources 56 no longer conveys its historic significance and fails to meet the requirements for NRHP eligibility under Criterion A for its association with a pattern of community development in Collin County, Criterion B for association with an important person or historically significant event, and Criterion C for design or architectural significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory. Therefore, it is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 119. Resource 56, looking northeast.

Figure 120. Resource 56, looking east.
Resource 57

Resource 57 (Figures 121 and 122), constructed in 1928, is a Craftsman style single-family dwelling in what is known locally as the Douglass Community. The resource is one-story, three bay, has a replacement hipped roof with exposed rafters, and simple floor plan. The exterior walls are clad with replacement horizontal siding and windows throughout the building are replacement 2/2 aluminum sash. The building’s façade exhibits an enclosed porch with shed roof flanked by 2/2 aluminum sash windows with decorative non-functional shutters. Overall, modifications to the resource include the enclosure of the porch and replacement of the roof, door, windows, and wall cladding. Although this resource retains integrity of location and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to uncharacteristic modifications. The resource’s integrity of setting has also been compromised due to increased non-historic development in the surrounding area.

Due to the lack of integrity Resources 57 no longer conveys its historic significance and fails to meet the requirements for NRHP eligibility under Criterion A for its association with a pattern of community development in Collin County, Criterion B for association with an important person or historically significant event, and Criterion C for design or architectural significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory. Therefore, it is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

Figure 121. Resource 57, looking northeast.
Resource 58

Resource 58 (Figures 123 and 124), constructed in 1923, is a single-family dwelling in what is known locally as the Douglass Community. The resource is one-story, three bay, has a replacement side gabled roof, and an irregular floor plan due to the enclosure of the shed roof porch along the facade. The exterior walls are clad with replacement painted wood panels and replacement horizontal vinyl siding. The façade exhibits an offset replacement single door entrance and two replacement 1/1 double-hung windows, which can be found throughout the building. Overall, modifications to the resource include the enclosure of the porch and replacement of the roof, doors, windows, and wall cladding. Although the resource retains integrity of location and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to uncharacteristic modifications. The resource’s integrity of setting has also been compromised due to increased non-historic development in the surrounding area.

Due to the lack of integrity Resources 58 no longer conveys its historic significance and fails to meet the requirements for NRHP eligibility under Criterion A for its association with a pattern of community development in Collin County, Criterion B for association with an important person or historically significant event, and Criterion C for design or architectural significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory. Therefore, it is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 123. Resource 58, looking northwest.

Figure 124. Resource 58, looking northwest.
Resource 59

Resource 59 (Figures 125 and 126), constructed in 1923, is a single-family dwelling in what is known locally as the Douglass Community. The resource is one-story, three bay, has a gabled roof, and an irregular floor plan due to a shed roof addition projecting from the south side of the building. The exterior walls of the building are clad with vertical wood board. Windows throughout the building are replacement 1/1 aluminum sash. Exhibited on the façade is a gable roof enclosed porch and one replacement 1/1 aluminum sash window. Overall, modifications to the resource include the shed roof addition and replacement of the roof sheathing, doors, and windows. Although the resource retains integrity of location and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to uncharacteristic modifications. The resource’s integrity of setting has also been compromised due to increased non-historic development in the surrounding area.

Due to the lack of integrity Resources 59 no longer conveys its historic significance and fails to meet the requirements for NRHP eligibility under Criterion A for its association with a pattern of community development in Collin County, Criterion B for association with an important person or historically significant event, and Criterion C for design or architectural significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory. Therefore, it is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

Figure 125. Resource 59, looking northwest.
Resource 60

Resource 60 (Figures 127 and 128), constructed in 1948, is a Ranch style single-family dwelling in what is known locally as the Douglass Community. The resource is one-story, two bay, has a replacement side gabled roof, and rectangular floor plan. The exterior walls are clad with replacement brick and the windows throughout the building are replacement 2/2 aluminum sash. The façade exhibits a centrally located replacement single door entrance with replacement stone surround, pyramidal roof porch supported by two wood posts, and three replacement 2/2 aluminum sash windows. Overall, modifications to the resource include the replacement of the roof, doors, windows, and wall cladding. Although the resource retains integrity of location and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to uncharacteristic modifications. The resource’s integrity of setting has also been compromised due to increased non-historic development in the surrounding area.

Due to the lack of integrity Resources 60 no longer conveys its historic significance and fails to meet the requirements for NRHP eligibility under Criterion A for its association with a pattern of community development in Collin County, Criterion B for association with an important person or historically significant event, and Criterion C for design or architectural significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory. Therefore, it is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 127. Resource 60, looking west.

Figure 128. Resource 60, looking northwest.
Resource 61

Resource 61 (Figures 129 and 130) is the Plano, Old City Cemetery located within the Douglas Community in Plano, Collin County, Texas. The land on which the cemetery is located was part of the Joseph K. Klepper land grant. The cemetery was initially associated with the Methodist Episcopal Church (1874 to 1894), the earliest Methodist church in Plano. In the early 1900s, after the church moved, the surrounding neighborhood developed into a predominantly African American community, who utilized the cemetery beginning in the 1920s. Burial dates within the cemetery range from 1881 to ca. 2009. In general, the cemetery exhibits a variety of headstones including those made of marble, granite, and wood. Some graves are known to have been relocated to other cemeteries, but there continues to be approximately 200 burials remaining (City of Plano Heritage Commission 2013). Although the resource has retained integrity of location, setting, workmanship, and feeling, its integrity of design, materials, and association has been impacted due to the removal of graves and addition of recent burials.

Due to the lack of integrity Resources 61 does not demonstrate its historic significance and fails to meet the requirements for NRHP eligibility under Criterion A for its association with a pattern of community development in Collin County, Criterion B for association with an important person or historically significant event, and Criterion C for design or architectural significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory. Therefore, it is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D. In addition, because the resource is not an integral part of an NRHP eligible historic district, does not derive its primary significance from graves of persons of transcendent importance, is not associated with historic events, is not significant for its age, and does not represent distinctive design features, the resource does not meet the requirements for eligibility under Criterion Consideration D.

Figure 129. Resource 61, looking northeast.
Resource 62

Resource 62 (Figures 131 and 132), constructed in 1940, is a Craftsman style single-family dwelling in what is known locally as the Douglass Community. The resource is one-story, three bay, has a replacement cross-hipped roof, and an irregular floor plan. The building’s exterior walls are clad with replacement horizontal vinyl siding, and windows throughout are replacement 2/2 double-hung. The façade exhibits a central porch with hipped roof and three wood post supports, a replacement single door entrance, and five replacement 2/2 double-hung windows with decorative non-functional shutters. Overall, modifications to the resource include the replacement of the roof, doors, windows, and wall cladding. Although the resource retains integrity of location and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to uncharacteristic modifications. The resource’s integrity of setting has also been compromised due to the increase of non-historic development in the surrounding area.

Due to the lack of integrity Resources 62 no longer conveys its historic significance and fails to meet the requirements for NRHP eligibility under Criterion A for its association with a pattern of community development in Collin County, Criterion B for association with an important person or historically significant event, and Criterion C for design or architectural significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory. Therefore, the resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 131. Resource 62, looking northwest.

Figure 132. Resource 62, looking west.
Resource 63

Resource 63 (Figures 133 and 134), constructed in 1940, is a single-family dwelling in what is known locally as the Douglass Community. The resource is one-story, three bays, has a replacement gable roof, and a rectangular floor plan. The exterior walls are clad with replacement horizontal siding and windows throughout the building are replacement 2/2 double-hung. The façade exhibits a nearly full width porch with gabled roof supported by decorative metal pillars, two replacement single door entrances, and two replacement 2/2 double-hung windows. Overall, modifications to the resource include the replacement of the roof, doors, windows, and wall cladding. Although the resource retains integrity of location and association, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to uncharacteristic modifications. The resource’s integrity of setting has also been compromised due to the increase of non-historic development in the surrounding area.

Due to the lack of integrity Resources 63 no longer conveys its historic significance and fails to meet the requirements for NRHP eligibility under Criterion A for its association with a pattern of community development in Collin County, Criterion B for association with an important person or historically significant event, and Criterion C for design or architectural significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory. Therefore, the resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.

Figure 133. Resource 63, looking northwest.
Resource 64

Resource 64 (Figure 135), constructed in 1955, is a two-story, flat roofed, brick clad industrial building. The primary entrance is located at the building’s cross section and consists of a corrugated metal shed roof and double glass door entrance. Windows throughout the building are narrow fixed windows positioned in a vertical orientation to extend the height of the wall. Since it was initially constructed, several additions have been added to the east, west, south, and southwest. Although the resource retains integrity of location, setting, feeling, and association, its integrity of design, materials, and workmanship have been lost due to modifications.

Because Resource 64 is not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Collin County and is not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the industrial-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resource also does not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and was constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Resource 65

Resource 65 (Figure 136), constructed in ca.1945, is a two-story, gambrel roofed, corrugated metal commercial building. The resource has a semi-cylindrical form and is a variation of or modified Quonset Hut. Due to its form it is likely the building was used for storage and was more recently converted to a commercial building. The resource’s façade exhibits an offset single glass door entrance, sliding barn doors, and a 1/1 aluminum sash window at the second story. Overall, modifications to the building include the addition of the single glass door entrance and 1/1 aluminum sash window. Although the resource retains integrity of location and setting, its integrity of design, materials, workmanship, and feeling has been lost due to uncharacteristic modifications. The resource’s integrity of association has also been compromised due to its change in use.

Because Resource 65 is not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Collin County and is not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the commercial-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resource also does not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and was constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Resource 66

Resource 66 (Figures 137 and 138), constructed in ca.1945, is a one-story corrugated metal industrial building. The resource appears to have been constructed as two separate buildings, one half with a side gable roof and the other half with a shed roof. The gable roof portion of the building has exposed rafters at the roof eave, an overhead door on the north elevation, and sliding door on the south elevation. The portion of the building with the shed roof has a sliding door on the west elevation and overhead door on the north elevation. The resource retains integrity of location, setting, design, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association.

Because Resource 66 is not associated with a significant pattern of community development in Collin County and is not associated with any other historically significant events or persons, the industrial-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criteria A or B. The resource also does not exhibit the work of a master craftsman and was constructed in an architectural style commonly found throughout the project area; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C for architecture at the state and local levels of significance. Furthermore, the resource has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D.
Figure 137. Resource 66, looking south.

Figure 138. Resource 66, looking north.
Resource 67

Resource 67 (Figures 139 and 140) is a single double barrel concrete culvert constructed in 1951. The structure has a winged concrete headwall and two double sloped barrels. A date stamp “1951” is located at the top of the headwall. Modifications include the addition of concrete along the outer part of the headwall wings. The resource has retained integrity of location, setting, design, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association.

Because Resource 67 does not demonstrate a significant association with important early railroad developments in North Central Texas, and is not associated with any other significant events or persons, the rail-related resource is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion A or B. The resource was also constructed in a common design type, does not represent a significant engineering innovation, and does not exhibit the work of a prominent engineer or contractor; therefore, the resource does not possess sufficient significance to meet NRHP eligibility under Criterion C. The resource also has little potential to provide information that may contribute to an understanding of human history or prehistory, and is recommended not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion D. In addition, due to the resource’s age, common design type, and lack of historic association and significance to the theme of transportation as it relates to early railroad development, Resource 67 is recommended as a non-contributing resource to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor.

Figure 139. Resource 67, looking southwest.
Figure 140. Resource 67, looking southwest.
The historic resources reconnaissance survey of the proposed Cotton Belt Corridor Regional Rail project APE was completed in November and December of 2012, by an architectural historian who meets the Secretary of the Interior’s professional qualification standards. The survey documented 68 historic-age architectural resources including buildings and structures associated with the following functional categories: domestic, transportation, commercial, industry, religious, and funerary. Domestic resources (n=38; 56 percent) were by far the most numerous followed by transportation resources (n=18; 27 percent), commercial/industrial resources (n=9; 12 percent); funerary (n=2; 3 percent), and religious (n=1; 1 percent).

This survey effort provided data and NRHP eligibility recommendations for each resource. Several resources, including individual buildings and structures were grouped together due to their type and proximity. These groupings consisted of domestic dwellings located within cul-de-sacs constructed as part of mid-1960s and early 1970s developments. One historic neighborhood, the Douglass Community, was examined for its potential for designation as a historic district. After further research, however, it was found that the community contained several non-historic resources, empty lots due to the removal of historic resources, and significantly modified historic resources (modifications comprise of additions and replacement materials including windows, doors, roofs, and exterior cladding). Thus, it was determined that the Douglass Community lacked sufficient integrity to convey its historic significance and was not recommended as a potential historic district.

During the survey, 18 Transportation/rail-related resources were identified, including the Carrollton Depot, 15 bridges, and two concrete culverts (Resources 27 and 67). These resources were evaluated for NRHP-eligibility as individual resources and as potential contributing resources to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor. For a resource to qualify as a contributing resource to a potential thematic corridor it is recommended it be a Transportation/rail-related property type constructed 1935 or earlier. Furthermore, the resource must retain sufficient integrity to demonstrate association and significance with early railroad development in North Central Texas (Criterion A), or represent a design type, significant engineering innovation, or prominent engineer or contractor (Criterion C).

Of the Transportation/rail-related resources evaluated, the Carrollton Depot (Resource 21) and one bridge (Resource 45) were previously identified as eligible for the NRHP. Eleven of the bridges are wood trestles (Resources 1, 2, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 46, and 47), originally constructed in the late 1930’s. These bridges are common railroad structures and have all had significant modifications. The remaining four bridges include the White Rock Creek Bridge, a 1917 American Bridge Company Warren Pony Truss (Resource 45); Spanky Branch Bridge 1, a half-through metal plate girder (Resource 48); a modified wood trestle (Resource 50); and Spring Creek Bridge, a deck metal plate girder (Resource 51).
Of the 68 resources evaluated during the current survey effort, three (Resources 21, 44, and 45) are recommended individually eligible for listing in the NRHP. Resource 21 (Carrollton Depot) is recommended eligible for the NRHP under Criterion A for its association with early railroads and the development of Carrollton, Texas, and Criterion C as an example of a 1920s railroad depot. Resource 44 (Addison State Bank) is recommended eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion A for its association with early community development in Addison Texas. Resource 45 (White Rock Creek Bridge) is recommended eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion C for its design as a Warren Pony Truss. In addition, Resources 21 and 45 are recommended as potentially contributing to a potential Cotton Belt Historic Railroad Thematic Corridor.
IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The proposed Cotton Belt Corridor Regional Rail project will be constructed adjacent to the existing rail line and within the current railroad ROW. Historic resources that are within the APE, but outside the current railroad ROW will not be impacted by the proposed actions. Furthermore, historic resources within the ROW and adjacent to the tracks would not be impacted by the proposed action. One resource (Resource 45), however, is recommended eligible for listing in the NRHP and located on the railroad tracks. Review of the proposed actions for this project has determined that there would be an adverse impact to this resource. Thus, it is recommended that mitigation measures for Resource 45, consisting of Level II Historic American Engineering Record-Like (HAER) documentation, be coordinated with the THC.
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Appendix A: Texas Historical Commission Coordination
RE: Request for Initiation of SHPO Consultation under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 for the Dallas Area Rapid Transit Cotton Belt Regional Rail, Collin, Dallas, and Tarrant Counties, Texas.

Dear Mr. Wolfe:

URS Corporation (URS) is providing support to Dallas Area Rapid Transit (DART) to develop preliminary engineering and environmental documentation. The project consists of approximately a 26-mile section of track running in a northeasterly direction from north of Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport (DFW Airport) to central Plano. It passes through Tarrant, Dallas, and Collin counties and includes the cities of Grapevine, Coppell, Carrollton, Addison, Dallas, Richardson, and Plano (see Cotton Belt Regional Rail Corridor, Project Location: Map Page 1 and 2).

DART is taking a lead role and has contracted with URS for engineering and environmental tasks. DART is proposing to advance the project with a Local Environmental Assessment (EA) under National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and Federal Transit Administration (FTA) procedures and fulfill requirements under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq., as amended). This letter is intended as coordination on the project for the Texas Historical Commission (THC), a request for establishment of proposed areas of potential effect (APE), and survey methodology for historic resources.

The Cotton Belt Regional Rail alignment is designed as double tracking within the existing single track corridor. The alignment will have a 20-foot track center offset and a maximum design speed of 80 miles per hour with the majority of the horizontal alignment following closely to the existing freight track alignment. Lower design speeds were utilized in areas where existing constraints would not accommodate larger radius curves. The alignment alternatives will consist of at-grade, retained earth, retained cut sections, tunnel sections, and aerial structures.

The general vicinity of each station has been determined. However, it is undecided as to the type of design (i.e. Kiss and Ride, Park and Ride, Bus Lanes, etc.) for each station. This effort will be coordinated separately.
At this time, only 5% of the design is complete and has been submitted to DART and construction activities are anticipated to begin in 2016. The majority of the project area runs along existing tracks through commercial and industrial districts, as well as residential developments.

The location of the project area is shown on Map Page 1 and 2. For your review, we have also enclosed a set of aerial maps with the proposed APE (Map Page 1-6). These maps do not illustrate previously recorded resources adjacent to or within the corridor.

**Description of Undertaking**

The URS design team divided the entire project corridor into three separate sections:

**Section 1**

Section 1 begins on DFW Airport property, north of the central terminal area, and would connect with the proposed Fort Worth Transportation Authority (TEX Rail) route alignment on the Cotton Belt corridor. DART’s Cotton Belt regional rail proceeds northeast and connects to the existing Cotton Belt freight track. It then heads east and crosses the Cottonwood Branch and its floodplain on aerial structure and continues under International Parkway, under Interstate Highway (IH) 635, and crosses Royal Lane and Freeport Parkway at-grade. It continues east and crosses South Coppell Road at-grade and is on an aerial structure over Grapevine Creek. It is on retained fill until it crosses South Denton Tap Road, where it is a grade separated aerial structure. The alignment then runs parallel to Belt Line Road and crosses Moore Road, Mockingbird Lane, MacArthur Boulevard, and Fairway Drive at-grade. The profile gradually ascends on retained fill to aerial structure over two floodplain areas just west of the Elm Fork Branch of the Trinity River. Section 1 alignment then ends just before the Elm Fork Branch of the Trinity River.

Section 1 has an additional alternative that deviates from the existing alignment in the vicinity of North Lake and the planned Cypress Waters mixed-used development. The Cypress Waters alternative begins at South Coppell Road as a single track alignment on new location and veers to the southeast, generally following Southwestern Boulevard and relocated Belt Line Road. It is on aerial structure over Grapevine Creek and is grade separated at South Denton Tap Road with an aerial structure. The alignment then descends to at-grade and turns northeast to rejoin the existing Cotton Belt alignment at Moore Road.

**Section 2**

Section 2 begins on structure over the Elm Fork Branch of the Trinity River, it then continues to run just north of Belt Line Road and under the President George Bush Turnpike and crosses Luna Road at-grade. The alignment continues east, crosses the Hutton Branch of the Trinity River, then travels under IH 35E aerial bridges as well as under the DART Green Line aerial bridges. In the downtown Carrollton area, the northbound track alignment will have a 33-foot track center offset from the southbound track alignment to accommodate a center loaded platform configuration at the Downtown Carrollton Station. The station at downtown Carrollton will serve as the interface location with the DART Green Line. The alignment continues east toward Addison crossing the intersecting streets at-grade. The alignment offset varies between 20 and
30 feet to eliminate impacts to existing storage tracks in the Addison industrial area. In Addison, the alignment is on aerial structure over Midway Road. The Section 2 alignment ends at the southbound frontage road of the Dallas North Tollway.

Section 3
Section 3 begins just west of the Dallas North Tollway and remains grade separated over the main lanes. It continues as double track and the centerlines are set at 16-foot track centers. The alignment crosses Knoll Trail Drive at-grade, crosses over White Rock Creek, and then traverses slightly to the northeast so the alignment does not impact the existing Preston Road bridge columns. At this point, three profile options will be considered through a 2.6 mile section between Preston Road and Meandering Way in the North Dallas area.

At-grade option: This option would operate at-grade through North Dallas and would use bridges at the three creek crossings locally known as McKamy Branch, Osage Branch Crossing #1, and Osage Branch Crossing #2. The alignment would cross the roadways of Davenport Road (twice), Campbell Road, Hillcrest Road, McCallum Boulevard, and Meandering Way at-grade.

Shallow trench option: This option combines a maximum trench depth with walls and berms to maintain a top of wall 15 feet over the rail with the use of culverts instead of bridges at the creek crossings of McKamy Branch, Osage Branch Crossing #1, and Osage Branch Crossing #2. The roadways of Davenport Road (twice), Campbell Road, Hillcrest Road, McCallum Boulevard, and Meandering Way would be grade separated over the rail alignment.

Tunnel option: This option proposes a 2.6-mile tunnel section that starts west of Preston Road and ends west of Coit Road. It includes a 0.7-mile west portal, a 0.3-mile east portal, and a 1.6-mile tunnel. The tunnel would be located entirely within a limestone rock formation. Generally, the tunnel runs parallel to and below an unnamed tributary to White Rock Creek, which splits into the McKamy Branch and the Osage Branch. The tunnel crosses under the creek three times at McKamy Branch, Osage Branch Crossing #1, and Osage Branch Crossing #2. The depth of overburden above the tunnel crown is between 20 and 40 feet. The shallow cover areas are near the portal structures and below the creek crossings.

After passing through the North Dallas area where three options are being considered, the alignment would pass under grade-separated Coit Road. The alignment continues east and would cross Waterview Parkway, KCS Railway, Synergy Park Boulevard, Renner Road, and Custer Parkway at-grade. The track centers vary between 16 and 33 feet to allow the placement of the center platform station that is located between Waterview Parkway and KCS Railway.

The final piece of the Section 3 alignment begins at Alma Road and currently has two alternatives. The existing alignment alternative simply follows the Cotton Belt track alignment, travels under the existing President George Bush Tollway Bridge, crosses over US 75, interfaces with the DART Red Line and travels east terminating near Shiloh Road. The south (Richardson) alternative deviates from the existing alignment and veers southerly away from the President George Bush Tollway, crosses the floodplain and US 75 on an aerial structure, then changes direction and travels north and parallel to the DART Red Line. Near 12th Street, the alignment
then takes a sharp right turn to rejoin the existing Cotton Belt alignment just east of the DART Red Line and travels east terminating near Shiloh Road.

Background Information for Historic Resources

The Texas Historic Sites Atlas (THSA) was consulted to determine if any NRHP-listed or previously documented buildings, structures, objects, or state historic markers lie within or near the proposed study area. An area extending approximately one-half mile from the center of the existing and proposed right-of-way (ROW) was investigated. Table 1 presents the results of this research.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTY</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>DESIGNATION</th>
<th>DISTANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>752 South Coppell Road, Coppell</td>
<td>Residence at 752 South Coppell Road</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>457'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1038 South Elm Street, Carrollton</td>
<td>Gravley Hardware (1910)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>430'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1101 West Belt Line Road, Coppell</td>
<td>Boatwright House (1905)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>415'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1000 Denton Drive, Carrollton</td>
<td>Dr. Blackburn House (1900)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>538'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1011 Jackson Street, Carrollton</td>
<td>Residence at 1011 Jackson Street (1900)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>747'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1201 Carroll Avenue, Carrollton</td>
<td>Residence at 1201 Carroll Avenue (1900)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>1140'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1203 Carroll Avenue, Carrollton</td>
<td>Residence at 1203 Carroll Avenue (1900)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>1140'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1319 Walnut Street, Carrollton</td>
<td>Residence at 1319 Walnut Street (1915)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>645'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1101 Clint Street, Carrollton</td>
<td>Residence at 1101 Clint Street (1915)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>1282'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1501 Walnut Street, Carrollton</td>
<td>A.T. Stewart House (1915)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>1282'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1400 Rosemon Avenue, Carrollton</td>
<td>J.C. Davis House (1915)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>654'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1407 Rosemon Avenue, Carrollton</td>
<td>Residence at 1407 Rosemon Avenue (1910)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>654'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1208 Clint Street, Carrollton</td>
<td>Residence at 1208 Clint Street (1910)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>788'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1810 North Perry Road, Carrollton</td>
<td>Hilltop Memorial Park Cemetery</td>
<td>Historic Texas Cemetery (2005); Historic Texas Marker (2005)</td>
<td>400'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1805 North Perry Road, Carrollton</td>
<td>Perry Cemetery (1897)</td>
<td>Historic Texas Marker (1976)</td>
<td>675'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>1509 North Perry Road, Carrollton</td>
<td>Perry Homestead (1909)</td>
<td>Historic Texas Marker (1976)</td>
<td>275'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>4803 Broadway Street, Addison</td>
<td>Commercial Building at 4803 Broadway (1905)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>200'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>15401 Julian Street, Addison</td>
<td>Residence at 15401 &amp; 15409 Julian (1905)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>50'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTY</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>DESIGNATION</td>
<td>DISTANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>15410 Julian Street, Addison</td>
<td>Residence at 15410 Julian (1920)</td>
<td>no designation (surveyed 1982)</td>
<td>100'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>6800 McCallum Boulevard, Dallas</td>
<td>Residence at 6800 Block McCallum (1910)</td>
<td>no designation (date unknown)</td>
<td>745'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>17700 Frank Jackson, Dallas</td>
<td>Residence at 17700 Frank Jackson (1920)</td>
<td>no designation (date unknown)</td>
<td>917'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>17726 Frank Jackson, Dallas</td>
<td>Institutional Building at 17726 Frank Jackson (1915)</td>
<td>no designation (date unknown)</td>
<td>746'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>7659 Newt Drive, Dallas</td>
<td>Residence at 7659 Newt Drive (1920)</td>
<td>no designation (date unknown)</td>
<td>687'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>7700 Ronnie Drive, Dallas</td>
<td>Residence at 7700 block Ronnie (1920)</td>
<td>no designation (date unknown)</td>
<td>328'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>17727 Dickerson, Dallas</td>
<td>Commercial Building at 17727 Dickerson (1925)</td>
<td>no designation (date unknown)</td>
<td>832'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>17720 Dickerson, Dallas</td>
<td>Institutional Building at 17720 Dickerson (1925)</td>
<td>no designation (date unknown)</td>
<td>325'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>7758 Ronnie Drive, Dallas</td>
<td>Residence at 7758 Ronnie Drive (1930)</td>
<td>no designation (date unknown)</td>
<td>305'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>7759 Ronnie Drive, Dallas</td>
<td>Residence at 7759 Ronnie Drive (1925)</td>
<td>no designation (date unknown)</td>
<td>305'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>7767 Ronnie Drive, Dallas</td>
<td>Residence at 7767 Ronnie Drive (1910)</td>
<td>no designation (date unknown)</td>
<td>305'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>Address unknown, Plano</td>
<td>Old City Cemetery, (date unknown)</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
<td>adjacent to track</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>H Place and 12th Street, Plano</td>
<td>Plano Cemetery</td>
<td>Texas Historical Marker (1980)</td>
<td>1091'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommendations for Historic Resource Investigations**

The proposed historic resource APE for the Cotton Belt Regional Rail line is 175 feet from the centerline for existing ROW. For areas requiring new ROW, the APE will be adjusted to 250 feet from the centerline. This APE will take into account potential physical and visual impacts that may result from the proposed undertaking.

To date, the types of stations have not been determined. Therefore, it is recommended that coordination for the APE of the station locations be completed when engineering documents become available.

It is recommended that a reconnaissance-level survey of historic-age resources within the proposed APE be performed for the Cotton Belt Regional Rail line. Per previous discussion with THC (personal communication between Linda Henderson and Erica Howard on March 18, 2011), URS recommends a survey of:

- all historic-age resources that are at least 45 years from the let date of 2016 (construction date of 1968 or older);
- any civic buildings, projects, or structures, commercial, and institutional projects built in and before 1985; and
• any notable architect-designed residences or neighborhoods;
• in lieu of documenting each individual tract house within a development, the development would be outlined and considered a district and given only one resource number.

The reconnaissance survey will be performed by an architectural historian meeting the Secretary of Interior’s qualifications. The resulting survey report will include, but is not limited to, the following information:

• Project description;
• Project area background and historic context, which may include research carried out at the following places:
  o local and county histories (obtained at the Dallas and Fort Worth public libraries, and municipal libraries in the project area);
  o Collin, Dallas, and Tarrant County Appraisal District online records;
  o Collin, Dallas, and Tarrant county plat records;
  o various internet resources; and
  o maps and aerial photographs (including USGS maps).
• Previously documented historic-age resources within and immediately adjacent to the APE;
• Documentation of each historic-age resource within the APE; including:
  o Address or location;
  o Historic and current name, if any;
  o Date of construction;
  o Style;
  o Historic and current use;
  o Property type and subtype;
  o Preliminary NRHP eligibility recommendations;
  o Condition; and
  o Digital photographs (minimum of two views) of each historic-age resource.
• Summary and Recommendations.

A draft report will be submitted to the THC for comment. Upon receipt of these comments, a final report will be drafted and sent to the THC.

We are also recommending no reconnaissance-level survey for station locations until the design of each station is determined. Station locations will then be surveyed as a future effort and will be coordinated with the THC.

Request for Concurrence

On behalf of DART, URS respectfully requests the concurrence of the THC regarding the recommendation of a historic-age resource reconnaissance level survey, within a recommended APE of 175 feet from the centerline for existing ROW. For areas requiring new ROW, the APE will be adjusted to 250 feet from the centerline. In addition, URS requests station locations to be coordinated separately.
If the proposed undertaking is altered such that the project has the potential to affect the adjacent historic-age resources either physically, or by changing the setting in ways not covered by this coordination letter, DART will cease construction activities and not proceed with their undertaking until additional review and clearance by the THC has been completed.

It is recognized that this letter does not meet coordination requirements for the archaeological survey. That effort is to be coordinated separately by the URS archaeological team.

Sincerely,

URS Corporation

Brian Piascik
Program Manager
General Planning Consultant, DART C-1017751

Cc: Steve Salin, DART
John Hoppie, DART
File

References

Texas Historic Sites Atlas (THSA)
Appendix B: Project Location Maps
Figure B2
Project Location, APE, and Historic Resources

Cotton Belt Corridor
Regional Rail
8/15/2013

Historic Resource
Stations

Existing ROW 175 ft APE
New ROW 250 ft APE

Proposed Cotton Belt Rail
- Cotton Belt Alignment
- Cypress Waters Alignment
- South (Richardson) Alternative

Existing DART Rail
- - - Red Line
- - Orange Line
- - Green Line

Source: AView 2006, ESRI StreetMap North America
Figure B4
Project Location, APE, and Historic Resources

Cotton Belt Corridor
Regional Rail
8/15/2013

- Historic Resource
- Stations
- Existing ROW 175 ft APE
- New ROW 250 ft APE

Proposed Cotton Belt Rail
- Cotton Belt Alignment
- Cypress Waters Alignment
- South (Richardson) Alternative

Existing DART Rail
- Red Line
- Orange Line
- Green Line

Source: AEDV 2006, ESRI StreetMap North America
Figure B6
Project Location, APE, and Historic Resources

Cotton Belt Corridor
Regional Rail
8/15/2013

Source: AutoView 2006, ESRI StreetMap North America

Historic Resource
Stations
Existing ROW 175 ft APE
New ROW 250 ft APE
Proposed Cotton Belt Rail
- Cotton Belt Alignment
- Cypress Waters Alignment
- South (Richardson) Alternative
Existing DART Rail
- Red Line
- Orange Line
- Green Line
Figure B8
Project Location, APE, and Historic Resources

Cotton Belt Corridor
Regional Rail
8/15/2013

Historic Resource
Stations
Existing ROW 175 ft APE
New ROW 250 ft APE
Proposed Cotton Belt Rail
- Cotton Belt Alignment
- Cypress Waters Alignment
- South (Richardson) Alternative
Existing DART Rail
- Red Line
- Orange Line
- Green Line

Source: AEView 2006, ESRI StreetMap North America
Cotton Belt Corridor Regional Rail
8/15/2013

Figure B9
Project Location, APE, and Historic Resources

Source: AECinfo, 2006
ESRI StreetMap North America

Proposed Cotton Belt Rail
- Cotton Belt Alignment
- Cypress Waters Alignment
- South (Richardson) Alternative

Existing DART Rail
- Red Line
- Orange Line
- Green Line

Historic Resource
Stations
Existing ROW 175 ft APE
New ROW 250 ft APE
Figure B10
Project Location, APE, and Historic Resources

Existing DART Rail
- Red Line
- Orange Line
- Green Line

Proposed Cotton Belt Rail
- Cotton Belt Alignment
- Cypress Waters Alignment
- South (Richardson) Alternative

Historic Resource Stations
- Existing ROW 175 ft APE
- New ROW 250 ft APE

Cotton Belt Corridor
Regional Rail
8/15/2013

Source: A Diedam 2006, ESRI StreetMap North America
Cotton Belt Corridor
Regional Rail
8/15/2013

Source: AEView 2006, ESRI StreetMap North America

Figure B11
Project Location, APE, and Historic Resources

- Historic Resource Stations
- Existing ROW 175 ft APE
- New ROW 250 ft APE
- Cotton Belt Alignment
- Cypress Waters Alignment
- South (Richardson) Alternative
- Red Line
- Orange Line
- Green Line
Figure B12
Project Location, APE, and Historic Resources

Historic Resource Stations

Proposed Cotton Belt Rail
- Cotton Belt Alignment
- Cypress Waters Alignment
- South (Richardson) Alternative

Existing DART Rail
- Red Line
- Orange Line
- Green Line

Cotton Belt Corridor Regional Rail
8/15/2013

Source: ArcView 3.3
ESRI StreetMap North America
Figure B13
Project Location, APE, and Historic Resources

Cotton Belt Corridor Regional Rail
8/15/2013

Historic Resource
Stations
Existing ROW 175 ft APE
New ROW 250 ft APE

Proposed Cotton Belt Rail
- Cotton Belt Alignment
- Cypress Waters Alignment
- South (Richardson) Alternative

Existing DART Rail
- Red Line
- Orange Line
- Green Line

Source: AEView 2006, ESRI StreetMap North America
Figure B14
Project Location, APE, and Historic Resources

Historic Resource
 Stations

Proposed Cotton Belt Rail
- Cotton Belt Alignment
- Cypress Waters Alignment
- South (Richardson) Alternative

Existing DART Rail
- Red Line
- Orange Line
- Green Line

Cotton Belt Corridor
Regional Rail
8/15/2013

Source: AEView 2006, ESRI StreetMap North America
Cotton Belt Corridor
Regional Rail
8/15/2013

Figure B15
Project Location, APE, and Historic Resources

- Historic Resource
- Stations
- Existing ROW 175 ft APE
- New ROW 250 ft APE

Proposed Cotton Belt Rail

- Cotton Belt Alignment
- Cypress Waters Alignment
- South (Richardson) Alternative

Existing DART Rail

- Red Line
- Orange Line
- Green Line

Source: AEView 2006, ESRI StreetMap North America
Knoll Trail Station

Cotton Belt Corridor
Regional Rail
8/15/2013

Figure B17
Project Location, APE, and Historic Resources

Historic Resource
Stations
Existing ROW 175 ft APE
New ROW 250 ft APE

Proposed Cotton Belt Rail
- Cotton Belt Alignment
- Cypress Waters Alignment
- South (Richardson) Alternative

Existing DART Rail
- Red Line
- Orange Line
- Green Line
Figure B19
Project Location, APE, and Historic Resources

Cotton Belt Corridor Regional Rail
8/15/2013

Historic Resource
Stations
Existing ROW 175 ft APE
New ROW 250 ft APE

Proposed Cotton Belt Rail
- Cotton Belt Alignment
- Cypress Waters Alignment
- South (Richardson) Alternative

Existing DART Rail
- Red Line
- Orange Line
- Green Line

Source: AEView 2006, ESRI StreetMap North America
Cotton Belt Corridor Regional Rail
8/15/2013

**Proposed Cotton Belt Rail**
- Cotton Belt Alignment
- Cypress Waters Alignment
- South (Richardson) Alternative

**Existing DART Rail**
- Red Line
- Orange Line
- Green Line

**Stations**
- Renner Village Station (Coit Rd. Option)
- Renner Village Station (Dickerson St. Option)

**Historic Resources**

**Source:** AEView 2006, ESRI StreetMap North America

Figure B21
Project Location, APE, and Historic Resources
Figure B25
Project Location, APE, and Historic Resources

Cotton Belt Corridor
Regional Rail
8/15/2013

Historic Resource
Stations
Existing ROW 175 ft APE
New ROW 250 ft APE

Proposed Cotton Belt Rail
- Cotton Belt Alignment
- Cypress Waters Alignment
- South (Richardson) Alternative

Existing DART Rail
- Red Line
- Orange Line
- Green Line
Cotton Belt Corridor
Regional Rail
8/15/2013

Historic Resource
Stations
Existing ROW 175 ft APE
New ROW 250 ft APE

Proposed Cotton Belt Rail
- Cotton Belt Alignment
- Cypress Waters Alignment
- South (Richardson) Alternative

Existing DART Rail
- Red Line
- Orange Line
- Green Line

Source: AEView 2006, ESRI StreetMap North America

Figure B26
Project Location, APE, and Historic Resources
Cotton Belt Corridor
Regional Rail
8/15/2013

Source: AEView 2006
ESRI StreetMap North America

Figure B27
Project Location, APE, and Historic Resources

Historic Resource Stations
Existing ROW 175 ft APE
New ROW 250 ft APE

Proposed Cotton Belt Rail
- Cotton Belt Alignment
- Cypress Waters Alignment
- South (Richardson) Alternative

Existing DART Rail
- - - Red Line
- Orange Line
- - - Green Line
Cotton Belt Corridor Regional Rail
8/15/2013

Figure B28
Project Location, APE, and Historic Resources

Historic Resource
Stations
Existing ROW 175 ft APE
New ROW 250 ft APE

Proposed Cotton Belt Rail
- Cotton Belt Alignment
- Cypress Waters Alignment
- South (Richardson) Alternative

Existing DART Rail
- Red Line
- Orange Line
- Green Line
Appendix C: Historic Resource Site Forms
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

1. Identification

County: Tarrant
City: Grapevine
Current name: Resource 1
Historic name: N/A
Address: DART railroad line (Cotton Belt Corridor) Mile Marker 25.9 over Cottonwood Branch
Owner/address: DART-1401 Pacific Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202

Photo data: Roll ______ Frame ______ to Roll ______ Frame ______

Current Designations: ☐ NR ☐ NR District (Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No) ☐ RTHL ☐ HTC ☐ SAL ☐ Local ☐ Other

Recorded by: Kate Singleton Date recorded: 11/31/2012

General architectural description: Wood trestle bridge constructed in ca. 1937. Bridge has replacement wood pile bents and wood abutments.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

☐ Garage ☐ Barn ☐ Shed ☐ Other N/A

☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:

☐ Sidewalks ☐ Terracing ☐ Drives ☐ Well/cistern ☐ Gardens ☐ Other N/A

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):

☐ Log Traditional ☐ Greek Revival ☐ Italianate ☐ Second Empire ☐ Eastlake ☐ Queen Anne
☐ Shingle ☐ Romanesque Revival ☐ Folk Victorian ☐ Colonial Revival ☐ Renaissance Revival ☐ Exotic Revival
☐ Gothic Revival ☐ Tudor Revival ☐ Neo-Classical ☐ Beaux Arts ☐ Mission ☐ Monterey
☐ Pueblo Revival ☐ Spanish Colonial ☐ Prairie ☐ Craftsman ☐ Art Deco ☐ Moderne
☐ International ☐ Post-war Modern ☐ Ranch Style ☐ Commercial Style ☐ No Style
☐ Other N/A

Structural Details:

Roof Type:

☐ Gable ☐ Hipped ☐ Gambrel ☐ Shed ☐ Flat w/parapet ☐ Dormers:
☐ gable ☐ hipped ☐ shed ☐ Other N/A

Wall Facade:

____ Number of bays
☐ Stucco ☐ Stone ☐ Brick ☐ Wood shingle ☐ Log ☐ Terra Cotta ☐ Metal ☐ Siding, type:
☐ Fieldstone veneer ☐ Awning(s) ☐ Other N/A

Windows:

☐ Fixed ☐ Wood sash ☐ Double hung ☐ Casement ☐ Aluminum sash ☐ Decorative screenwork ☐ Other N/A

Doors:

☐ Single-door primary entrance ☐ Double-door primary entrance ☐ With transom ☐ With sidelights ☐ Other N/A

Chimneys:

☐ Specify number(s)
☐ Interior ☐ Exterior ☐ Brick ☐ Stone ☐ With corbelled caps ☐ Stuccoed ☐ Other N/A

Porches:

☐ Shed roof ☐ Hipped roof ☐ Gable roof ☐ Inset ☐ Wood posts ☐ Brick piers ☐ Box columns
☐ Classical columns ☐ Tapered box supports ☐ Fabricated metal ☐ Spindelwork ☐ Jig-sawn trim ☐ Other N/A

Stories: N/A
Basement: ☐ None ☐ Partial ☐ Full
Dimensions: L ______ x W ______ = Square feet ______

3. Integrity

☐ Location ☐ Design ☐ Materials ☐ Workmanship ☐ Setting ☐ Feeling ☐ Association
4. Function


5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A  Builder: N/A

Construction date: ca. 1937  □ Actual □ Estimated  Source: Regional Rail Right of Way Company (2009)/On-site observation

□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of wood piles ca. 1975.

□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: 

Other associated contexts and information of interest: Located on the Cotton Belt Railway between Fort Worth and Plano.

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed  Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known

Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known  Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other __________

Details: 

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known  Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect □ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other ____________

* Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Grapevine  Year: 1981  Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14  Easting: 682744  Northing: 3646343

Legal description (Lot/Block): N/A

Addition: N/A  Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:

□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;

□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;

□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;

□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance: ________________________

______________________________

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No  Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain ________________________________

Questions?

Contact survey coordinator

History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission

at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 1, looking east.
1. Identification

County: Tarrant
City: Grapevine

Current Name: Resource 2
Historic Name: N/A

Address: West of Highway 121 International Parkway, south of Coppell Rd/Wall St.

Owner/Address: DART-1401 Pacific Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202

Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______

Current Designations: ☐ NR ☐ NR District (Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No) ☐ RTML ☐ HTC ☐ SAL ☐ Local ☐ Other

Recorded by: Kate Singleton
Date recorded: 11/31/2012

General architectural description: Wood trestle bridge constructed in ca. 1937, with replacement wood pile bents and wood abutments.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

Garage ______ Barn ______ Shed ______ Other ______ N/A

☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:

☐ Sidewalks ☐ Terracing ☐ Drives ☐ Well/cistern ☐ Gardens ☐ Other ______ N/A

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):

☐ Log Traditional ☐ Greek Revival ☐ Italianate ☐ Second Empire ☐ Eastlake ☐ Queen Anne
☐ Shingle ☐ Romanesque Revival ☐ Folk Victorian ☐ Colonial Revival ☐ Renaissance Revival ☐ Exotic Revival
☐ Gothic Revival ☐ Tudor Revival ☐ Neo-Classical ☐ Beaux Arts ☐ Mission ☐ Monterey
☐ Pueblo Revival ☐ Spanish Colonial ☐ Prairie ☐ Craftsman ☐ Art Deco ☐ Moderne
☐ International ☐ Post-war Modern ☐ Ranch Style ☐ Commercial Style ☐ No Style
☐ Other ______ N/A

Structural Details:

Roof Type:

☐ Gable ☐ Hipped ☐ Gambrel ☐ Shed ☐ Flat w/parapet ☐ Dormers ☐ gable ☐ hipped ☐ shed
☐ Other ______ N/A

Wall Facade:

Number of bays ______

☐ Stucco ☐ Stone ☐ Brick ☐ Wood shingle ☐ Log ☐ Terra Cotta ☐ Metal ☐ Siding, type
☐ Fieldstone veneer ☐ Awning(s) ☐ Other ______ N/A

Windows:

☐ Fixed ☐ Wood sash ☐ Double hung ☐ Casement ☐ Aluminum sash ☐ Decorative screenwork
☐ Other ______ N/A

Doors:

☐ Single-door primary entrance ☐ Double-door primary entrance
☐ With transom ☐ With sidelights ☐ Other ______ N/A

Chimneys:

Specify number(s) ______

☐ Interior ☐ Exterior ☐ Brick ☐ Stone ☐ With corbelled caps ☐ Stuccoed ☐ Other ______ N/A

Foundation:

☐ Slab ☐ Pier and beam
☐ Perimeter wall ☐ Other ______

Porches:

☐ Shed roof ☐ Hipped roof ☐ Gable roof ☐ Brick ☐ Wood posts ☐ Brick piers ☐ Box columns
☐ Classical columns ☐ Tapered box supports ☐ Fabricated metal ☐ Inset ☐ Spindlemail ☐ Jig-sawn trim
☐ Other ______ N/A

Stories: N/A
Basement: ☐ None ☐ Partial ☐ Full
Dimensions: L ______ x W ______ = Square feet

3. Integrity

☐ Location ☐ Design ☐ Materials ☐ Workmanship ☐ Setting ☐ Feeling ☐ Association
4. Function

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A
Builder: N/A
Construction date: ca. 1937
Source: Regional Rail Right of Way Company (2009)/ On-site observation
Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of wood piles ca. 1975
Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: Located on the Cotton Belt Railway between Fort Worth and Plano.

6. Archeology Ground
Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known
Type: [ ] HABS [ ] Survey [ ] Other
Details:
Accessible to the public: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known
Possible threat(s): [ ] None [ ] Damage (i.e. natural disaster) [ ] Neglect
Development [ ] Major alteration [ ] Relocation [ ] Other
Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Grapevine
Year: 1981
Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14
Easting: 682980
Northing: 3648462
Legal description (Lot/Block): N/A
Addition: N/A
Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
[ ] A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
[ ] B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
[ ] C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
[ ] D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;
Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:
Level of significance: [ ] National [ ] State [ ] Local
Possible NR district: [ ] Yes [ ] No
Is property contributing? [ ] Yes [ ] No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) [ ] High [ ] Medium [ ] Low
Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 2, looking east.
1. Identification
County: Dallas  
City: Coppell  
Current name: Resource 3  
Historic name: N/A  
Address: 440 Southwestern Blvd.
Owner/address: SRKAD LLC/ 148 Bricknell Lane, Coppell, Texas
Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______
Current Designations:  
- ☑ NR  
- ☑ NR District (Is property contributing?  
- Yes ☑ No)  
- ☑ RTHL  
- ☑ HTC  
- ☑ SAL  
- ☑ Local  
- ☑ Other
Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown  
Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: One-story, three bay, cross-hipped roof, Ranch style single-family dwelling. Facade exhibits two single-door entrances with gable roof porches supported by replacement brick pillars. Windows are filled in and exterior is clad with replacement brick.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):
- Garage _______  
- Barn _______  
- Shed _______ Other: N/A
- ☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:
- ☑ Sidewalks  
- ☑ Terracing  
- ☑ Drives  
- ☑ Well/cistern  
- ☑ Gardens  
- ☑ Other _______

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):
- ☑ Log Traditional  
- ☑ Greek Revival  
- ☑ Italianate  
- ☑ Second Empire  
- ☑ Eastlake  
- ☑ Queen Anne  
- ☑ Shingle  
- ☑ Romanesque Revival  
- ☑ Folk Victorian  
- ☑ Colonial Revival  
- ☑ Renaissance Revival  
- ☑ Gothic Revival  
- ☑ Tudor Revival  
- ☑ Neo-Classical  
- ☑ Beaux Arts  
- ☑ Mission  
- ☑ Exotic Revival  
- ☑ Monterey  
- ☑ Pueblo Revival  
- ☑ Spanish Colonial  
- ☑ Prairie  
- ☑ Craftsman  
- ☑ Art Deco  
- ☑ Moderne  
- ☑ International  
- ☑ Post-war Modern  
- ☑ Ranch Style  
- ☑ Commercial Style  
- ☑ No Style  
- ☑ Other _______

Structural Details:

Roof Type:
- ☑ Gable  
- ☑ Hipped  
- ☑ Gambrel  
- ☑ Shed  
- ☑ Flat w/parapet  
- ☑ Dormers:  
  - ☑ gable  
  - ☑ hipped  
  - ☑ shed  
- ☑ Other _______

Roof Materials:
- ☑ Wood shingles  
- ☑ Tile  
- ☑ Composition shingles  
- ☑ Metal  
- ☑ Other _______

Wall Facade:
- 3 ______ Number of bays  
  - ☑ Stucco  
  - ☑ Stone  
  - ☑ Brick  
  - ☑ Wood shingle  
  - ☑ Log  
  - ☑ Terra Cotta  
  - ☑ Metal  
  - ☑ Siding, type vinyl  
  - ☑ Fieldstone veneer  
  - ☑ Awning(s)  
  - ☑ Other _______

Chimneys:
- ☑ Specify number(s):  
  - ☑ Interior  
  - ☑ Exterior  
  - ☑ Brick  
  - ☑ Stone  
  - ☑ With corbelled caps  
  - ☑ Stuccoed  
  - ☑ Other: NA

Windows:
- ☑ Fixed  
- ☑ Wood sash  
- ☑ Double hung  
- ☑ Casement  
- ☑ Aluminum sash  
- ☑ Decorative screenwork  
- ☑ Other Filled in

Doors:
- ☑ Single-door primary entrance  
- ☑ Double-door primary entrance  
- ☑ With transom  
- ☑ With sidelights  
- ☑ Other _______

Porches:
- ☑ Shed roof  
- ☑ Hipped roof  
- ☑ Gable roof  
- ☑ Brick posts  
- ☑ Wood posts  
- ☑ Brick piers  
- ☑ Box columns

Stories: 1  
Basement: ☑ None  ☑ Partial  ☑ Full  
Dimensions: L ______ x W ______ = Square feet  N/A

3. Integrity
- ☑ Location  
- ☑ Design  
- ☑ Materials  
- ☑ Workmanship  
- ☑ Setting  
- ☑ Feeling  
- ☑ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other ____________________________

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other ____________________________

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A Builder: N/A

Construction date: 1958 □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation

□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Recent addition of roof, brick cladding, doors, and brick porch supports.

□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known

Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other________

Details: ______________________

Access to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other ____________________________ * Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Grapevine Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14 Easting: 586523 Northing: 364704

Legal description (Lot/Block): Southwestern Industrial Lot 1

Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance: __________________________________________________________

Period(s) of significance: ____________________________

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain ____________________________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 3, looking north.

Resource 3, looking northeast.
**TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION**

**HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM**

1. **Identification**
   - County: Dallas
   - City: Coppell
   - Current name: Resource 4
   - Historic name: N/A
   - Address: 436 Southwestern Blvd

   **Owner/address:** Tracy P. Woodall / 436 Southwestern Blvd, Coppell, Texas

   **Photo data:** Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______

   **Current Designations:**
   - [ ] NR
   - [ ] NR District (Is property contributing? [ ] Yes [ ] No)
   - [ ] RTHL
   - [ ] HTC
   - [ ] SAL
   - [ ] Local
   - [ ] Other

   **Recorded by:** Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown
   **Date recorded:** 11/28/2012

**General architectural description:**
One-story, two bay, hipped roof with exposed rafters, Ranch style single-family dwelling. Facade exhibits inset porch with a single ornamental metal pillar.

**Outbuildings** (Specify number and type):
- Garage
- Barn
- Shed
- Other: N/A

- [ ] Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify: N/A

**Landscape/site features:**
- [ ] Sidewalks
- [ ] Terracing
- [ ] Drives
- [ ] Well/cistern
- [ ] Gardens
- [ ] Other

2. **Architectural Description**

**Stylistic Influence(s):**
- [ ] Log Traditional
- [ ] Greek Revival
- [ ] Italianate
- [ ] Second Empire
- [ ] Eastlake
- [ ] Queen Anne
- [ ] Shingle
- [ ] Romanesque Revival
- [ ] Folk Victorian
- [ ] Colonial Revival
- [ ] Renaissance Revival
- [ ] Exotic Revival
- [ ] Gothic Revival
- [ ] Tudor Revival
- [ ] Neo-Classical
- [ ] Beaux Arts
- [ ] Mission
- [ ] Modern
- [ ] Mediterranean
- [ ] Pueblo Revival
- [ ] Spanish Colonial
- [ ] Prairie
- [ ] Craftsman
- [ ] Art Deco
- [ ] Moderne
- [ ] International
- [ ] Post-war Modern
- [ ] Ranch Style
- [ ] Commercial Style
- [ ] No Style
- [ ] Other

**Structural Details:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roof Type</th>
<th>Wall Facade:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Gable</td>
<td>2 Number of bays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Hipped</td>
<td>[ ] Stucco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Gambrel</td>
<td>[ ] Stone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Shed</td>
<td>[ ] Brick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Flat w/ parapet</td>
<td>[ ] Wood shingle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Dormers:</td>
<td>[ ] Log</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] gable</td>
<td>[ ] Terra Cotta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] hipped</td>
<td>[ ] Metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] shed</td>
<td>[ ] Siding, type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Other</td>
<td>[ ] Fieldstone veneer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roof Materials:</th>
<th>Windows:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Wood shingles</td>
<td>[ ] Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Tile</td>
<td>[ ] Wood sash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Composition shingles</td>
<td>[ ] Double hung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Metal</td>
<td>[ ] Casement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Other</td>
<td>[ ] Aluminum sash</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chimneys:</th>
<th>Doors:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specify number(s)</td>
<td>Single-door primary entrance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Interior</td>
<td>Double-door primary entrance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Exterior</td>
<td>With transom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Brick</td>
<td>With sidelights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Stone</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] With corbelled caps</td>
<td>[ ] Removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Stuccoed</td>
<td>[ ] Removed for replacement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Porches:</th>
<th>Plan:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Shed roof</td>
<td>L-plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Hipped roof</td>
<td>2-room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Gable roof</td>
<td>T-plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Inset</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Wood posts</td>
<td>Modified L-plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Brick piers</td>
<td>Center passage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Box columns</td>
<td>Bungalow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foundations:</th>
<th>[ ] Slab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Pier and beam</td>
<td>[ ] Pier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Perimeter wall</td>
<td>[ ] Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Stories:** 1
**Basement:** [ ] None [ ] Partial [ ] Full
**Dimensions:** L _______ x W _______ = Square feet N/A

3. **Integrity**
- [ ] Location
- [ ] Design
- [ ] Materials
- [ ] Workmanship
- [ ] Setting
- [ ] Feeling
- [ ] Association
4. Function
Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense ■ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense ■ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1950 Actual □ Estimated Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation
Additions/modifications, specify dates: 2012 - replacement of windows and siding.
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground
□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain: N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other
Details:

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect □ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other
Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Grapevine Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 686562 Northing: 364708
Legal description (Lot/Block): James A. Simmons Abstract 1296 PG 850 TR 18
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:
Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local
Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low
Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 4, looking northeast.

Resource 4, looking north.
1. Identification
County Dallas
City Coppell
Current name Resource 5
Historic name N/A
Address 426 Southwestern Blvd
Owner/address Jim Olsen/ 602 Levee Pl., Coppell, Texas
Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______
Current Designations: □ NR □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No) □ RTHL □ HTC □ SAL □ Local □ Other
Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description One-story, three bay, side gabled roof with exposed rafters Minimal Traditional style single-family dwelling. The building is clad with replacement siding. The facade exhibits an offset gable porch with ornamental metal supports and three 1/1 wood sash windows.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):
Garage _______ Barn _______ Shed _______ Other N/A
□ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:
☐ Sidewalks ☐ Terracing ☐ Drives ☐ Well/cistern ☐ Gardens ☐ Other _______

2. Architectural Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stylistic Influence(s):</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Log Traditional</td>
<td>□ Shingle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Greek Revival</td>
<td>□ Romanesque Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Italianate</td>
<td>□ Folk Victorian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Second Empire</td>
<td>□ Colonial Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Eastlake</td>
<td>□ Renaissance Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Queen Anne</td>
<td>□ Exotic Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Gothic Revival</td>
<td>□ Tudor Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Neo-Classic</td>
<td>□ Beaux Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Mission</td>
<td>□ Art Deco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Monterey</td>
<td>□ Modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Pablo Revival</td>
<td>□ International</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Spanish Colonial</td>
<td>□ Post-war Modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Prairie</td>
<td>□ Ranch Style</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Craftsman</td>
<td>□ Commercial Style</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No Style</td>
<td>□ Minimal Trax</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural Details:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roof Type:</td>
<td>Wall Facade:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Gable</td>
<td>3 Number of bays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Hipped</td>
<td>□ Stucco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Gambrel</td>
<td>□ Stone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Shed</td>
<td>□ Brick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Flat w/parapet</td>
<td>□ Wood shingle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Dormers:</td>
<td>□ Log</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ gable</td>
<td>□ Terra Cotta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ hipped</td>
<td>□ Metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ shed</td>
<td>□ Siding, type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other</td>
<td>□ Fieldstone veneer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Awning(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Materials:</td>
<td>Chimneys:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Wood shingles</td>
<td>□ Specify number(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Tile</td>
<td>□ Interior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Composition shingles</td>
<td>Exterior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Metal</td>
<td>□ Brick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other</td>
<td>□ Stone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ With corbelled caps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Stucced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Other N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction:</th>
<th>Windows:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Frame</td>
<td>□ Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Adobe</td>
<td>□ Wood sash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Solid brick</td>
<td>□ Double hung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Solid stone</td>
<td>□ Casement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other</td>
<td>□ Aluminum sash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Decorative screenwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Doors:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Single-door primary entrance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Double-door primary entrance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ With transom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ With sidelights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ With other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plan:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ L-plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ 2-room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ T-plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Modified L-plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Center passage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Bungalow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Shotgun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Irregular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Four Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foundation:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Slab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Pier and beam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Perimeter wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Porches:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Shed roof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Hipped roof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Gable roof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Inset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Wood posts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Brick piers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Box columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Classical columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Tapered box supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Fabricated metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Spindleswork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Jig-sawn trim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stories:</th>
<th>Basement: □ None □ Partial □ Full</th>
<th>Dimensions: L _______ x W _______ = Square feet N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

3. Integrity
☐ Location ☐ Design ☐ Materials ☐ Workmanship ☐ Setting ☐ Feeling ☐ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense ■ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare □ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other


5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A Builder: N/A

Construction date: 1958 Actual □ Estimated □ Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation

□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement roof and siding.

□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known

Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other

Details:

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect □ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other

Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Grapevine Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14 Easting: 666590 Northing: 3647410

Legal description (Lot/Block): James A. Simmons Abstract 1296 Pg 650 TR 22 S-1

Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:

□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;

□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;

□ C. Embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;

□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

________________________________________

________________________________________

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain

Questions?

Contact survey coordinator

History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission

at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us

TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

The State Agency for Historic Preservation

www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 5, looking north.

Resource 5, looking northwest.
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

1. Identification

County Dallas City Coppell
Current name Resource 6 Historic name N/A
Address 424 Southwestern Blvd
Owner/address Dougals Barker/ 45 Winterhaven Ln., Brownsville, Texas
Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______
Current Designations: □ NR □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No) □ RTDL □ HTC □ SAL □ Local □ Other
Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description Minimal Traditional style one-story, three bay, cross-gabled roof single-family dwelling. The facade exhibits central single-door flanked by 2/2 aluminum-sash windows and two front facing gabled roof bays with 2/2 aluminum-sash windows.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):
Garage 1 Barn 0 Shed 0 Other 0
□ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:
■ Sidewalks □ Terracing □ Drives □ Well/cistern □ Gardens □ Other

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):
□ Log Traditional □ Greek Revival □ Italianate
□ Second Empire □ Eastlake □ Queen Anne
□ Shingle □ Romanesque Revival □ Folk Victorian
□ Colonial Revival □ Renaissance Revival □ Exotic
□ Gothic Revival □ Tudor Revival □ Neo-Classical
□ Beaux Arts □ Mission □ Monterey
□ Pueblo Revival □ Spanish Colonial □ Prairie
□ Craftsman □ Art Deco □ Moderne
□ International □ Post-war Modern □ Ranch Style
□ Commercial Style □ No Style □ Other

Structural Details:

Roof Type:
□ Gable □ Hipped □ Gambrel □ Shed
□ Flat w/parapet □ Dormers: □ gable □ hipped □ shed
□ Other □

Wall Façade:
3 □ Number of bays
□ Stucco □ Stone □ Brick
□ Wood shingle □ Log □ Terra Cotta
□ Metal □ Siding, type ______
□ Fieldstone veneer □ Awning(s) □ Other □

Windows:
□ Fixed □ Wood sash □ Double hung
□ Casement □ Aluminum sash □ Decorative screenwork
□ Other

Doors:
□ Single-door primary entrance □ Double-door primary entrance
□ With transom □ With sidelights □ Other

Chimneys:
□ Specify number(s)
□ Interior □ Exterior
□ Brick □ Stone □ With corbeled caps
□ Stucced □ Other N/A

Plan:
□ L-plan □ 2-room □ T-plan □ Open
□ Modified L-plan □ Center passage □ Bungalow
□ Shotgun □ Irregular □ Four Square
□ Rectangular □ Other H-plan

Foundation:
□ Slab □ Pier and beam □ Perimeter wall
□ Other

Porches:
□ Shed roof □ Hipped roof □ Gable roof
□ Inset □ Wood posts □ Brick piers
□ Box columns □ Classical columns
□ Tapered box supports □ Fabricated metal
□ Spindelwork □ Jig-sawn trim □ Other

Stories: 1 □ Basement: □ None □ Partial □ Full Dimensions: L _______ x W _______ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity

□ Location □ Design □ Materials □ Workmanship □ Setting □ Feeling □ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: [ ] Agriculture [ ] Commerce/trade [ ] Defense [ ] Domestic [ ] Educational [ ] Government [ ] Healthcare
[ ] Industry/processing [ ] Recreation/culture [ ] Religious [ ] Social [ ] Other ____________________________

Current Use: [ ] Agriculture [ ] Commerce/trade [ ] Defense [ ] Domestic [ ] Educational [ ] Government [ ] Healthcare
[ ] Industry/processing [ ] Recreation/culture [ ] Religious [ ] Social [ ] Vacant [ ] Other ____________________________

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A                                        Builder: N/A

Construction date: 1957                             Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation
[ ] Actual [ ] Estimated                             [ ] Replacement windows, siding, and roof sheathing

Additions/Modifications, specify dates: ____________________________

[ ] Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archaeology

[ ] Original site [ ] Disturbed                           Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known

Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known

Details: ____________________________

Accessible to the public: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known

Possible threat(s): [ ] None [ ] Damage (i.e. natural disaster) [ ] Neglect

[ ] Development [ ] Major alteration [ ] Relocation [ ] Other ____________________________

Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Grapevine                             Year: 1981

Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14                                      Easting: 686617

Northing: 3647410

Legal description (Lot/Block): James A Simmons Abstract 1296 Pg 650 TR 20

Addition: N/A                                     Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:

[ ] A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;

[ ] B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;

[ ] C. Embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;

[ ] D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance: ____________________________

Period(s) of significance: ____________________________

Level of significance: [ ] National [ ] State [ ] Local

Possible NR district: [ ] Yes [ ] No

Is property contributing? [ ] Yes [ ] No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) [ ] High [ ] Medium [ ] Low

Explain ____________________________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 6, looking north.

Resource 6, looking northwest.
1. Identification

County: Dallas
City: Coppell
Current Name: Resource 7
Historic Name: N/A
Address: 404 Southwestern Blvd

Owner/Address: Komerica Bldg Maintenance Inc./404 Southwestern Blvd., Coppell, Texas

Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______

Current Designations: ☐ NR ☐ NR District (Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No) ☐ RTHL ☐ HTC ☐ SAL ☐ Local ☐ Other

Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown
Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: One-story, five bay, hipped with gable roof, Ranch style single-family dwelling. The Facade exhibits five front facing gables, an offset single-door entrances, and four replacement 1/1 double-hung window.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):
Garage _______ Barn _______ Shed _______ Other N/A
☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:
☐ Sidewalks ☐ Terracing ☐ Drives ☐ Well/cistern ☐ Gardens ☐ Other ________

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):
☐ Log Traditional ☐ Greek Revival ☐ Italianate ☐ Second Empire
☐ Eastlake ☐ Queen Anne ☐ Gothic Revival ☐ Tudor Revival ☐ Neo-Classical
☐ Colonial Revival ☐ Beaux Arts ☐ Mission ☐ Monterey
☐ Pueblo Revival ☐ Spanish Colonial ☐ Prairie ☐ Ranch Style
☐ International ☐ Post-war Modern ☐ Commercial Style
☐ No Style ☐ Other ________

Structural Details:

Roof Type:
☐ Gable ☐ Hipped ☐ Gambrel ☐ Shed
☐ Flat w/parapet ☐ Dormers: ☐ gable ☐ hipped ☐ shed
☐ Other ________

Wall Facade:
5 Number of bays
☐ Stucco ☐ Stone ☐ Brick
☐ Wood shingle ☐ Log ☐ Terra Cotta
☐ Metal ☐ Siding, type: Vinyl
☐ Fieldstone veneer ☐ Awning(s)
☐ Other ________

Windows:
☐ Fixed ☐ Wood sash ☐ Double hung
☐ Casement ☐ Aluminum sash ☐ Decorative screenwork
☐ Other ________

Doors:
☐ Single-door primary entrance ☐ Double-door primary entrance
☐ With transom ☐ With sidelights
☐ Other ________

Chimneys:
☐ Specify number(s)
☐ Interior ☐ Exterior ☐ Brick
☐ Stone ☐ With corbelled caps ☐ Stuccoed
☐ Other N/A

Porches:
☐ Shed roof ☐ Hipped roof ☐ Gable roof
☐ Stick-out ☐ Wood posts ☐ Brick piers
☐ Box columns
☐ Classical columns ☐ Tapered box supports
☐ Fabricated metal ☐ Spindlwork
☐ Jg-sawn trim ☐ Other ________

Foundation:
☐ Slab ☐ Pier and beam ☐ Perimeter wall
☐ Other ________

Stories: 1 Basement: ☐ None ☐ Partial ☐ Full

Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity
☐ Location ☐ Design ☐ Materials ☐ Workmanship ☐ Setting ☐ Feeling ☐ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A
Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1948
Actual: □ Estimated: □ Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation
Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of windows, wall cladding, roof, and door. West wing addition.

Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed □ Explain: N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known □ Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other
Details:
Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known □ Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Grapevine Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 689659 Northing: 3647616
Legal description (Lot/Block): JAMES A SIMMONS ABST 1296 PG 850 TR 19.1
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local
Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No □ Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION
The State Agency for Historic Preservation
www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 7, looking northeast.

Resource 7, looking north.
**TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION**

**HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM**

1. **Identification**
   - County: Dallas  
   - City: Coppell
   - Current name: Resources 8a and 8b
   - Historic name: N/A
   - Address: 400 Southwestern Blvd
   - Owner/address: Armando Soto / 606 Meadowview Ln., Coppell, Texas
   - Photo data: Roll ______ Frame ______ to Roll ______ Frame ______
   - Current Designations: □ NR  □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes  □ No)  □ RTHL  □ HTC  □ SAL  □ Local  □ Other
   - Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown
   - Date recorded: 11/28/2012

**General architectural description**: Ranch style single-family dwelling constructed in 1960. The building is one-story, three bays, has a side facing gable roof, 2/2 aluminum sash windows, offset integral porch, and replacement vinyl siding.

**Outbuildings** (Specify number and type):
- Garage ______
- Barn ______
- Shed ______
- Other ______
- □ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

**Landscape/site features**:  
- □ Sidewalks  □ Terracing  □ Drives  □ Well/cistern  □ Gardens  □ Other ______

2. **Architectural Description**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural Influence(s):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Log Traditional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Greek Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Italianate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Second Empire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Eastlake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Queen Anne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Shingle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Romanesque Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Folk Victorian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Colonial Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Renaissance Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Exotic Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Gothic Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Tudor Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Neo-Classical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Beaux Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Mission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Spanish Colonial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Prairie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Craftsman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Art Deco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Pueblo Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Ranch Style</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Post-war Modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roof Type:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Gable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Hipped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Gambrel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Shed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Flat w/parapet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Dormers:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ gable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ hipped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ shed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wall Facade:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 □ Number of bays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Stucco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Stone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Brick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Wood shingle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Log</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Terra Cotta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Siding, type vinyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Fieldstone veneer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Awning(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Windows:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Wood sash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Double hung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Casement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Aluminum sash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Decorative screenwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Doors:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Single-door primary entrance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Double-door primary entrance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ With transom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ With sidelights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ L-plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ 2-room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ T-plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Modified L-plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Center passage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Bungalow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Shotgun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Irregular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Four Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foundation:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Slab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Pier and beam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Perimeter wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Porches:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Shed roof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Hipped roof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Gable roof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Inset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Wood posts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Brick piers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Box columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Classical columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Tapered box supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Fabricated metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Spindlewark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Jig-sawn trim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stories:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basement:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Full</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L ______ x W ______ = Square feet N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Integrity**

- □ Location
- □ Design
- □ Materials
- □ Workmanship
- □ Setting
- □ Feeling
- □ Association
4. Function
Historic Use: ☐ Agriculture ☐ Commerce/trade ☐ Defense ☐ Domestic ☐ Educational ☐ Government ☐ Healthcare ☐ Industry/processing ☐ Recreation/culture ☐ Religious ☐ Social ☐ Other
☐ Industry/processing ☐ Recreation/culture ☐ Religious ☐ Social ☐ Vacant ☐ Other

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1960 ☐ Actual ☐ Estimated Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation
☐ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement windows, door, roof sheathing, and siding. Addition of east wing.
☐ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground
☐ Original state ☐ Disturbed Explain N/A
Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known Type: ☐ HABS ☐ Survey ☐ Other
Details:
Accessible to the public: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known Possible threat(s): ☐ None ☐ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) ☐ Neglect ☐ Development ☐ Major alteration ☐ Relocation ☐ Other N/A
Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Grapevine Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 686724 Northing: 3647418
Legal description (Lot/Block): JAMES A SIMMONS ABST 1296 PG 850 TR 21
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
☐ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
☐ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
☐ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
☐ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:
Level of significance: ☐ National ☐ State ☐ Local
Possible NR district: ☐ Yes ☐ No Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) ☐ High ☐ Medium ☐ Low
Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation
www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 8a, looking northeast.

Resource 8a, looking north.
Resource 8b, looking northwest.
# HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

## 1. Identification

- **County**: Dallas  
- **City**: Coppell  
- **Current name**: Resource 9  
- **Historic name**: N/A  
- **Address**: 312 Southwestern Blvd.  
- **Owner/address**: Daniel Soto/PO Box 151, Coppell, Texas  
- **Photo data**: Roll ______ Frame ______ to Roll ______ Frame ______  
- **Current Designations**:  
  - [ ] NR  
  - [ ] NR District (Is property contributing?)  
  - [ ] Yes  
  - [ ] No  
  - [ ] RTHL  
  - [ ] HTC  
  - [ ] SAL  
  - [ ] Local  
  - [ ] Other  
- **Recorded by**: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown  
- **Date recorded**: 11/28/2012  

## General architectural description

One-story, four bay, side gabled roof, Ranch style single-family dwelling. The façade exhibits two single door entrances with a front facing gable roof porch supported by four wood posts. Windows include picture and 2/2 aluminums sash.

## Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

- Garage _____  
- Barn _____  
- Shed _____  
- Other N/A  
- [ ] Archaeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

## Landscape/site features:

- [ ] Sidewalks  
- [ ] Terracing  
- [ ] Drives  
- [ ] Well/cistern  
- [ ] Gardens  
- [ ] Other ______

## 2. Architectural Description

### Stylistic Influence(s):

- [ ] Log Traditional  
- [ ] Greek Revival  
- [ ] Italianate  
- [ ] Second Empire  
- [ ] Eastlake  
- [ ] Queen Anne  
- [ ] Shingle  
- [ ] Romanesque Revival  
- [ ] Folk Victorian  
- [ ] Colonial Revival  
- [ ] Renaissance Revival  
- [ ] Exotic Revival  
- [ ] Gothic Revival  
- [ ] Tudor Revival  
- [ ] Neo-Classical  
- [ ] Beaux Arts  
- [ ] Mission  
- [ ] Monterey  
- [ ] Pueblo Revival  
- [ ] Spanish Colonial  
- [ ] Prairie  
- [ ] Craftsman  
- [ ] Art Deco  
- [ ] Moderne  
- [ ] International  
- [ ] Post-war Modern  
- [ ] Ranch Style  
- [ ] Commercial Style  
- [ ] No Style  
- [ ] Other ______

### Structural Details:

#### Roof Type:

- [ ] Gable  
- [ ] Hipped  
- [ ] Gambrel  
- [ ] Shed  
- [ ] Flat w/parapet  
- [ ] Dormers:  
  - [ ] gable  
  - [ ] hipped  
  - [ ] shed  
  - [ ] Other ______

#### Roof Materials:

- [ ] Wood shingles  
- [ ] Tile  
- [ ] Composition shingles  
- [ ] Metal  
- [ ] Other ______

#### Construction:

- [ ] Frame  
- [ ] Adobe  
- [ ] Solid brick  
- [ ] Solid stone  
- [ ] Other ______

#### Wall Façade:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[ ] Stucco</th>
<th>[ ] Stone</th>
<th>[ ] Brick</th>
<th>[ ] Wood shingle</th>
<th>[ ] Log</th>
<th>[ ] Terra Cotta</th>
<th>[ ] Metal</th>
<th>[ ] Siding, type vinyl</th>
<th>[ ] Fieldstone veneer</th>
<th>[ ] Awning(s)</th>
<th>[ ] Other ______</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Chimneys:

- [ ] Specify number(s)
  - [ ] Interior  
  - [ ] Exterior  
  - [ ] Brick  
  - [ ] Stone  
  - [ ] With corbelled caps  
  - [ ] Stuccoed  
  - [ ] Other N/A

#### Windows:

- [ ] Fixed  
- [ ] Wood sash  
- [ ] Double hung  
- [ ] Casement  
- [ ] Aluminum sash  
- [ ] Decorative screenwork  
- [ ] Other Picture window

#### Doors:

- [ ] Single-door primary entrance  
- [ ] Double-door primary entrance  
- [ ] With transom  
- [ ] With sidelights  
- [ ] Other ______

#### Porches:

- [ ] Shed roof  
- [ ] Hipped roof  
- [ ] Gable roof  
- [ ] Wood posts  
- [ ] Brick piers  
- [ ] Box columns  
- [ ] Classical columns  
- [ ] Tapered box supports  
- [ ] Fabricated metal  
- [ ] Spindleswork  
- [ ] Jig-sawn trim  
- [ ] Other ______

#### Foundation:

- [ ] Slab  
- [ ] Pier and beam  
- [ ] Perimeter wall  
- [ ] Other ______

#### Plan:

- [ ] L-plan  
- [ ] Open  
- [ ] T-plan  
- [ ] Modified L-plan  
- [ ] Center passage  
- [ ] Bungalow  
- [ ] Shotgun  
- [ ] Irregular  
- [ ] Four Square  
- [ ] Rectangular  
- [ ] Other ______

### Stories:

- [ ] 1  
- [ ] Basement: [ ] None [ ] Partial [ ] Full  
- **Dimensions**: L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A

## 3. Integrity

- [ ] Location  
- [ ] Design  
- [ ] Materials  
- [ ] Workmanship  
- [ ] Setting  
- [ ] Feeling  
- [ ] Association
4. Function
Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense ■ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare □ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other ______

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1955 Actual □ Estimated □ Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation
Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of windows, door, roof sheathing, and wall cladding.
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground
□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain: N/A
Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other ______
Details: __________________________
Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect □ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other ______
Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Grapevine Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 698606 Northing: 3647387
Legal description (Lot/Block): JAMES A SIMMONS ABST 1296 PG 866 TR 19 ACS 1.321
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;
Areas of significance: __________________________
Period(s) of significance: __________________________
Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local
Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low
Explain: __________________________
Resource 9, looking northwest.

Resource 9, looking north.
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

1. Identification

County: Dallas
City: Coppell

Current name: Resource 10
Historic name: N/A

Address: 308 Southwestern Blvd.

Owner/address: Daniel Soto/PO Box 151 Coppell, Texas

Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______

Current Designations: ☐ NR ☐ NR District (Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No) ☐ RTHL ☐ HTC ☐ SAL ☐ Local ☐ Other

Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown
Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: One-story, two bay, pyramidal with hip roof single-family dwelling. The building has an irregular plan due to additions, including the projecting east bay of the façade and a two unit addition at the north end of the building.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):
Garage _______ Barn _______ Shed _______ Other N/A

☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:
☐ Sidewalks ☐ Terracing ☐ Drives ☐ Well/cistern ☐ Gardens ☐ Other

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):
☐ Log Traditional ☐ Shingle
☐ Greek Revival ☐ Romanesque Revival ☐ Gothic Revival
☐ Italianate ☐ Folk Victorian ☐ Neo-Classical
☐ Second Empire ☐ Colonial Revival ☐ Beaux Arts
☐ Eastlake ☐ Renaissance Revival ☐ Mission
☐ Queen Anne ☐ Exotic Revival ☐ Monterey
☐ Pueblo Revival ☐ Spanish Colonial ☐ Mission
☐ International ☐ Post-war Modern ☐ Prairie
☐ Ranch Style ☐ Craftsman ☐ Beaux Arts
☐ Commercial Style ☐ Art Deco ☐ Other
☐ No Style

Structural Details:

Roof Type:
☐ Gable
☐ Hipped
☐ Gambrel
☐ Shed
☐ Flat w/parapet
☐ Dormers:
□ Gable
□ Hipped
□ Shed
☐ Other

Wall Facade:
☐ Number of bays
☐ Stucco
☐ Stone
☐ Brick
☐ Wood shingle
☐ Log
☐ Terra Cotta
☐ Metal
☐ Siding, type vinyl
☐ Fieldstone veneer
☐ Awning(s)
☐ Other

Windows:
☐ Fixed
☐ Wood sash
☐ Double hung
☐ Casement
☐ Aluminum sash
☐ Decorative screenwork
☐ Other

Doors:
☐ Single-door primary entrance
☐ Double-door primary entrance
☐ With transom
☐ With sidelights
☐ Other

Chimneys:
☐ Specify number(s)
☐ Interior
☐ Exterior
☐ Brick
☐ Stone
☐ With corbelled caps
☐ Stuccoed
☐ Other N/A

Plan:
☐ L-plan
☐ 2-room
☐ T-plan
☐ Open
☐ Modified L-plan
☐ Center passage
☐ Bungalow
☐ Shotgun
☐ Irregular
☐ Four Square
☐ Rectangular
☐ Other

Roof Materials:
☐ Wood shingles
☐ Tile
☐ Composition shingles
☐ Metal _______ Other _______

Construction:
☐ Frame
☐ Adobe
☐ Solid brick
☐ Solid stone
☐ Other _______

Fixed:
☐ Shed roof
☐ Hipped roof
☐ Gable roof
☐ Inset
☐ Wood posts
☐ Brick piers
☐ Box columns
☐ Classical columns
☐ Tapered box supports
☐ Fabricated metal
☐ Spindles
☐ Jig-sawn trim
☐ Other _______

Stories: 1
Basement: ☐ None ☐ Partial ☐ Full
Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity

☐ Location ☐ Design ☐ Materials ☐ Workmanship ☐ Setting ☐ Feeling ☐ Association
4. Function
Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/Trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1948 □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of roof, windows, door, and wall cladding. Two additions, one at the rear and one on side.
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archaeology Ground
□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain: N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other
Details:

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other □ Relocation □ Other N/A

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Grapevine Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 688835 Northing: 3647349
Legal description (Lot/Block): JAMES A SIMMONS ABST 1296 PG 856 TR 28
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low
Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

TPH 4, 12/07

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation

www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 10, looking northeast.

Resource 10, looking northwest.
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

1. Identification

County: Dallas  
City: Coppell

Current name: Resource 11  
Historic name: N/A

Address: 304 Southwestern Blvd.

Owner/address: Daniel Soto/PO Box 151 Coppell, Texas

Photo data: Roll ___ to Roll ___  
Current Designations:  
Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown  
Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: One-story, two bay with wings, side gabled roof Ranch style single-family dwelling. The building's exterior walls are clad with replacement vinyl siding. A single-unit addition projects south from the west wing. Windows are 2/2 aluminum sash.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

- Garage  
- Barn  
- Shed  
- Other: N/A

☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:

☐ Sidewalks  
☐ Terracing  
☐ Drives  
☐ Well/cistern  
☐ Gardens  
☐ Other

2. Architectural Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stylistic Influence(s):</th>
<th>Log Traditional</th>
<th>Greek Revival</th>
<th>Italianate</th>
<th>Second Empire</th>
<th>Eastlake</th>
<th>Queen Anne</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shingle</td>
<td>Romanesque Revival</td>
<td>Folk Victorian</td>
<td>Colonial Revival</td>
<td>Renaissance Revival</td>
<td>Exotic Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gothic Revival</td>
<td>Tudor Revival</td>
<td>Neo-Classical</td>
<td>Beaux Arts</td>
<td>Mission</td>
<td>Monterey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pueblo Revival</td>
<td>Spanish Colonial</td>
<td>Prairie</td>
<td>Craftsman</td>
<td>Art Deco</td>
<td>Modern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>International</td>
<td>Post-war Modern</td>
<td>Ranch Style</td>
<td>Commercial Style</td>
<td>No Style</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural Details:</th>
<th>Roof Type:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                      | Number of bays: 4 |
|                      | Stucco | Stone | Brick | Wood shingle | Log | Terra Cotta | Metal | Siding, type, vinyl | Fieldstone veneer | Awning(s) | Other |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wall Facade:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gothic Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pueblo Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>International</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Windows:</th>
<th>Fixed</th>
<th>Wood sash</th>
<th>Double hung</th>
<th>Casement</th>
<th>Aluminum sash</th>
<th>Decorative screenwork</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plan:</td>
<td>L-plan</td>
<td>2-room</td>
<td>T-plan</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Modified L-plan</td>
<td>Center passage</td>
<td>Bungalow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Doors: | Single-door primary entrance | Double-door primary entrance | With transom | With sidelights | Other |
|        |                                |                            |              |                |       |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chimneys:</th>
<th>Specify number(s):</th>
<th>Interior</th>
<th>Exterior</th>
<th>Brick</th>
<th>Stone</th>
<th>With corbelled caps</th>
<th>Stucco</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction:</th>
<th>Frame</th>
<th>Adobe</th>
<th>Solid brick</th>
<th>Solid stone</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Porches:</th>
<th>Shed roof</th>
<th>Hipped roof</th>
<th>Gable roof</th>
<th>Inset</th>
<th>Wood posts</th>
<th>Brick piers</th>
<th>Box columns</th>
<th>Classical columns</th>
<th>Tapered box supports</th>
<th>Fabricated metal</th>
<th>Spindlwork</th>
<th>Jig-sawn trim</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Stories: 1 | Basement: None | Partial | Full | Dimensions: L ___ x W ___ = Square feet N/A |

3. Integrity

- Location  
- Design  
- Materials  
- Workmanship  
- Setting  
- Feeling  
- Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A   Builder: N/A

Construction date: 1950  ❑ Actual  ❑ Estimated  Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation

❑ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of windows, door, roof, and wall cladding. Single unit addition off of facade.

❑ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground

❑ Original state  ❑ Disturbed  Explain: N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site?  ❑ Yes  ❑ No  ❑ Not known

Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource?  ❑ Yes  ❑ No  ❑ Not known  Type: ❑ HABS  ❑ Survey  ❑ Other

Details:

Accessible to the public:  ❑ Yes  ❑ No  ❑ Not known  Possible threat(s):  ❑ None  ❑ Damage (i.e. natural disaster)  ❑ Neglect
❑ Development  ❑ Major alteration  ❑ Relocation  ❑ Other

Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Grapevine  Year: 1981  Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14  Easting: 586960  Northing: 3647348

Legal description (Lot/Block): JAMES A SIMMONS ABST 1296 PG 856 TR 14 80X130

Addition: N/A  Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
❑ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
❑ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
❑ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
❑ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance:  ❑ National  ❑ State  ❑ Local

Possible NR district:  ❑ Yes  ❑ No  Is property contributing?  ❑ Yes  ❑ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.)  ❑ High  ❑ Medium  ❑ Low

Explain:

Questions?

Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 11, looking northeast.

Resource 11, looking north.
## 1. Identification

- **County**: Dallas
- **City**: Coppell
- **Resource**: Resource 12
- **Address**: DART railroad line (Cotton Belt Corridor) over Grapevine Creek
- **Owner/address**: DART-1401 Pacific Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202
- **Photo data**: Roll _____ Frame _____ to Roll _____ Frame _____
- **Current Designations**: □ NR □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No) □ RTHL □ HTC □ SAL □ Local □ Other
- **Recorded by**: Kate Singleton
- **Date recorded**: 1/31/2012

**General architectural description**: Wood trestle bridge constructed in ca. 1937, with replacement wood pile bents and wood abutments.

### Outbuildings
(Specify number and type):
- □ Garage
- □ Barn
- □ Shed
- □ Other N/A
- □ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

### Landscape/site features:
- □ Sidewalks
- □ Terracing
- □ Drives
- □ Well/cistern
- □ Gardens
- □ Other N/A

## 2. Architectural Description

### Stylistic Influence(s):
- □ Log Traditional
- □ Greek Revival
- □ Italianate
- □ Second Empire
- □ Eastlake
- □ Queen Anne
- □ Shingle
- □ Romanesque Revival
- □ Folk Victorian
- □ Colonial Revival
- □ Renaissance Revival
- □ Exotic Revival
- □ Gothic Revival
- □ Tudor Revival
- □ Neoclassical
- □ Beaux Arts
- □ Mission
- □ Monterey
- □ Pueblo Revival
- □ Spanish Colonial
- □ Prairie
- □ Craftsman
- □ Art Deco
- □ Moderne
- □ International
- □ Post-war Modern
- □ Ranch Style
- □ Commercial Style
- □ No Style
- □ Other N/A

### Structural Details:

#### Roof Type:
- □ Gable
- □ Hipped
- □ Gambrel
- □ Shed
- □ Flat w/parapet
- □ Dormers
- □ gable
- □ hipped
- □ shed
- □ Other N/A

#### Roof Materials:
- □ Wood shingles
- □ Tile
- □ Composition shingles
- □ Metal
- □ Other N/A

#### Wall Facade:

- □ Stucco
- □ Stone
- □ Brick
- □ Wood shingle
- □ Log
- □ Terra Cotta
- □ Metal
- □ Siding, type
- □ Fieldstone veneer
- □ Awning(s)
- □ Other N/A

#### Windows:
- □ Fixed
- □ Wood sash
- □ Double hung
- □ Casement
- □ Aluminum sash
- □ Decorative screenwork
- □ Other N/A

#### Doors:
- □ Single-door primary entrance
- □ Double-door primary entrance
- □ With transom
- □ With sidelights
- □ Other N/A

#### Foundation:
- □ Slab
- □ Pier and beam
- □ Perimeter wall
- □ Other

#### Porches:
- □ Shed roof
- □ Hipped roof
- □ Gable roof
- □ Inset
- □ Wood posts
- □ Brick piers
- □ Box columns
- □ Classical columns
- □ Tapered box supports
- □ Fabricated metal
- □ Spindles
- □ Jig-sawn trim
- □ Other N/A

#### Construction:
- □ Frame
- □ Adobe
- □ Solid brick
- □ Solid stone
- □ Other N/A

#### Chimneys:
- □ Interior
- □ Exterior
- □ Brick
- □ Stone
- □ With corbelled caps
- □ Stuccoed
- □ Other N/A

### Stories:
- N/A

### Basement:
- □ None
- □ Partial
- □ Full

### Dimensions:
- L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A

### 3. Integrity
- ■ Location
- ■ Design
- ■ Materials
- ■ Workmanship
- ■ Setting
- ■ Feeling
- ■ Association
4. Function

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A Builder: N/A
Construction date: ca. 1937 ☐ Actual ☐ Estimated Source: Regional Rail Right of Way Company (2009)/On-site observation
☐ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of wood piles.
☐ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: Located on the Cotton Belt Railway between Fort Worth and Plano.

6. Archeology Ground
☐ Original state ☐ Disturbed Explain: N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known Type: ☐ HABS ☐ Survey ☐ Other
Details:
Accessible to the public: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known Possible threat(s): ☐ None ☐ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) ☐ Neglect ☐ Development ☐ Major alteration ☐ Relocation ☐ Other
☐ Other
* Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Carrollton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 586995 Northing: 3647529
Legal description (Lot/Block): N/A
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
☐ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
☐ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
☐ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
☐ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: ☐ National ☐ State ☐ Local
Possible NR district: ☐ Yes ☐ No Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) ☐ High ☐ Medium ☐ Low
Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 12, looking west.

Resource 12, looking east.
1. Identification

- County: Dallas  
- City: Coppell  
- Current name: Resource 13  
- Historic name: N/A  
- Address: 196 Southwestern Blvd.  
- Owner/address: Shannon and Andree LP/ 196 Southwestern Blvd., Coppell, Texas  
- Photo data: Roll ______ Frame ______ to Roll ______ Frame ______  
- Current Designations: [ ] NR [ ] NR District (Is property contributing? [ ] Yes [ ] No)  
- RTHL [ ] HTC [ ] SAL [ ] Local [ ] Other  
- Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown  
- Date recorded: 11/28/2012

2. Architectural Description

- General architectural description: One-story, three bay, cross-gabled roof, Ranch style single-family dwelling. Exterior walls are clad with vinyl siding. The façade exhibits an offset inset porch supported by a single ornamental metal pillar and a single door entrance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outbuildings (Specify number and type):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Garage ______ Barn ______ Shed ______ Other N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Landscape/site features:  
  - [ ] Sidewalks  
  - [ ] Terracing  
  - [ ] Drives  
  - [ ] Well/cistern  
  - [ ] Gardens  
  - [ ] Other ______

3. Integrity

- Location  
- Design  
- Materials  
- Workmanship  
- Setting  
- Feeling  
- Association

- Stories: 1  
- Basement: [ ] None  
- Partial  
- Full  
- Dimensions: L ______ x W ______ = Square feet N/A  
- Roof Type:  
  - [ ] Gable  
  - [ ] Hipped  
  - [ ] Gambrel  
  - [ ] Shed  
  - [ ] Flat w/parapet  
  - Dormers:  
    - [ ] gable  
    - [ ] hipped  
    - [ ] shed  
    - [ ] Other ______

- Wall Façade:  
  - 3 ___ Number of bays  
  - [ ] Stucco  
  - [ ] Stone  
  - [ ] Brick  
  - [ ] Wood shingle  
  - [ ] Log  
  - [ ] Terra Cotta  
  - [ ] Metal  
  - [ ] Siding, type vinyl  
  - [ ] Fieldstone veneer  
  - Awning(s)  
  - Other ______

- Windows:  
  - [ ] Fixed  
  - [ ] Wood sash  
  - [ ] Double hung  
  - [ ] Casement  
  - [ ] Aluminum sash  
  - [ ] Decorative screenwork  
  - Other ______

- Doors:  
  - [ ] Single-door primary entrance  
  - [ ] Double-door primary entrance  
  - [ ] With transom  
  - [ ] With sidelights  
  - Other ______

- Roof Materials:  
  - [ ] Wood shingles  
  - [ ] Tile  
  - [ ] Composition shingles  
  - [ ] Metal ______  
  - [ ] Other ______

- Chimneys:  
  - 3 Specify number(s)  
  - [ ] Interior  
  - [ ] Exterior  
  - [ ] Brick  
  - [ ] Stone  
  - [ ] With corbeled caps  
  - [ ] Stuccoed  
  - [ ] Other N/A  

- Porches:  
  - [ ] Shed roof  
  - [ ] Hipped roof  
  - [ ] Gable roof  
  - [ ] Inset  
  - [ ] Wood posts  
  - [ ] Brick piers  
  - [ ] Box columns  

- Foundation:  
  - [ ] Slab  
  - [ ] Pier and beam  
  - [ ] Perimeter wall  
  - [ ] Other ______

- Plan:  
  - [ ] L-plan [ ] Open  
  - [ ] O-plan [ ] Center  
  - [ ] Bungalow  
  - [ ] Shotgun  
  - [ ] Four Square  
  - [ ] Rectangular  
  - [ ] Other ______

- Construction:  
  - [ ] Frame  
  - [ ] Adobe  
  - [ ] Solid brick  
  - [ ] Solid stone  
  - [ ] Other ______  

- Structural Details:  
  - [ ] Gothic Revival  
  - [ ] Tudor Revival  
  - [ ] Neo-Classical  
  - [ ] Beaux Arts  
  - [ ] Mission  
  - [ ] Monterey  
  - [ ] Modern  
  - [ ] Other ______

- Materials:  
  - [ ] Pueblo Revival  
  - [ ] Spanish Colonial  
  - [ ] Prairie  
  - [ ] Craftsman  
  - [ ] Art Deco  
  - [ ] Moderate  
  - [ ] Other ______

- International  
- Post-war Modern  
- Ranch Style  
- Commercial Style  
- No Style  
- Other ______

- Stories: 1  
- Basement: [ ] None  
- Partial  
- Full  
- Dimensions: L ______ x W ______ = Square feet N/A  
- Roof Type:  
  - [ ] Gable  
  - [ ] Hipped  
  - [ ] Gambrel  
  - [ ] Shed  
  - [ ] Flat w/parapet  
  - Dormers:  
    - [ ] gable  
    - [ ] hipped  
    - [ ] shed  
    - [ ] Other ______

- Wall Façade:  
  - 3 ___ Number of bays  
  - [ ] Stucco  
  - [ ] Stone  
  - [ ] Brick  
  - [ ] Wood shingle  
  - [ ] Log  
  - [ ] Terra Cotta  
  - [ ] Metal  
  - [ ] Siding, type vinyl  
  - [ ] Fieldstone veneer  
  - Awning(s)  
  - Other ______

- Windows:  
  - [ ] Fixed  
  - [ ] Wood sash  
  - [ ] Double hung  
  - [ ] Casement  
  - [ ] Aluminum sash  
  - [ ] Decorative screenwork  
  - Other ______

- Doors:  
  - [ ] Single-door primary entrance  
  - [ ] Double-door primary entrance  
  - [ ] With transom  
  - [ ] With sidelights  
  - Other ______

- Roof Materials:  
  - [ ] Wood shingles  
  - [ ] Tile  
  - [ ] Composition shingles  
  - [ ] Metal ______  
  - [ ] Other ______

- Chimneys:  
  - 3 Specify number(s)  
  - [ ] Interior  
  - [ ] Exterior  
  - [ ] Brick  
  - [ ] Stone  
  - [ ] With corbeled caps  
  - [ ] Stuccoed  
  - [ ] Other N/A  

- Porches:  
  - [ ] Shed roof  
  - [ ] Hipped roof  
  - [ ] Gable roof  
  - [ ] Inset  
  - [ ] Wood posts  
  - [ ] Brick piers  
  - [ ] Box columns  

- Foundation:  
  - [ ] Slab  
  - [ ] Pier and beam  
  - [ ] Perimeter wall  
  - [ ] Other ______  

- Plan:  
  - [ ] L-plan [ ] 2-room  
  - [ ] T-plan [ ] Open  
  - [ ] O-plan [ ] Center  
  - [ ] Bungalow  
  - [ ] Shotgun  
  - [ ] Four Square  
  - [ ] Rectangular  
  - [ ] Other ______

- Construction:  
  - [ ] Frame  
  - [ ] Adobe  
  - [ ] Solid brick  
  - [ ] Solid stone  
  - [ ] Other ______  

- Structural Details:  
  - [ ] Gothic Revival  
  - [ ] Tudor Revival  
  - [ ] Neo-Classical  
  - [ ] Beaux Arts  
  - [ ] Mission  
  - [ ] Monterey  
  - [ ] Modern  
  - [ ] Other ______

- Materials:  
  - [ ] Pueblo Revival  
  - [ ] Spanish Colonial  
  - [ ] Prairie  
  - [ ] Craftsman  
  - [ ] Art Deco  
  - [ ] Moderate  
  - [ ] Other ______

- International  
- Post-war Modern  
- Ranch Style  
- Commercial Style  
- No Style  
- Other ______
4. Function
Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other ____________
Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other ____________

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1966 □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement roof, door, and exterior wall cladding.
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground
□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other ____________
Details: ____________________________
Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other ____________________________
Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Carrollton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 587303 Northing: 3647362
Legal description (Lot/Block): NORTH LAKE ESTATES LOT 1
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;
Areas of significance: ____________________________

Period(s) of significance: ____________________________
Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local
Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low
Explain ____________________________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 13, looking north.

Resource 13, looking northwest.
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

1. Identification

County: Dallas
City: Coppell

Current name: Resource 14
Historic name: N/A

Address: 800-808 Howell Dr.

Owner/address: Multiple Owners (grouping of single-family dwellings in cul-de-sac)

Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______

Current Designations: ☐ NR ☐ NR District (Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No) ☐ RTHL ☐ HTC ☐ SAL ☐ Local ☐ Other

Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown
Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: In general the buildings are one-story, three bay, have side gable roofs, and one or two bay attached garages.

Windows include plate glass and 2/2 aluminum-sash. The primary entrances are single door entrances centrally located on the façade.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

Garage _______ Barn _______ Shed _______ Other N/A

☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:
☐ Sidewalks ☐ Terracing ☐ Drives ☐ Well/cistern ☐ Gardens ☐ Other _______

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):
☐ Log Traditional ☐ Greek Revival ☐ Gothic Revival ☐ Log cabin
☐ Greek Revival ☐ Romanesque Revival ☐ Tudor Revival ☐ Classical
☐ Italianate ☐ Folk Victorian ☐ Neo-Classical ☐ Neo-Romanesque
☐ Second Empire ☐ Colonial Revival ☐ Beaux Arts ☐ Edwardian
☐ Eastlake ☐ Renaissance Revival ☐ Mission ☐ Mission Revival
☐ Queen Anne ☐ Exotic Revival ☐ Monterey ☐ Monterey
☐ International ☐ Post-war Modern ☐ Ranch Style ☐ International
☐ Prairie ☐ Post-war Modern ☐ Ranch Style ☐ Commercial Style
☐ Beaux Arts ☐ Craftsman ☐ No Style ☐ Other _______

Structural Details:

Roof Type:
☐ Gable
☐ Hipped
☐ Gambrel
☐ Shed
☐ Flat w/parapet
☐ Dormers:
☐ gable
☐ hipped
☐ shed
☐ Other _______

Roof Materials:
☐ Wood shingles
☐ Tile
☐ Composition shingles
☐ Metal _______
☐ Other _______

Wall Facade:

3. _______ Number of bays
☐ Stucco
☐ Stone
☐ Brick
☐ Wood shingle
☐ Log
☐ Terra Cotta
☐ Metal
☐ Siding, type _______
☐ Fieldstone veneer
☐ Awning(s)
☐ Other _______

Windows:
☐ Fixed
☐ Wood sash
☐ Double hung
☐ Casement
☐ Aluminum sash
☐ Decorative screenwork
☐ Other _______

Doors:
☐ Single-door primary entrance
☐ Double-door primary entrance
☐ With transom
☐ With sidelights
☐ Other _______

Chimneys:
☐ Specify number(s)
☐ Interior
☐ Exterior
☐ Brick
☐ Stone
☐ With corbelled caps
☐ Stuccoed
☐ Other N/A

Plan:
☐ L-plan
☐ 2-room
☐ T-plan
☐ Open
☐ Modified L-plan
☐ Center passage
☐ Bungalow
☐ Shotgun
☐ Irregular
☐ Four Square
☐ Rectangular
☐ Other _______

Foundation:
☐ Slab
☐ Pier and beam
☐ Perimeter wall
☐ Other _______

Porches:
☐ Shed roof
☐ Hipped roof
☐ Gable roof
☐ Inset
☐ Wood posts
☐ Brick piers
☐ Box columns
☐ Classical columns
☐ Tapered box supports
☐ Fabricated metal
☐ Spindles
☐ Jig-sawn trim
☐ Other _______

Stories: 1
Basement: ☐ None ☐ Partial ☐ Full
Dimensions: L _______ x W _______ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity
☐ Location ☐ Design ☐ Materials ☐ Workmanship ☐ Setting ☐ Feeling ☐ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other ________

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other ________

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A □ Actual □ Estimated Builder: N/A □ Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement roofs and doors
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
□ Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other ________
Details: ____________________________

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other ____________________________ * Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Carrollton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 687344 Northing: 3647529
Legal description (Lot/Block): NORTH LAKE ESTATES
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance: ____________________________

Period(s) of significance: ____________________________

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain ____________________________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 14, general view looking north.

Resource 14, representative example, looking northwest.
Resource 14, representative example, looking northeast.
## HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

### 1. Identification

- **County**: Dallas  
- **City**: Coppell  
- **Resource Name**:  
- **Historic Name**: N/A  
- **Address**: DART railroad line (Cotton Belt Corridor) East of Denton Tap Road  
- **Owner/Address**: DART-1401 Pacific Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202  
- **Photo data**: Roll 4 Frame 1 to Roll 5 Frame 1  
- **Current Designations**:  
  - [ ] NR  
  - [ ] NR District (Is property contributing?)  
    - [x] Yes  
    - [ ] No  
  - [ ] RTHL  
  - [ ] HTC  
  - [ ] SAL  
  - [ ] Local  
  - [ ] Other  
- **Recorded by**: Kate Singleton  
- **Date recorded**: 11/31/2012  
- **General architectural description**: Wood trestle bridge constructed in ca. 1937, with replacement wood pile bents and wood abutments.

### Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

- Garage   
- Barn   
- Shed   
- Other N/A  
- [ ] Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

### Landscape/site features:

- [ ] Sidewalks  
- [ ] Terracing  
- [ ] Drives  
- [ ] Well/cistern  
- [ ] Gardens  
- [ ] Other N/A

### 2. Architectural Description

#### Stylistic influence(s):

- [ ] Log Traditional  
- [ ] Greek Revival  
- [ ] Italianate  
- [ ] Second Empire  
- [ ] Eastlake  
- [ ] Queen Anne  
- [ ] Shingle  
- [ ] Romanesque Revival  
- [ ] Folk Victorian  
- [ ] Colonial Revival  
- [ ] Renaissance Revival  
- [ ] Exotic Revival  
- [ ] Gothic Revival  
- [ ] Tudor Revival  
- [ ] Neo-Classical  
- [ ] Beaux Arts  
- [ ] Mission  
- [ ] Monterey  
- [ ] Pueblo Revival  
- [ ] Spanish Colonial  
- [ ] Prairie  
- [ ] Craftsman  
- [ ] Art Deco  
- [ ] Modern  
- [ ] International  
- [ ] Post-war Modern  
- [ ] Ranch Style  
- [ ] Commercial Style  
- [ ] No Style  
- [ ] Other N/A

#### Structural Details:

- **Roof Type**:  
  - [ ] Gable  
  - [ ] Hipped  
  - [ ] Gambrel  
  - [ ] Shed  
  - [ ] Flat w/parapet  
  - Dormers:  
    - [ ] gable  
    - [ ] hipped  
    - [ ] shed  
  - [ ] Other N/A  
- **Wall Facade**:  
  - Number of bays  
  - Stucco  
  - Stone  
  - Brick  
  - Wood shingle  
  - Log  
  - Terra Cotta  
  - Metal  
  - Siding, type  
  - Fieldstone veneer  
  - Awning(s)  
  - Other N/A  
- **Windows**:  
  - Fixed  
  - Wood sash  
  - Double hung  
  - Casement  
  - Aluminum sash  
  - Decorative screenwork  
  - Other N/A  
- **Plan**:  
  - Single-door primary entrance  
  - Double-door primary entrance  
  - With transom  
  - With sidelights  
  - Other N/A  
- **Foundation**:  
  - Slab  
  - Pier and beam  
  - Perimeter wall  
  - Other  

#### Roof Materials:

- [ ] Wood shingles  
- [ ] Tile  
- [ ] Composition shingles  
- [ ] Metal  
- [ ] Other N/A

#### Chimneys:

- Number of chimney(s)  
- [ ] Interior  
- [ ] Exterior  
- [ ] Brick  
- [ ] Stone  
- [ ] With corbelled caps  
- [ ] Stuccoed  
- [ ] Other N/A

#### Construction:

- [ ] Frame  
- [ ] Adobe  
- [ ] Solid brick  
- [ ] Solid stone  
- [ ] Other N/A

### Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

- [ ] Garage  
- [ ] Barn  
- [ ] Shed  
- [ ] Other N/A  
- [ ] Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

### Landscape/site features:

- [ ] Sidewalks  
- [ ] Terracing  
- [ ] Drives  
- [ ] Well/cistern  
- [ ] Gardens  
- [ ] Other N/A

### 3. Integrity

- [ ] Location  
- [ ] Design  
- [ ] Materials  
- [ ] Workmanship  
- [ ] Setting  
- [ ] Feeling  
- [ ] Association

### Stories: N/A  
### Basement: [ ] None  
### Partial  
### Full  
### Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A
4. Function
Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other Transportation/rail-related

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other Transportation/rail-related

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A Builder: N/A
Construction date: ca. 1937 □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Regional Rail Right of Way Company (2009)/On-site observation
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of wood piles.
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground
□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other
Details:

□ Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Carrollton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: Northing:
Legal description (Lot/Block): N/A
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local
Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low
Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 15, looking east.

Resource 15, looking west.
1. Identification
County: Dallas  City: Coppell
Current name: Resource 16  Historic name: N/A
Address: DART railroad line (Cotton Belt Corridor) North of E, Belt Line Road
Owner/address: DART-1401 Pacific Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202
Photo data: Roll ______ Frame ______ to Roll ______ Frame ______
Current Designations: □ NR  □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes  □ No)  □ RTHL  □ HTC  □ SAL  □ Local  □ Other
Recorded by: Kate Singleton  Date recorded: 11/31/2012
General architectural description: Wood trestle bridge constructed in ca. 1937, with replacement wood pile bents and wood abutments.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):
Garage ______  Barn ______  Shed ______  Other N/A
□ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:
□ Sidewalks  □ Terracing  □ Drives  □ Well/cistern  □ Gardens  □ Other N/A

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):
□ Log Traditional  □ Shingle  □ Gothic Revival  □ Pueblo Revival  □ International
□ Greek Revival  □ Romanesque Revival  □ Tudor Revival  □ Spanish Colonial  □ Post-war Modern
□ Italianate  □ Folk Victorian  □ Neo-Classical  □ Prairie  □ Ranch Style
□ Second Empire  □ Colonial Revival  □ Beaux Arts  □ Craftsman  □ Commercial Style
□ Eastlake  □ Renaissance Revival  □ Mission  □ Art Deco  □ Other Style
□ Queen Anne  □ Exotic Revival  □ Monterey  □ Moderne  □ Other N/A

Structural Details:

Roof Type:
□ Gable  □ Hipped  □ Gambrel  □ Shed  □ Flat w/parapet  □ Dormers:
□ gable  □ hipped  □ shed  □ Other N/A

Wall Facade:
□ Stucco  □ Stone  □ Brick  □ Wood shingle  □ Log  □ Terra Cotta  □ Metal  □ Siding, type ______
□ Fieldstone veneer  □ Awning(s)  □ Other N/A
□ Specify number(s)

Windows:
□ Fixed  □ Wood sash  □ Double hung  □ Casement  □ Aluminum sash  □ Decorative screenwork  □ Other N/A
□ L-plan  □ T-plan  □ Open  □ Modified L-plan  □ Center passage  □ Bungalow  □ Shotgun  □ Irregular
□ Four Square  □ Rectangular  □ Other N/A

Doors:
□ Single-door primary entrance  □ Double-door primary entrance  □ With transom  □ With sidelights  □ Other N/A
□ Slab  □ Pier and beam  □ Perimeter wall  □ Other ______

Chimneys:
□ Interior  □ Exterior  □ Brick  □ Stone  □ With corbelled caps  □ Stuccoed  □ Other N/A

Porches:
□ Shed roof  □ Hipped roof  □ Gable roof  □ Inset  □ Wood posts  □ Brick piers  □ Box columns  □ Classical columns
□ Tapered box supports  □ Fabricated metal  □ Spindles  □ Jig-sawn trim  □ Other N/A

Stories: N/A  Basement: □ None  □ Partial  □ Full  
Dimensions: L ______ x W ______ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity
□ Location  □ Design  □ Materials  □ Workmanship  □ Setting  □ Feeling  □ Association
4. Function
Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other □ Transportation/rail-related
Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other □ Transportation/rail-related

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A                   Builder: N/A
Construction date: ca. 1937 □ Actual □ Estimated       Source: Regional Rail Right of Way Company (2009)/ On-site observation
Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of wood piles.
Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: Located on the Cotton Belt Railway between Fort Worth and Piano.

6. Archaeology Ground
□ Original state □ Disturbed       Explain: N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known       Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other
Details:
Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known       Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Carrollton       Year: 1981       Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14       Easting: 691171       Northing: 3647919
Legal description (Lot/Block): N/A
Addition: N/A       Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local
Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No       Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low
Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation
www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 16, looking west.

Resource 16, looking east.
**TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION**

**HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM**

1. **Identification**
   - County: Dallas
   - Resource 17
   - City: Coppell
   - Historic name: N/A
   - Address: DART railroad line (Cotton Belt Corridor) West of Fairway Dr.
   - Owner/address: DART-1401 Pacific Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202
   - Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______
   - Current Designations: [ ] NR [ ] NR District (Is property contributing? [ ] Yes [ ] No) [ ] RTHL [ ] HTC [ ] SAL [ ] Local [ ] Other
   - Recorded by: Kate Singleton
   - Date recorded: 11/31/2012

   **General architectural description:** Wood trestle bridge constructed in ca. 1937, with replacement wood pile bents and wood abutments.

   **Outbuildings (Specify number and type):**
   - Garage _____ Barn _____ Shed _____ Other N/A
   - Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

   **Landscape/site features:**
   - [ ] Sidewalks  [ ] Terracing  [ ] Drives  [ ] Well/cistern  [ ] Gardens  [ ] Other N/A

2. **Architectural Description**

   **Stylistic Influence(s):**
   - [ ] Log Traditional
   - [ ] Greek Revival
   - [ ] Italianate
   - [ ] Second Empire
   - [ ] Eastlake
   - [ ] Queen Anne
   - [ ] Shingle
   - [ ] Romanesque Revival
   - [ ] Folk Victorian
   - [ ] Colonial Revival
   - [ ] Renaissance Revival
   - [ ] Exotic Revival
   - [ ] Gothic Revival
   - [ ] Tudor Revival
   - [ ] Neo-Classical
   - [ ] Beaux Arts
   - [ ] Mission
   - [ ] Monterey
   - [ ] Pueblo Revival
   - [ ] Spanish Colonial
   - [ ] Prairie
   - [ ] Craftsman
   - [ ] Art Deco
   - [ ] Moderne
   - [ ] International
   - [ ] Post-war Modern
   - [ ] Ranch Style
   - [ ] Commercial Style
   - [ ] No Style
   - [ ] Other N/A

   **Structural Details:**

   **Roof Type:**
   - [ ] Gable
   - [ ] Hipped
   - [ ] Gambrel
   - [ ] Shed
   - [ ] Flat w/parapet
   - [ ] Dormers:
     - [ ] gable
     - [ ] hipped
     - [ ] shed
   - [ ] Other N/A

   **Wall Facade:**
   - Number of bays
   - [ ] Stucco
   - [ ] Stone
   - [ ] Brick
   - [ ] Wood shingle
   - [ ] Log
   - [ ] Terra Cotta
   - [ ] Metal
   - [ ] Siding, type:
     - [ ] Fieldstone veneer
     - [ ] Awning(s)
     - [ ] Other N/A

   **Windows:**
   - [ ] Fixed
   - [ ] Wood sash
   - [ ] Double hung
   - [ ] Casement
   - [ ] Aluminum sash
   - [ ] Decorative screenwork
   - [ ] Other N/A

   **Doors:**
   - [ ] Single-door primary entrance
   - [ ] Double-door primary entrance
   - [ ] With transom
   - [ ] With sidelights
   - [ ] Other N/A

   **Chimneys:**
   - Specify number(s)
   - [ ] Interior
   - [ ] Exterior
   - [ ] Brick
   - [ ] Stone
   - [ ] With corbelled caps
   - [ ] Stuccoed
   - [ ] Other N/A

   **Roof Materials:**
   - [ ] Wood shingles
   - [ ] Tile
   - [ ] Composition shingles
   - [ ] Metal
   - [ ] Other N/A

   **Construction:**
   - [ ] Frame
   - [ ] Adobe
   - [ ] Solid brick
   - [ ] Solid stone
   - [ ] Other N/A

   **Plan:**
   - [ ] L-plan
   - [ ] 2-room
   - [ ] T-plan
   - [ ] Open
   - [ ] Modified L-plan
   - [ ] Center passage
   - [ ] Bungalow
   - [ ] Shotgun
   - [ ] Irregular
   - [ ] Four Square
   - [ ] Rectangular
   - [ ] Other N/A

   **Foundation:**
   - [ ] Slab
   - [ ] Pier and beam
   - [ ] Perimeter wall
   - [ ] Other

   **Porches:**
   - [ ] Classical columns
   - [ ] Tapered box supports
   - [ ] Fabricated metal
   - [ ] Inset
   - [ ] Spindework
   - [ ] Jig-sawn trim
   - [ ] Other N/A

   **Stories:** N/A
   - **Basement:** [ ] None  [ ] Partial  [ ] Full
   - **Dimensions:** L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A

3. **Integrity**
   - [ ] Location
   - [ ] Design
   - [ ] Materials
   - [ ] Workmanship
   - [ ] Setting
   - [ ] Feeling
   - [ ] Association
4. Function


5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A Builder: N/A

Construction date: ca. 1937 □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Regional Rail Right of Way Company (2009)/ On-site observation

□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of wood piers.

□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: Located on the Cotton Belt Railway between Fort Worth and Plano.

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known

Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other

Details:

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect □ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other

*Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Carrolton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14 Easting: 691890 Northing: 3647870

Legal description (Lot/Block): N/A

Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:

□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;

□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;

□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;

□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:


Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain ________________________________

Questions?

Contact survey coordinator

History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission

at 512/463-5853 or history@t hc.state.tx.us.
Resource 17, looking west.

Resource 17, looking east.
1. Identification

County: Dallas  
City: Carrollton

Current name: Resource 18  
Historic name: N/A

Address: DART railroad line (Cotton Belt Corridor) West of President George Bush Turnpike, Mile Marker 32

Owner/address: DART-1401 Pacific Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202

Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______

Current Designations:  
- NR
- NR District (Is property contributing?  
  - Yes  
  - No)
- RTHL
- HTC
- SAL
- Local
- Other

Recorded by: Kate Singleton  
Date recorded: 11/31/2012

General architectural description: Wood trestle bridge constructed in ca. 1937, with replacement wood pile bents and wood abutments.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

- Garage: ______
- Barn: ______
- Shed: ______
- Other: N/A

Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:

- Sidewalks
- Terracing
- Drives
- Well/cistern
- Gardens
- Other: N/A

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):

- Log Traditional
- Greek Revival
- Italianate
- Second Empire
- Eastlake
- Queen Anne
- Shingle
- Romanesque Revival
- Folk Victorian
- Colonial Revival
- Renaissance Revival
- Exotic Revival
- Gothic Revival
- Tudor Revival
- Neo-Classical
- Beaux Arts
- Mission
- Monterey
- Pueblo Revival
- Spanish Colonial
- Prairie
- Craftsman
- Art Deco
- Moderne
- International
- Post-war Modern
- Ranch Style
- Commercial Style
- No Style
- Other: N/A

Structural Details:

Roof Type:

- Gable
- Hipped
- Gambrel
- Shed
- Flat w/parapet
- Dormers:
  - gable
  - hipped
  - shed
  - Other: N/A

Wood shingles
- Tile
- Composition shingles
- Metal
- Other: N/A

(Not applicable to this form)

Wall Facade:

Number of bays:
- Stucco
- Stone
- Brick
- Wood shingle
- Log
- Terra Cotta
- Metal
- Siding, type
- Fieldstone veneer
- Awning(s)
- Other: N/A

Chimneys:

Specify number(s):
- Interior
- Exterior
- Brick
- Stone
- With corbelled caps
- Stuccoed
- Other: N/A

Windows:

- Fixed
- Wood sash
- Double hung
- Casement
- Aluminum sash
- Decorative screenwork
- Other: N/A

Doors:

- Single-door primary entrance
- Double-door primary entrance
- With transom
- With sidelights
- Other: N/A

Chimneys:

Specify number(s):
- Interior
- Exterior
- Brick
- Stone
- With corbelled caps
- Stuccoed
- Other: N/A

Porches:

- Shed roof
- Hipped roof
- Gable roof
- Inset
- Wood posts
- Brick piers
- Box columns
- Classical columns
- Tapered box supports
- Fabricated metal
- Spindles
- Jig-sawn trim
- Other: N/A

Stories: N/A  
Basement: None  
Partial  
Full  
Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity

- Location
- Design
- Materials
- Workmanship
- Setting
- Feeling
- Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other Transportation/rail-related

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other Transportation/rail-related

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A Builder: N/A

Construction date: ca. 1937 □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Regional Rail Right of Way Company (2009)/On-site observation
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of wood piles.
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: Located on the Cotton Belt Railway between Fort Worth and Piano.

6. Archaeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other N/A
Details:

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other N/A

*Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Carrolton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 693456 Northing: 3647859
Legal description (Lot/Block): N/A
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 18, looking northwest.

Resource 18, looking west.
## HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

### 1. Identification

- **County**: Dallas
- **City**: Carrollton
- **Current name**: Resource 19
- **Historic name**: N/A
- **Address**: DART railroad line (Cotton Belt Corridor) East of President George Bush Turnpike
- **Owner/Address**: DART-1401 Pacific Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202
- **Photo data**: Roll _____ Frame _____ to Roll _____ Frame _____
- **Current Designations**: □ NR □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No) □ RTHL □ HTC □ SAL □ Local □ Other
- **Recorded by**: Kate Singleton
- **Date recorded**: 11/31/2012

**General architectural description**: Wood trestle bridge constructed in ca. 1937, with replacement wood pile bents and wood abutments.

### Outbuildings
(Specify number and type):
- **Garage** □ **Barn** □ **Shed** □ **Other** N/A
- **Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A**

### Landscape/site features:
- □ Sidewalks □ Terracing □ Drives □ Well/cistern □ Gardens □ Other N/A

### 2. Architectural Description

#### Stylistic Influence(s):
- □ Log Traditional □ Greek Revival □ Italianate □ Second Empire □ Eastlake □ Queen Anne
- □ Shingle □ Romanesque Revival □ Folk Victorian □ Colonial Revival □ Renaissance Revival □ Exotic Revival
- □ Gothic Revival □ Tudor Revival □ Neo-Classical □ Beaux Arts □ Mission □ Monterey
- □ Pueblo Revival □ Spanish Colonial □ Prairie □ Craftsman □ Art Deco □ Moderne □ Other N/A

#### Structural Details:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roof Type</th>
<th>Wall Facade</th>
<th>Windows</th>
<th>Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gable</td>
<td>Stucco</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hipped</td>
<td>Stone</td>
<td>Wood sash</td>
<td>2-room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gambrel</td>
<td>Brick</td>
<td>Double hung</td>
<td>T-plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shed</td>
<td>Wood shingle</td>
<td>Casement</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat w/parapet</td>
<td>Terra Cotta</td>
<td>Aluminum sash</td>
<td>Modified L-plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dormers:</td>
<td>Log</td>
<td>Decorative screenwork</td>
<td>Center passage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Metal</td>
<td>Other N/A</td>
<td>Bungalow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Siding, type Fieldstone veneer</td>
<td>Shotgun</td>
<td>Shotgun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Awning(s)</td>
<td>Other N/A</td>
<td>Irregular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td>Four Square</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Roof Materials:
- □ Wood shingles □ Tile □ Composition shingles □ Metal □ Other N/A

#### Construction:
- □ Frame □ Adobe □ Solid brick □ Solid stone □ Other N/A

#### Chimneys:
- □ Interior □ Exterior □ Stone □ With corbelled caps □ Stuccoed □ Other N/A
- □ Specify number(s)

#### Doors:
- □ Single-door primary entrance | □ With transom | □ With sidelights | □ Other N/A
- □ Double-door primary entrance | □ Other N/A

#### Windows:
- □ Fixed □ Wood sash □ Double hung □ Casement □ Aluminum sash □ Decorative screenwork □ Other N/A

#### Walls:
- □ Stucco □ Stone □ Brick □ Log □ Terra Cotta

#### Foundation:
- □ Slab □ Pier and beam □ Perimeter wall □ Other N/A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Porches</th>
<th>Plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shed roof</td>
<td>Classical columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hipped roof</td>
<td>Tapered box supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gable roof</td>
<td>Fabricated metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inset</td>
<td>Spindelwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood posts</td>
<td>Jig-sawn trim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brick piers</td>
<td>Other N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box columns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Stories: N/A

#### Basement: □ None □ Partial □ Full

#### Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A

### 3. Integrity

- □ Location □ Design □ Materials □ Workmanship □ Setting □ Feeling □ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: ☐ Agriculture ☐ Commerce/trade ☐ Defense ☐ Domestic ☐ Educational ☐ Government ☐ Healthcare
☐ Industry/processing ☐ Recreation/culture ☐ Religious ☐ Social ☐ Other ☐ Transportation/rail-related

Current Use: ☐ Agriculture ☐ Commerce/trade ☐ Defense ☐ Domestic ☐ Educational ☐ Government ☐ Healthcare
☐ Industry/processing ☐ Recreation/culture ☐ Religious ☐ Social ☐ Vacant ☐ Other ☐ Transportation/rail-related

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A  Builder: N/A

Construction date: ca. 1937  ☐ Actual ☐ Estimated  Source: Regional Rail Right of Way Company (2009)/On-site observation

☐ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of wood piers.

☐ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: Located on the Cotton Belt Railway between Fort Worth and Pampa.

6. Archaeology Ground

☐ Original state ☐ Disturbed  Explain: N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site?  ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known

Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource?  ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known  Type: ☐ HABS ☐ Survey ☐ Other

Details:

Accessible to the public: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known  Possible threat(s): ☐ None ☐ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) ☐ Neglect
☐ Development ☐ Major alteration ☐ Relocation ☐ Other

Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Carrollton  Year: 1981  Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14  Easting: 694067  Northing: 3647908

Legal description (Lot/Block): N/A

Addition: N/A  Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:

☐ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;

☐ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;

☐ C. Embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;

☐ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:


Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: ☐ National ☐ State ☐ Local

Possible NR district: ☐ Yes ☐ No  Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) ☐ High ☐ Medium ☐ Low

Explain:

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation

www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 19, looking west.

Resource 19, looking northeast.
**HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM**

**1. Identification**
- County: Dallas
- City: Carrollton
- Resource: DART railroad line (Cotton Belt Corridor) North of Belt Line Road, East of Luna Road
- Historic name: N/A
- Owner/address: DART-1401 Pacific Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202
- Photo data: Roll _____, Frame _____, to Roll _____, Frame _____
- Current Designations: ☐ NR ☐ NR District (Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No) ☐ RTHL ☐ HTC ☐ SAL ☐ Local ☐ Other
- Recorded by: Kate Singleton, Date recorded: 11/31/2012

**General architectural description:** Wood trestle bridge constructed in ca. 1937, with replacement wood pile bents and wood abutments.

**Outbuildings (Specify number and type):**
- Garage _____, Barn _____, Shed _____, Other N/A

**Landscape/site features:**
- Sidewalks ☐, Terracing ☐, Drives ☐, Well/cistern ☐, Gardens ☐, Other N/A

**2. Architectural Description**

**Stylistic Influence(s):**
- Log Traditional
- Greek Revival
- Italianate
- Second Empire
- Eastlake
- Queen Anne
- Shingle
- Romanesque Revival
- Folk Victorian
- Colonial Revival
- Renaissance Revival
- Exotic Revival
- Gothic Revival
- Tudor Revival
- Neo-Classical
- Beaux Arts
- Mission
- Monterey
- Pueblo Revival
- Spanish Colonial
- Prairie
- Craftsman
- Art Deco
- Moderne
- International
- Post-war Modern
- Ranch Style
- Commercial Style
- No Style
- Other N/A

**Structural Details:**

**Roof Type:**
- Gable
- Hipped
- Gambrel
- Shed
- Flat w/parapet
- Dormers:
  - gable
  - hipped
  - shed
  - Other N/A

**Wall Facade:**
- Number of bays
  - Stucco
  - Stone
  - Brick
  - Wood shingle
  - Log
  - Terra Cotta
  - Metal
  - Siding, type
  - Fieldstone veneer
  - Awning(s)
  - Other N/A

**Windows:**
- Fixed
- Wood sash
- Double hung
- Casement
- Aluminum sash
- Decorative screenwork
- Other N/A

**Plan:**
- L-plan ☐, 2-room ☐
- T-plan ☐, Open ☐
- Modified L-plan ☐
- Center passage ☐
- Bungalow ☐
- Shotgun ☐
- Irregular ☐
- Four Square ☐
- Rectangular ☐
- Other N/A ☐

**Doors:**
- Single-door primary entrance ☐
- Double-door primary entrance ☐
- With transom ☐
- With sidelights ☐
- Other N/A ☐

**Chimneys:**
- Specify number(s)
  - Interior ☐
  - Exterior ☐
  - Brick ☐
  - Stone ☐
  - With corbelled caps ☐
  - Stuccoed ☐
  - Other N/A ☐

**Foundation:**
- Slab ☐
- Pier and beam ☐
- Perimeter wall ☐
- Other N/A ☐

**Porches:**
- Shed roof ☐
- Hipped roof ☐
- Gable roof ☐
- Roofed inset ☐
- Wood posts ☐
- Brick piers ☐
- Box columns ☐

- Classical columns ☐
- Tapered box supports ☐
- Fabricated metal ☐
- Spindles ☐
- Jig-sawn trim ☐
- Other N/A ☐

**Stories:** N/A ☐
- Basement: ☐ None ☐ Partial ☐ Full ☐
- Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A

**3. Integrity**
- Location ☐
- Design ☐
- Materials ☐
- Workmanship ☐
- Setting ☐
- Feeling ☐
- Association ☐
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other □ Transportation/rail-related

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other □ Transportation/rail-related

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A Builder: N/A

Construction date: ca. 1937 □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Regional Rail Right of Way Company (2009)/ On-site observation
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of wood piles.
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: Located on the Cotton Belt Railway between Fort Worth and Plano.

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known

Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other

Details:

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other

Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Carrollton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14 Easting: 694677 Northing: 3647958

Legal description (Lot/Block): N/A
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:


Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain

Questions?

Contact survey coordinator

History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission

at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 20, looking northwest.

Resource 20, looking east.
### 1. Identification
- **County**: Dallas  
- **City**: Carrolton  
- **Current name**: Resource 21 (Carrolton Depot)  
- **Historic name**: Carrolton MKT Union Depot  
- **Address**: 1013 E. Belt Line Rd.  
- **Owner/address**: DART-1401 Pacific Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202  
- **Photo data**: Roll _____ Frame _____ to Roll _____ Frame _____  
- **Current Designations**:  
  - [ ] NR  
  - [ ] NR District (Is property contributing? [ ] Yes [ ] No)  
  - [ ] RTHL  
  - [ ] HTC  
  - [ ] SAL  
  - [ ] Local  
  - [ ] Other  
- **Recorded by**: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown  
- **Date recorded**: 11/28/2012

### General architectural description
The Carrolton Depot was constructed in 1925 and served the three railroads that came through Carrolton.

### Outbuildings (Specify number and type):
- [ ] Garage  
- [ ] Barn  
- [ ] Shed  
- [ ] Other N/A  
- [ ] Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

### Landscape/site features:
- [ ] Sidewalks  
- [ ] Terracing  
- [ ] Drives  
- [ ] Well/cistern  
- [ ] Gardens  
- [ ] Other

### 2. Architectural Description
#### Stylistic Influence(s):
- [ ] Log Traditional  
- [ ] Greek Revival  
- [ ] Italianate  
- [ ] Second Empire  
- [ ] Eastlake  
- [ ] Queen Anne  
- [ ] Gothic Revival  
- [ ] Tudor Revival  
- [ ] Neo-Classical  
- [ ] Colonial Revival  
- [ ] Renaissance Revival  
- [ ] Exotic Revival  
- [ ] Spanish Colonial  
- [ ] Prairie  
- [ ] Beaux Arts  
- [ ] Mission  
- [ ] Mission  
- [ ] Mission  
- [ ] Moorish Revival  
- [ ] International  
- [ ] Post-war Modern  
- [ ] Ranch Style  
- [ ] Commercial Style  
- [ ] No Style  
- [ ] Other________

#### Structural Details:
- [ ] Roof Type:  
  - [ ] Gable  
  - [ ] Hipped  
  - [ ] Gambrel  
  - [ ] Shed  
  - [ ] Flat w/parapet  
  - [ ] Dormers:  
    - [ ] gable  
    - [ ] shed  
    - [ ] Other
  - [ ] Roof Materials:  
    - [ ] Wood shingles  
    - [ ] Tile  
    - [ ] Composition shingles  
    - [ ] Metal  
    - [ ] Other
  - [ ] Construction:  
    - [ ] Frame  
    - [ ] Adobe  
    - [ ] Solid brick  
    - [ ] Solid stone  
    - [ ] Other
- [ ] Wall Facade:  
  - [ ] Number of bays  
  - [ ] Stucco  
  - [ ] Stone  
  - [ ] Brick  
  - [ ] Wood shingle  
  - [ ] Log  
  - [ ] Terra Cotta  
  - [ ] Metal  
  - [ ] Siding, type wood  
  - [ ] Fieldstone veneer  
  - [ ] Awning(s)  
  - [ ] Other
- [ ] Windows:  
  - [ ] Fixed  
  - [ ] Wood sash  
  - [ ] Double hung  
  - [ ] Casement  
  - [ ] Aluminum sash  
  - [ ] Decorative screenwork  
  - [ ] Other
- [ ] Doors:  
  - [ ] Single-door primary entrance  
  - [ ] Double-door primary entrance  
  - [ ] With transom  
  - [ ] With sidelights  
  - [ ] Other
- [ ] Chimneys:  
  - [ ] Specify number(s)  
    - [ ] Interior  
    - [ ] Exterior  
    - [ ] Brick  
    - [ ] Stone  
    - [ ] With corbelled caps  
    - [ ] Stuccoed  
    - [ ] Other N/A
- [ ] Porches:  
  - [ ] Shed roof  
  - [ ] Hipped roof  
  - [ ] Gable roof  
  - [ ] Inset  
  - [ ] Wood posts  
  - [ ] Brick piers  
  - [ ] Box columns
- [ ] Plan:  
  - [ ] L-plan  
  - [ ] 2-room  
  - [ ] T-plan  
  - [ ] Open  
  - [ ] Modified L-plan  
  - [ ] Center passage  
  - [ ] Bungalow  
  - [ ] Shotgun  
  - [ ] Irregular  
  - [ ] Four Square  
  - [ ] Rectangular  
  - [ ] Other
- [ ] Foundation:  
  - [ ] Slab  
  - [ ] Pier and beam  
  - [ ] Pier and beam  
  - [ ] Perimeter wall  
  - [ ] Other
- [ ] Stories: 1  
  - [ ] Basement: [ ] None [ ] Partial [ ] Full  
  - [ ] Dimensions: L_____ x W_____ = Square feet N/A

### 3. Integrity
- [ ] Location  
- [ ] Design  
- [ ] Materials  
- [ ] Workmanship  
- [ ] Setting  
- [ ] Feeling  
- [ ] Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other Transportation/rail-related

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other Transportation/rail-related

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A Builder: N/A

Construction date: 1925 □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Texas Historical Marker

□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: N/A

■ Relocated, specify former location and reason: The Carrollton Depot has been moved from its original location.

Other associated contexts and information of interest: Located near the Cotton Belt Railway between Fort Worth and Plano.

6. Archaeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain: N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other Marker
Details:

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other * Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Carrollton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14 Easting: 695571 Northing: 3648299

Legal description (Lot/Block): N/A
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:

■ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;

□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;

■ C. Embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;

□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance: Early railroad development in Carrollton, Texas.

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain:

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation

www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 21, looking southwest.

Resource 21, looking northeast.
## HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

### 1. Identification
- County: Dallas
- City: Carrollton
- Current name: Resource 22
- Historic name: N/A
- Address: 1200 Denton Drive
- Owner/address: Cedar Supply Inc., PO Box 110229, Carrollton, Texas
- Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______
- Current Designations: □ NR □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No) □ RTHL □ HTC □ SAL □ Local □ Other
- Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown
- Date recorded: 11/28/2012

### General Architectural Description
The façade exhibits an awning over a modified single door entrance flanked by paired plate-glass windows.

### Outbuildings (Specify number and type):
- Garage _______ Barn _______ Shed _______ Other: N/A
- □ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify: N/A

### Landscape/site features:
- □ Sidewalks □ Terracing □ Drives □ Well/cistern □ Gardens □ Other _______:

### 2. Architectural Description

#### Stylistic Influence(s):
- Log Traditional
- Greek Revival
- Italianate
- Second Empire
- Eastlake
- Queen Anne
- Shingle
- Romanesque Revival
- Folk Victorian
- Colonial Revival
- Renaissance Revival
- Exotic Revival
- Gothic Revival
- Tudor Revival
- Neo-Classical
- Beaux Arts
- Mission
- Monterey
- Pueblo Revival
- Spanish Colonial
- Prairie
- Craftsman
- Art Deco
- Moderne
- International
- Post-war Modern
- Ranch Style
- Commercial Style
- No Style
- Other _______

#### Structural Details:
- Roof Type:
  - Gable
  - Hipped
  - Gambrel
  - Shed
  - Flat w/parapet
  - Dormers:
    - gable
    - hipped
    - shed
  - Other _______
- Roof Materials:
  - Wood shingles
  - Tile
  - Composition shingles
  - Metal
  - Other _______

#### Wall Facade:
- Number of bays: 3
- Stucco
- Stone
- Brick
- Wood shingle
- Log
- Terra Cotta
- Metal
- Siding, type _____
- Fieldstone veneer
- Awning(s)
- Other _______

#### Windows:
- Fixed
- Wood sash
- Double hung
- Casement
- Aluminum sash
- Decorative screenwork
- Other _______

#### Doors:
- Single-door primary entrance
- Double-door primary entrance
- With transom
- With sidelights
- Other _______

#### Chimneys:
- Specify number(s)
  - Interior
  - Exterior
  - Brick
  - Stone
  - With corbelled caps
  - Stuccoed
  - Other: N/A

#### Porches:
- Shed roof
- Hipped roof
- Gable roof
- Inset
- Wood posts
- Brick piers
- Box columns
- Classical columns
- Tapered box supports
- Fabricated metal
- Spindles
- Jig-sawn trim
- Other _______

### Stories: 1
Basement: ■ None □ Partial □ Full
Dimensions: L ______ x W ______ = Square feet: N/A

### 3. Integrity
- Location □ Design □ Materials □ Workmanship □ Setting □ Feeling □ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other ____________________________

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other ______________________

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of entrance.
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
□ Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known □ HABS □ Survey □ Other ______
Details: ____________________________

□ Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known □ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other ______
Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Other ____________________________
Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Carrolton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 695627 Northing: 3648412
Legal description (Lot/Block): JOSHUA B LEE ABST 798 PG 125 ACS 15416 CALC E ROW DENTON DR & 50N OF ST L & SW RR TRACT
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance: ____________________________

Period(s) of significance: ____________________________

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain ____________________________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 22, looking east.

Resource 22, looking southeast.
1. Identification

County: Dallas City: Carrollton
Current name: Resource 23 Historic name: N/A
Address: 1513-1605 Baxley Street
Owner/address: Multiple Owners (grouping of single-family dwellings in cul-de-sac)

Photo data: Roll _____ Frame _____ to Roll _____ Frame _____

Current Designations: □ NR □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No) □ RTHL □ HTC □ SAL □ Local □ Other

Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: In general the buildings are one-story, two bay, have side gable roofs with front facing gable roof porches.

Windows 1/1 and 2/2 aluminum and vinyl sash. The primary entrances are single door entrances centrally located on the facade.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):
Garage _____ Barn _____ Shed _____ Other N/A

□ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:
□ Sidewalks □ Terracing □ Drives □ Well/cistern □ Gardens □ Other ______

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):
□ Log Traditional □ Greek Revival □ Gothic Revival □ Pueblo Revival
□ Italianate □ Romanesque Revival □ Neo-Classical □ Post-war Modern
□ Second Empire □ Colonial Revival □ Beaux Arts □ Ranch Style
□ Eastlake □ Renaissance Revival □ Mission □ Commercial Style
□ Queen Anne □ Exotic Revival □ Mission □ No Style
□ Other □ Minimal Traditional

Structural Details:

Roof Type:
□ Gable □ Hipped □ Gambrel □ Shed
□ Flat w/parapet □ Dormers:
□ gable □ hipped □ shed □ Other ______

Roof Materials:
□ Wood shingles □ Tile □ Composition shingles □ Metal
□ Other ______

Construction:
□ Frame □ Adobe □ Solid brick □ Solid stone
□ Other ______

Wall Facade:
2 _____ Number of bays
□ Stucco □ Stone □ Brick
□ Wood shingle □ Log □ Terra Cotta
□ Metal □ Siding, type ______
□ Fieldstone veneer □ Awning(s) □ Other ______

Chimneys:
□ Specify number(s)
□ Interior □ Exterior □ Brick
□ Stone □ With corbeled caps □ Stuccoed
□ Other N/A □ Other ______

Windows:
□ Fixed □ Wood sash □ Double hung □ Casement
□ Aluminum sash □ Decorative screenwork □ Other ______

Doors:
□ Single-door primary entrance □ Double-door primary entrance
□ With transom □ With sidelights □ Other ______

Porches:
□ Shed roof □ Hipped roof □ Gable roof □ Inset
□ Wood posts □ Brick piers □ Box columns
□ Other ______

Plan:
□ L-plan □ 2-room □ T-plan □ Open
□ Modified L-plan □ Center passage □ Bungalow
□ Shotgun □ Irregular □ Four Square
□ Rectangular □ Other ______

Foundation:
□ Slab □ Pier and beam □ Perimeter wall
□ Other ______

Stories: 1 ________ Basement: □ None □ Partial □ Full
Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity

□ Location □ Design □ Materials □ Workmanship □ Setting □ Feeling □ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A
Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1952
Actual □ Estimated □ Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement roofs, doors, and windows.
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other
Details: N/A

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other N/A

Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Carrollton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 696231 Northing: 3648701
Legal description (Lot/Block): JOSUA B LEE ABST 798 PG 518 BLK 5
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local
Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 23, representative example, looking northwest.
Resource 23, representative example, looking north.

Resource 23, representative example looking north.
**HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM**

### 1. Identification

- **County**: Dallas
- **City**: Carrollton
- **Current name**: Resource 24
- **Historic name**: N/A
- **Address**: 1500-1600 Cecil Drive
- **Owner/address**: Multiple Owners (grouping of single-family dwellings in cul-de-sac)

**Photo data**: Roll _____ Frame _____ to Roll _____ Frame _____

**Current Designations**: □ NR □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No) □ RTHL □ HTC □ SAL □ Local □ Other

**Recorded by**: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown **Date recorded**: 11/28/2012

**General architectural description**: In general the buildings are one-story, two or three bay, brick cladding, and have hipped roofs.

Windows are multiple light double-hung and multiple light fixed. The primary entrances are single door entrances centrally located on the façade.

**Outbuildings (Specify number and type)**:
- Garage _____ Barn _____ Shed _____ Other N/A
- □ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

**Landscape/site features**:
- □ Sidewalks □ Terracing □ Drives □ Well/cistern □ Gardens □ Other ______

### 2. Architectural Description

#### Stylistic Influence(s):
- □ Log Traditional
- □ Greek Revival
- □ Italianate
- □ Second Empire
- □ Eastlake
- □ Queen Anne
- □ Shingle
- □ Romanesque Revival
- □ Colonial Revival
- □ Renaissance Revival
- □ Exotic Revival
- □ Gothic Revival
- □ Tudor Revival
- □ Neo-Classical
- □ Beaux Arts
- □ Mission
- □ Monterey
- □ Pueblo Revival
- □ Spanish Colonial
- □ Prairie
- □ Craftsman
- □ Art Deco
- □ Moderne
- □ International
- □ Post-war Modern
- □ Ranch Style
- □ Commercial Style
- □ No Style
- □ Other ______

#### Structural Details:

- **Roof Type**:
  - □ Gable
  - □ Hipped
  - □ Gambrel
  - □ Shed
  - □ Flat w/parapet
  - □ Dormers:
    - □ gable
    - □ hipped
    - □ shed
  - □ Other ______
- **Wall Facade**:
  - 2/3. Number of bays
  - □ Stucco
  - □ Stone
  - □ Brick
  - □ Wood shingle
  - □ Log
  - □ Terra Cotta
  - □ Metal
  - □ Siding, type ______
  - □ Fieldstone veneer
  - □ Awning(s)
  - □ Other ______
- **Windows**:
  - □ Fixed
  - □ Wood sash
  - □ Double hung
  - □ Casement
  - □ Aluminum sash
  - □ Decorative screenwork
  - □ Other ______
- **Doors**:
  - □ Single-door primary entrance
  - □ Double-door primary entrance
  - □ With transom
  - □ With sidelights
  - □ Other ______
- **Chimneys**:
  - □ Specify number(s)
  - □ Interior
  - □ Exterior
  - □ Brick
  - □ Stone
  - □ With corbelled caps
  - □ Stuccoed
  - □ Other N/A
- **Construction**:
  - □ Frame
  - □ Adobe
  - □ Solid brick
  - □ Solid stone
  - □ Other ______
- **Roof Materials**:
  - □ Wood shingles
  - □ Tile
  - □ Composition shingles
  - □ Other ______

#### Plan:
- □ L-plan
- □ Open
- □ T-plan
- □ Modified L-plan
- □ Center passage
- □ Bungalow
- □ Shotgun
- □ Irregular
- □ Four Square
- □ Rectangular
- □ Other ______

#### Foundation:
- □ Slab
- □ Pier and beam
- □ Perimeter wall
- □ Other ______

#### Porches:
- □ Shed roof
- □ Hipped roof
- □ Gable roof
- □ Gothic Revival
- □ Inset
- □ Wood posts
- □ Brick piers
- □ Box columns
- □ Classical columns
- □ Tapered box supports
- □ Fabricated metal
- □ Spindelwork
- □ Jig-sawn trim
- □ Other ______

### 3. Integrity

- □ Location
- □ Design
- □ Materials
- □ Workmanship
- □ Setting
- □ Feeling
- □ Association

**Stories**: 1  **Basement**: □ None □ Partial □ Full  **Dimensions**: L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A Builder: N/A

Construction date: 1971-1972 □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation

□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement roofs and doors

□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archaeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed □ Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other
Details:

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Carrollton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14 Easting: 696399 Northing: 3648878

Legal description (Lot/Block): WOODCREST ESTATES BLK A

Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance: ____________________________

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain ____________________________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 24, general view, looking southeast.
Resource 24, general view, looking west.

Resource 24, representative example, looking southeast.
1. Identification

County: Dallas  
City: Carrollton

Current name: Resource 25 (Hilltop Memorial Park)  
Historic name: Hilltop Memorial Park

Address: 1810 Pevy Road  
Owner/address: Hilltop Memorial Park

Photo data: Roll ______ Frame ______ to Roll ______ Frame ______

Current Designations:  
NR  
NR District (Is property contributing? Yes No)  
RTHL  
HTC  
SAL  
Local  
Other

 Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown  
Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: Burial dates within the cemetery range from 1954 to 2013. The cemetery exhibits a variety of headstones including marble and granite monuments.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

Garage  
Barn  
Shed  
Other N/A

Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:

- Sidewalks  
- Terracing  
- Drives  
- Well/cistern  
- Gardens  
- Other

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):

- Log Traditional  
- Greek Revival  
- Italianate  
- Second Empire  
- Eastlake  
- Queen Anne  
- Shingle  
- Romanesque Revival  
- Folk Victorian  
- Colonial Revival  
- Renaissance Revival  
- Gothic Revival  
- Tudor Revival  
- Neo-Classical  
- Beaux Arts  
- Mission  
- Monterey  
- Pueblo Revival  
- Spanish Colonial  
- Prairie  
- Craftsman  
- Art Deco  
- Moderne  
- International  
- Post-war Modern  
- Ranch Style  
- Commercial Style  
- No Style  
- Other

Structural Details:

Roof Type:

- Gable  
- Hipped  
- Gambrel  
- Shed  
- Flat w/parapet  
- Dormers:  
  - gable  
  - hipped  
  - shed  
- Other N/A

Wall Facade:

- Number of bays  
- Stucco  
- Stone  
- Brick  
- Wood shingle  
- Log  
- Terra Cotta  
- Metal  
- Siding, type  
- Fieldstone veneer  
- Awning(s)  
- Other N/A

Windows:

- Fixed  
- Wood sash  
- Double hung  
- Casement  
- Aluminum sash  
- Decorative screenwork  
- Other N/A

Plan:

- L-plan  
- 2-room  
- T-plan  
- Open  
- Modified L-plan  
- Center passage  
- Bungalow  
- Shotgun  
- Irregular  
- Four Square  
- Rectangular  
- Other N/A

Doors:

- Single-door primary entrance  
- Double-door primary entrance  
- With transom  
- With sidelights  
- Other N/A

Foundation:

- Slab  
- Pier and beam  
- Perimeter wall  
- Other

Porches:

- Shed roof  
- Hipped roof  
- Gable roof  
- Inset  
- Wood posts  
- Brick piers  
- Box columns  
- Classical columns  
- Tapered box supports  
- Fabricated metal  
- Spindles  
- Jig-sawn trim  
- Other N/A

Stories: N/A  
Basement: None  
Partial  
Full  
Dimensions: L ______ x W ______ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity

- Location  
- Design  
- Materials  
- Workmanship  
- Setting  
- Feeling  
- Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other □ Funerary/cemetery

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other □ Funerary/cemetery

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A Builder: N/A

Construction date: 1954-2013 □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Findagrave.com

□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: N/A

□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archaeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known

Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other

Details: ____________________________________________________________

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect

□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other ______________________ Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Carollton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14 Easting: 696653 Northing: 3648908

Legal description (Lot/Block): HILLTOP MEMORIAL PARK BLK 1 LOT 1 ACS 6.866

Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:

□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;

□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;

□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;

□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance: ________________________________________________

Period(s) of significance: _____________________________________________

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain ____________________________________________________________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us
Resource 25, looking north.

Resource 25, looking south.
1. Identification

County  Dallas  City  Carrollton
Current name  Resource 26  Historic name  N/A
Address  1708 N. Perry Road
Owner/address  Thurman Rice/ 1708 N. Perry Road, Carrollton, Texas

Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______

Current Designations:  □ NR  □ NR District (Is property contributing?  □ Yes  □ No)  □ RTHL  □ HTC  □ SAL  □ Local  □ Other

Recorded by:  Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown  Date recorded:  11/28/2012

General architectural description  One-story, three bay, cross-hipped roof, Ranch style single-family dwelling with a slab foundation.

Building has brick cladding, inset porch, and multiple light vinyl sash windows.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

Garage  _______ Barn  _______ Shed  _______ Other  N/A

□ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify  N/A

Landscape/site features:

■ Sidewalks  □ Terracing  □ Drives  □ Well/cistern  □ Gardens  □ Other  _______

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):

□ Log Traditional  □ Greek Revival  □ Italianate  □ Second Empire  □ Queen Anne
□ Shingle  □ Romanesque Revival  □ Folk Victorian  □ Colonial Revival  □ Exotic Revival
□ Tudor Revival  □ Neo-Classical  □ Beaux Arts  □ Renaissance Revival  □ Mission
□ Spanish Colonial  □ Prairie  □ Mission Revival  □ Art Deco  □ Moderne
□ Pueblo Revival  □ International  □ Post-war Modern  □ Ranch Style  □ Commercial Style
□ Prairie  □ No Style  □ Other  _______

Structural Details:

Roof Type:

□ Gable  □ Hipped  □ Gambrel  □ Shed  □ Flat w/parapet
□ Dormers:  □ gable  □ hipped  □ shed  □ Other  _______

□ Wood shingles  □ Tile  □ Composition shingles  □ Metal  □ Other  _______

Wall Facade:

□ Number of bays  □ Stucco  □ Stone  □ Brick  □ Wood shingle
□ Log  □ Terra Cotta  □ Metal  □ Siding, type  □ Fieldstone veneer
□ Awning(s)  □ Other  _______

□ Specify number(s)

□ Fixed  □ Wood sash  □ Double hung  □ Casement  □ Aluminum sash
□ Decorative screenwork  □ Other  _______

□ Single-door primary entrance  □ Double-door primary entrance  □ With transom
□ With sidelights  □ Other  _______

□ Interior  □ Exterior  □ Brick  □ Stone  □ With corbelled caps
□ Stuccoed  □ Other  N/A

□ Shed roof  □ Hipped roof  □ Gable roof  □ Inset  □ Wood posts
□ Brick piers  □ Box columns  □ Classical columns  □ Tapered box supports
□ Fabricated metal  □ Spindles  □ Jig-sawn trim  □ Other  _______

Windows:

Plan:

□ L-plan  □ 2-room  □ T-plan  □ Open  □ Modified L-plan
□ Center passage  □ Bungalow  □ Shotgun  □ Irregular
□ Four Square  □ Rectangular  □ Other  _______

Doors:

Foundation:

□ Slab  □ Pier and beam  □ Perimeter wall  □ Other  _______

Porches:

Stories:  _______  Basement:  □ None  □ Partial  □ Full  Dimensions:  L _______ x W _______ = Square feet  N/A

3. Integrity

□ Location  □ Design  □ Materials  □ Workmanship  □ Setting  □ Feeling  □ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A □ Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1967 □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of windows.
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archaeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archaeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other
Details:

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Carrolton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 696653 Northing: 3648906
Legal description (Lot/Block): SANTA ROSA HEIGHTS 3 BLK A LOT 1
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No □ Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation
www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 26, looking east.
**TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION**

**HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM**

1. **Identification**
   - County: Dallas
   - City: Carrollton
   - Current name: Resource 27
   - Historic name: N/A
   - Address: DART railroad line (Cotton Belt Corridor) Northeast corner of Perry Rd.
   - Owner/address: DART-1401 Pacific Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202
   - Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______
   - Current Designations: □ NR □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No) □ RTHL □ HTC □ SAL □ Local □ Other
   - Recorded by: Kate Singleton Date recorded: 11/28/2012

**General architectural description**
The structure has a formed concrete headwall and single concrete barrel. Deterioration is evident on the top north corner of the headwall.

**Outbuildings**
- Garage _______ Barn _______ Shed _______ Other N/A
- □ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

**Landscape/site features:**
- □ Sidewalks □ Terracing □ Drives □ Well/cistern □ Gardens □ Other N/A

2. **Architectural Description**

**Stylistic Influence(s):**
- □ Log Traditional
- □ Greek Revival
- □ Italianate
- □ Second Empire
- □ Eastlake
- □ Queen Anne
- □ Shingle
- □ Romanesque Revival
- □ Folk Victorian
- □ Colonial Revival
- □ Renaissance Revival
- □ Exotic Revival
- □ Gothic Revival
- □ Tudor Revival
- □ Neo-Classical
- □ Beaux Arts
- □ Mission
- □ Monterey
- □ Pueblo Revival
- □ Spanish Colonial
- □ Prairie
- □ Craftsman
- □ Art Deco
- □ Moderne
- □ International
- □ Post-war Modern
- □ Ranch Style
- □ Commercial Style
- □ No Style
- □ Other _______

**Structural Details:**

**Roof Type:**
- □ Gable
- □ Hipped
- □ Gambrel
- □ Shed
- □ Flat w/parapet
- □ Dormers:
  - □ gable
  - □ hipped
  - □ shed
  - □ Other N/A

**Roof Materials:**
- □ Wood shingles
- □ Tile
- □ Composition shingles
- □ Metal
- □ Other N/A

**Construction:**
- □ Frame
- □ Adobe
- □ Solid brick
- □ Solid stone
- □ Other

**Wall Facade:**
- □ Stucco
- □ Stone
- □ Brick
- □ Wood shingle
- □ Log
- □ Terra Cotta
- □ Metal
- □ Siding, type _______
- □ Fieldstone veneer
- □ Awning(s)
- □ Other N/A

**Windows:**
- □ Fixed
- □ Wood sash
- □ Double hung
- □ Casement
- □ Aluminum sash
- □ Decorative screenwork
- □ Other N/A

**Doors:**
- □ Single-door primary entrance
- □ Double-door primary entrance
- □ With transom
- □ With sidelights
- □ Other N/A

**Chimneys:**
- □ Interior
- □ Exterior
- □ Brick
- □ Stone
- □ With corbelled caps
- □ Stuccoed
- □ Other N/A

**Porches:**
- □ Shed roof
- □ Hipped roof
- □ Gable roof
- □ Inset
- □ Wood posts
- □ Brick piers
- □ Box columns
- □ Classical columns
- □ Tapered box supports
- □ Fabricated metal
- □ Spindles
- □ Jig-sawn trim
- □ Other N/A

**Plan:**
- □ L-plan
- □ 2-room
- □ T-plan
- □ 2-room
- □ Modified L-plan
- □ Center passage
- □ Bungalow
- □ Shotgun
- □ Irregular
- □ Four Square
- □ Rectangular
- □ Other N/A

**Foundation:**
- □ Slab
- □ Pier and beam
- □ Perimeter wall
- □ Other _______

**Stories:** N/A

**Basement:** □ None □ Partial □ Full

**Dimensions:** L _______ x W _______ = Square feet N/A

3. **Integrity**
- □ Location
- □ Design
- □ Materials
- □ Workmanship
- □ Setting
- □ Feeling
- □ Association
4. Function
Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic  □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other  □ Transportation/rail-related
Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic  □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other  □ Transportation/rail-related

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A  Builder: N/A
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: N/A
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: Located on the Cotton Belt Railway between Fort Worth and Plano.

6. Archeology Ground
□ Original state □ Disturbed  Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known  Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other
Details: 

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known  Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Carrollton  Year: 1981  Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14  Easting: 696659  Northing: 3648977
Legal description (Lot/Block): N/A
Addition: N/A  Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local
Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No  Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low
Explain: 

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 27, looking southwest.

Resource 27, looking west.
1. Identification

- County: Dallas
- City: Carrollton
- Current name: Resource 28
- Historic name: N/A
- Address: 1804-1810 Edgecliff Cove
- Owner/address: Multiple Owners (grouping of single-family dwellings in cul-de-sac)
- Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______
- Current Designations: ☐ NR ☐ NR District (Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No) ☐ RTHL ☐ HTC ☐ SAL ☐ Local ☐ Other
- Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown
- Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General Architectural Description: One-story, three bay, have side gabled roofs with a front facing gable roof porch, and attached garages. Windows include 1/1 or 2/2 aluminum or vinyl sash. Primary entrances are single-door either offset or centrally located on the façade.

2. Architectural Description

- Outbuildings: Specified number and type:
  - Garage ______
  - Barn ______
  - Shed ______
  - Other N/A
  - ☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:
- Sidewalks ☐ Terracing ☐ Drives ☐ Well/cistern ☐ Gardens ☐ Other

3. Integrity

- Location ☐ Design ☐ Materials ☐ Workmanship ☐ Setting ☐ Feeling ☐ Association

4. Historic Resources Survey Form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roof Type:</th>
<th>Wall Facade:</th>
<th>Windows:</th>
<th>Plan:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gable</td>
<td>3. Number of bays</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>L-plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hipped</td>
<td>☐ Stucco</td>
<td>☐ Wood sash</td>
<td>2-room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gambrel</td>
<td>☐ Stone</td>
<td>☐ Double hung</td>
<td>T-plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shed</td>
<td>☐ Brick</td>
<td>☐ Casement</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat w/parapet</td>
<td>☐ Wood shingle</td>
<td>☐ Aluminum sash</td>
<td>Modified L-plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dormers:</td>
<td>☐ Log</td>
<td>☐ Decorative screenwork</td>
<td>Center passage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ gable</td>
<td>☐ Terra Cotta</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Bungalow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ hipped</td>
<td>☐ Metal</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shotgun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ shed</td>
<td>☐ Siding, type</td>
<td></td>
<td>Irregular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Other</td>
<td>☐ Fieldstone veneer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Four Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Other</td>
<td>☐ Awning(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chimneys:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Foundation:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Specify number(s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Slab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Interior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Pier and beam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Exterior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Perimeter wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Brick</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Stone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ With corbelled caps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Classical columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Stucco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tapered box supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Other N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fabricated metal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Porches:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Shed roof</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Classical columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Hipped roof</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tapered box supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Gable roof</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fabricated metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Inset</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spindlwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Wood posts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jig-sawn trim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Brick piers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Box columns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stories: 1 | Basement: ☐ None | ☐ Partial | ☐ Full | Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A
4. Function
Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense ■ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other
Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense ■ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1968 Actual □ Estimated Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of roof sheathing, doors, and windows.
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground
□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes ■ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other
Details: N/A

Access to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other
* Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Carrollton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 696666 Northing: 3649018
Legal description (Lot/Block): Hill N. Dale Block 1 Lots 39-41
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local
Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low
Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION
The State Agency for Historic Preservation
www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 28, general view of representative examples, looking southeast.

Resource 28, general view of representative examples, looking southwest.
## 1. Identification

- **County:** Dallas  
- **City:** Carrollton
- **Current name:** Resource 29  
- **Historic name:** N/A
- **Address:** 1818-1824 Briar Cove
- **Owner/address:** Multiple Owners (grouping of single-family dwellings in cul-de-sac)  
- **Photo data:** Roll _____ Frame _____ to Roll _____ Frame _____
- **Designation:** NR  
- **District (Is property contributing?):** Yes
- **Local:** Yes
- **Other:**

**General architectural description:** One-story, three bay, have side gabled roofs with front facing gable roof porches, and attached garages. Windows include 1/1 or 2/2 aluminum or vinyl sash. Primary entrances are single-door either offset or centrally located on the façade.

**Outbuildings (Specify number and type):**  
- Garage _____  
- Barn _____  
- Shed _____  
- Other N/A

**Archaeological evidence of outbuildings:** Specify N/A

**Landscape/site features:**  
- Sidewalks  
- Terracing  
- Drives  
- Well/cistern  
- Gardens  
- Other _____

## 2. Architectural Description

### Stylistic Influence(s):

- Log Traditional  
- Greek Revival  
- Italianate  
- Second Empire  
- French Revival  
- Gothic Revival  
- Tudor Revival  
- Neo-Classical  
- Mission  
- Art Deco  
- International  
- Post-war Modern  
- Ranch Style  
- Commercial Style  
- No Style  
- Other ______

### Structural Details:

#### Roof Type:

- Gable  
- Hipped  
- Gambrel  
- Shed  
- Flat w/parapet  
- Dormers:  
  - gable  
  - hipped  
  - shed  
  - Other

#### Roof Materials:

- Wood shingles  
- Tile  
- Composition shingles  
- Metal  
- Other

#### Construction:

- Frame  
- Adobe  
- Solid brick  
- Solid stone  
- Other

#### Wall Facade:

- Number of bays:  
  - Stucco  
  - Stone  
  - Brick  
  - Wood shingle  
  - Log  
  - Terra Cotta  
  - Metal  
  - Siding, type:  
    - Fieldstone veneer  
    - Awning(s)  
    - Other

#### Chimneys:

- Specify number(s):  
  - Interior  
  - Exterior  
  - Brick  
  - Stone  
  - With corbeled caps  
  - Stuccoed  
  - Other N/A

#### Windows:

- Fixed  
- Wood sash  
- Double hung  
- Casement  
- Aluminum sash  
- Decorative screenwork  
- Other

#### Doors:

- Single-door primary entrance  
- Double-door primary entrance  
- With transom  
- With sidelights  
- Other

#### Foundation:

- Slab  
- Pier and beam  
- Perimeter wall  
- Other

#### Porches:

- Shed roof  
- Hipped roof  
- Gable roof  
- Inset  
- Wood posts  
- Brick piers  
- Box columns

### Plan:

- L-plan  
- 2-room  
- T-plan  
- Open  
- Modified L-plan  
- Center passage  
- Bungalow  
- Shotgun  
- Irregular  
- Four Square  
- Rectangular  
- Other

#### Stories:

- 1

#### Basement:

- None  
- Partial  
- Full

**Dimensions:** L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A

## 3. Integrity

- Location  
- Design  
- Materials  
- Workmanship  
- Setting  
- Feeling  
- Association
4. Function
Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A    Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1968    □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement roof sheathing, doors, and windows
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground
□ Original state □ Disturbed    Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Nct known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known    Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other
Details: __________________________

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known    Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other __________________________
Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Carrollton    Year: 1981    Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14    Easting: 696732    Northing: 3649057
Legal description (Lot/Block): Hill N. Dale Block 1 Lots 30-33
Addition: N/A    Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;
Areas of significance: __________________________

Period(s) of significance: __________________________
Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No    Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low
Explain __________________________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 29, general view of representative examples, looking southeast.

Resource 29, general view of representative examples, looking southwest.
1. Identification
County: Dallas
City: Carrollton
Current name: Resource 30
Historic name: N/A
Address: 1832-1836 Ridgemoor Cove
Owner/address: Multiple Owners (grouping of single-family dwellings in cul-de-sac)

2. Architectural Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stylistic Influence(s):</th>
<th>Gothic Revival</th>
<th>Pueblo Revival</th>
<th>International</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log Traditional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greek Revival</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italianate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Empire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastlake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queen Anne</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural Details:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roof Type:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hipped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gambrel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat w/parapet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dormers:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hipped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wall Facade:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of bays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stucco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood shingle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terra Cotta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siding, type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fieldstone veneer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awning(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Windows:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood sash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double hung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum sash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decorative screenwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Doors:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single-door primary entrance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double-door primary entrance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With transom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With sidelights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foundations:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pier and beam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perimeter wall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Porches:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shed roof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hipped roof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gable roof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood posts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brick piers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classical columns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tapered box supports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabricated metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spindlwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jig-sawn trim</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L: x W: = Square feet N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Integrity
- Location
- Design
- Materials
- Workmanship
- Setting
- Feeling
- Association
4. Function

Historic Use: ☐ Agriculture ☐ Commerce/trade ☐ Defense ☐ Domestic ☐ Educational ☐ Government ☐ Healthcare
☐ Industry/processing ☐ Recreation/culture ☐ Religious ☐ Social ☐ Other ______________________________

Current Use: ☐ Agriculture ☐ Commerce/trade ☐ Defense ☐ Domestic ☐ Educational ☐ Government ☐ Healthcare
☐ Industry/processing ☐ Recreation/culture ☐ Religious ☐ Social ☐ Vacant ☐ Other ______________________________

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A ☐ Actual ☐ Estimated Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation
Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement roof sheathing, doors, and windows
☐ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archaeology Ground

☐ Original state ☐ Disturbed ☐ Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known ☐ Type: ☐ HABS ☐ Survey ☐ Other____________________
Details: __________________________________

Accessible to the public: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known ☐ Possible threat(s): ☐ None ☐ Damage (i.e., natural disaster) ☐ Neglect
☐ Development ☐ Major alteration ☐ Relocation ☐ Other ______________________________* Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Carrollton Year: 1961 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 696795 Northing: 3649095
Legal description (Lot/Block): Hill N. Dale Block 1 Lots 28-27
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
☐ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
☐ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
☐ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
☐ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

______________________________

______________________________

______________________________

Period(s) of significance: ______________________________

Level of significance: ☐ National ☐ State ☐ Local
Possible NR district: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) ☐ High ☐ Medium ☐ Low
Explain ______________________________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation
www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 30, general view of representative examples, looking southeast.

Resource 30, general view of representative examples, looking southwest.
**TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION**

**HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM**

1. **Identification**
   - County: Dallas
   - City: Carrollton
   - Current name: Resource 31
   - Historic name: N/A
   - Address: 1846-1850 Meadowbrook Cove
   - Owner/address: Multiple Owners (grouping of single-family dwellings in cul-de-sac)
   - Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______
   - Current Designations: □ NR □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No) □ RTHL □ HTC □ SAL □ Local □ Other
   - Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown
   - Date recorded: 1/25/2012

2. **Architectural Description**

   **General architectural description:** One-story, three bay, have side gabled roofs with a front facing gable roof porches, and attached garages. Windows include 1/1 or 2/2 aluminum or vinyl sash. Primary entrances are single-door either offset or centrally located on the façade.

   **Outbuildings** (Specify number and type):
   - Garage _____ Barn _____ Shed _____ Other N/A
   - □ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

3. **Landscape/site features:**
   - Sidewalks □ Terracing □ Drives □ Well/cistern □ Gardens □ Other _______

4. **2. Architectural Description**

   **Stylistic Influence(s):**
   - □ Log Traditional □ Shingle
   - □ Greek Revival □ Romanesque Revival
   - □ Italianate □ Folk Victorian
   - □ Second Empire □ Colonial Revival
   - □ Eastlake □ Renaissance Revival
   - □ Queen Anne □ Exotic Revival
   - □ Gothic Revival □ Tudor Revival
   - □ Neo-Classical □ Beaux Arts
   - □ Mission □ Art Deco
   - □ Monterey □ Moderne
   - □ Pueblo Revival □ Prairie
   - □ Spanish Colonial □ Craftsman
   - □ Ranch Style □ No Style
   - □ Post-war Modern □ Commercial Style
   - □ International □ Other _______

   **Structural Details:**

   **Roof Type:**
   - □ Gable
   - □ Hipped
   - □ Gambrel
   - □ Shed
   - □ Flat w/parapet
doors:
   - □ gable
   - □ hipped
   - □ shed
   - □ Other _______

   **Roof Materials:**
   - □ Wood shingles
   - □ Tile
   - □ Composition shingles
   - □ Metal
   - □ Other _______

   **Construction:**
   - □ Frame
   - □ Adobe
   - □ Solid brick
   - □ Solid stone
   - □ Other _______

   **Wall Facade:**
   - □ Stucco
   - □ Stone
   - □ Brick
   - □ Wood shingle
   - □ Log
   - □ Terra Cotta
   - □ Metal
   - □ Siding, type _______
   - □ Fieldstone veneer
   - □ Awning(s)
   - □ Other _______

   **Chimneys:**
   - □ Specify number(s)
   - □ Interior
   - □ Exterior
   - □ Brick
   - □ Stone
   - □ With corbelled caps
   - □ Stuccoed
   - □ Other N/A

   **Windows:**
   - □ Fixed
   - □ Wood sash
   - □ Double hung
   - □ Casement
   - □ Aluminum sash
   - □ Decorative screenwork
   - □ Other _______

   **Doors:**
   - □ Single-door primary entrance
   - □ Double-door primary entrance
   - □ With transom
   - □ With sidelights
   - □ Other _______

   **Porch(es):**
   - □ Shed roof
   - □ Hipped roof
   - □ Gable roof
   - □ Inset
   - □ Wood posts
   - □ Brick piers
   - □ Box columns
   - □ Classical columns
   - □ Tapered box supports
   - □ Fabricated metal
   - □ Spindles
   - □ Jig-sawn trim
   - □ Other _______

   **Foundation:**
   - □ Slab
   - □ Pier and beam
   - □ Perimeter wall
   - □ Other _______

5. **3. Integrity**
   - □ Location □ Design □ Materials □ Workmanship □ Setting □ Feeling □ Association

   **Stories:** 1
   **Basement:** □ None □ Partial □ Full
   **Dimensions:** L _______ x W _______ = Square feet N/A
4. Function

Historic Use: ☐ Agriculture ☐ Commerce/trade ☐ Defense ☐ Domestic ☐ Educational ☐ Government ☐ Healthcare ☐ Industry/processing ☐ Recreation/culture ☐ Religious ☐ Social ☐ Other


5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A
Builder: N/A

Construction date: 1968 ☐ Actual ☐ Estimated Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation

Adaptions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement roof sheathing, doors, and windows

Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground

☐ Original state ☐ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known Type: ☐ HABS ☐ Survey ☐ Other

Details:

Accessible to the public: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known Possible threat(s): ☐ None ☐ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) ☐ Neglect ☐ Development ☐ Major alteration ☐ Relocation ☐ Other

Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Carrollton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14 Easting: 596862 Northing: 3649134

Legal description (Lot/Block): Hill N, Dale Block 1 Lots 16-19

Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:

☐ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;

☐ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;

☐ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;

☐ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: ☐ National ☐ State ☐ Local

Possible NR district: ☐ Yes ☐ No Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) ☐ High ☐ Medium ☐ Low

Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation

www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 31, general view of representative examples, looking southeast.

Resource 31, general view of representative example, looking west.
1. Identification
County: Dallas  
City: Carrolton
Current name: Resource 32  
Historic name: N/A
Address: 1845 N. Josey Lane. (Apartment Complex)
Owner/address: Intercity Investments & ICI-LEJ Inc./ 4301 Westside Drive Suite 100, Dallas, Texas
Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______
Current Designations:  □ NR  □ NR District (Is property contributing?  □ Yes □ No)  □ RTHL  □ HTC  □ SAL  □ Local  □ Other
Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown  
Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: These resources are two-story, flat roofed, and have multiple bays to accommodate several apartment units.
Open stairwells are intermittently located to allow access to each unit.  The buildings have vinyl and brick cladding.  Windows are 1/1 and 2/2 vinyl sash.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):
Garage _____  Barn _____  Shed _____  Other N/A
☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:
☐ Sidewalks  ☐ Terracing  ☐ Drives  ☐ Well/cistern  ☐ Gardens  ☐ Other _______

2. Architectural Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stylistic influence(s):</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Log Traditional</td>
<td>□ Shingle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Greek Revival</td>
<td>□ Romanesque Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Italianate</td>
<td>□ Folk Victorian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Second Empire</td>
<td>□ Colonial Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Eastlake</td>
<td>□ Renaissance Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Queen Anne</td>
<td>□ Exotic Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Gothic Revival</td>
<td>□ Tudor Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Neo-Classical</td>
<td>□ Beaux Arts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Mission</td>
<td>□ Monterey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Spanish Colonial</td>
<td>□ Pueblo Revival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Prairie</td>
<td>□ Craftsman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Art Deco</td>
<td>□ Moderne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Ranch Style</td>
<td>□ No Style</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| □ International | □ Other _______

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural Details:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roof Type:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Gable</td>
<td>□ Hipped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Gambrel</td>
<td>□ Shed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Flat w/parapet</td>
<td>□ Dormers:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ gable</td>
<td>□ hipped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ shed</td>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof Materials:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Wood shingles</td>
<td>□ Tile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Composition shingles</td>
<td>□ Metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Frame</td>
<td>□ Adobe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Solid brick</td>
<td>□ Solid stone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wall Facade:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A  Number of bays</td>
<td>□ Stucco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Stone</td>
<td>□ Brick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Wood shingle</td>
<td>□ Log</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Terra Cotta</td>
<td>□ Metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Siding, type</td>
<td>□ Fieldstone veneer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Awning(s)</td>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Windows:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Fixed</td>
<td>□ Wood sash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Doublehung</td>
<td>□ Casement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Aluminum sash</td>
<td>□ Decorative screenwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Decorative</td>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Doors:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Single-door primary entrance</td>
<td>□ Double-door primary entrance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ With transom</td>
<td>□ With sidelights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chimneys:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Specify number(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Interior</td>
<td>□ Exterior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Brick</td>
<td>□ Stone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ With corbelled caps</td>
<td>□ Stucco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Others</td>
<td>□ Other N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ L-plan</td>
<td>□ 2-room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ T-plan</td>
<td>□ Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Modified L-plan</td>
<td>□ Center passage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Bungalow</td>
<td>□ Shotgun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Irregular</td>
<td>□ Four Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Rectangular</td>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foundation:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Slab</td>
<td>□ Pier and beam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Perimeter wall</td>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Porches:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Shed roof</td>
<td>□ Hipped roof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Gable roof</td>
<td>□ Inset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Wood posts</td>
<td>□ Brick piers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Box columns</td>
<td>□ Other N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Stories: | 2 | Basement: | □ None  | □ Partial  | □ Full |

Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity
☐ Location  ☐ Design  ☐ Materials  ☐ Workmanship  ☐ Setting  ☐ Feeling  ☐ Association
4. Function
Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other □ Multi-family
Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other □ Multi-family

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A             Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1970   Actual □ Estimated Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement roof sheathing, windows, exterior wall cladding.
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground
□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A
Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other
Details:
Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other N/A
Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Carrollton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 696862 Northing: 3649068
Legal description (Lot/Block): JOSEY PLACE APT 13.991 ACS VOL86118/1216
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;
Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local
Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low
Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 32, general view of representative example, looking northeast.

Resource 32, general view of representative example, looking northwest.
1. Identification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Dallas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>Carrollton</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current name</th>
<th>Resource 33</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Historic name</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address</th>
<th>1600-1604 Shadyview Cove</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner/address</th>
<th>Multiple Owners (grouping of single-family dwellings in cul-de-sac)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photo data</th>
<th>Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Designations</th>
<th>☐ NR  ☐ NR District (Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No)  ☐ RTNL  ☐ HTC  ☐ SAL  ☐ Local  ☐ Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown
Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description
One-story, three bay, have side gabled roofs with a front facing gable roof porches, and attached garages.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):
- Garage _______
- Barn _______
- Shed _______
- Other N/A

[ ] Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:
- Sidewalks
- Terracing
- Drives
- Well/cistern
- Gardens
- Other

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):
- Log Traditional
- Greek Revival
- Italianate
- Second Empire
- Eastlake
- Queen Anne
- Shingle
- Romanesque Revival
- Folk Victorian
- Colonial Revival
- Renaissance Revival
- Exotic Revival
- Gothic Revival
- Tudor Revival
- Neo-Classical
- Beaux Arts
- Mission
- Monterey
- Pueblo Revival
- Spanish Colonial
- Prairie
- Craftsman
- Art Deco
- Moderne
- International
- Post-war Modern
- Ranch Style
- Commercial Style
- No Style
- Other

Structural Details:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roof Type</th>
<th>Gable</th>
<th>Hipped</th>
<th>Gambrel</th>
<th>Shed</th>
<th>Flat w/parapet</th>
<th>Dormers</th>
<th>gable</th>
<th>hipped</th>
<th>shed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wall Facade</th>
<th>Number of bays</th>
<th>Stucco</th>
<th>Stone</th>
<th>Brick</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Windows</th>
<th>Fixed</th>
<th>Wood sash</th>
<th>Double hung</th>
<th>Casement</th>
<th>Aluminum sash</th>
<th>Decorative screenwork</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Doors:
- Single-door primary entrance
- Double-door primary entrance
- With transom
- With sidelights
- Other

Chimneys:
- Specify number(s)
- Interior
- Exterior
- Brick
- Stone
- With corbelled caps
- Stuccoed
- Other N/A

Foundation:
- Slab
- Pier and beam
- Perimeter wall
- Other

Porches:
- Shed roof
- Hipped roof
- Gable roof
- Inset
- Wood posts
- Brick piers
- Box columns
- Classical columns
- Tapered box supports
- Fabricated metal
- Spindlwork
- Jig-sawn trim
- Other

Stories: 1  Basement: ☐ None  ☐ Partial  ☐ Full  Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity

[ ] Location  [ ] Design  [ ] Materials  [ ] Workmanship  [ ] Setting  [ ] Feeling  [ ] Association
4. Function
Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A  Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1968 □ Actual □ Estimated  Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement roof sheathing, doors, and windows
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground
□ Original state □ Disturbed  Explain: N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known  Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other
Details:
Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known  Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other
* Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Carrollton  Year: 1981  Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14  Easting: 996928  Northing: 3649174
Legal description (Lot/Block): Hill N. Dale Block 1 Lots 10-12
Addition: N/A  Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local
Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No  Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low
Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 33, general view of representative example, looking south.

Resource 33, general view of representative example, looking southeast.
# HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

## 1. Identification
- County: Dallas
- City: Carrollton
- Current name: Resource 34
- Historic name: N/A
- Address: 1874-1878 Hill Cove
- Owner/address: Multiple Owners (grouping of single-family dwellings in cul-de-sac)
- Photo data: Roll _____ Frame _____ to Roll _____ Frame _____
- Current Designations: □ NR □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No) □ RTNL □ HTC □ SAL □ Local □ Other
- Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown
- Date recorded: 11/28/2012

## General Architectural Description
- One-story, three bay, have side gabled roofs with a front facing gable roof porches, and attached garages.
- Windows include 1/1 or 2/2 aluminum or vinyl sash. Primary entrances are single-door either offset or centrally located on the façade.

## Outbuildings (Specify number and type):
- Garage _____ Barn _____ Shed _____ Other N/A
- □ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

## Landscape/site features:
- Sidewalks □ Terracing □ Drives □ Well/cistern □ Gardens □ Other

## 2. Architectural Description

### Stylistic Influence(s):
- Log Traditional □ Greek Revival □ Italianate □ Second Empire □ Eastlake □ Queen Anne
- Shingle □ Romanesque Revival □ Folk Victorian □ Colonial Revival □ Renaissance Revival □ Exotic Revival
- Gothic Revival □ Tudor Revival □ Neo-Classical □ Beaux Arts □ Mission □ Monterey
- Pueblo Revival □ Spanish Colonial □ Prairie □ Craftsman □ Art Deco □ Moderne
- International □ Post-war Modern □ Ranch Style □ Commercial Style □ No Style □ Other

### Structural Details:

#### Roof Type:
- □ Gable
- □ Hipped
- □ Gambrel
- □ Shed
- □ Flat w/parapet
- □ Dormers □ gable □ hipped □ shed
- □ Other

#### Roof Materials:
- □ Wood shingles
- □ Tile
- □ Composition shingles
- □ Metal
- □ Other

#### Construction:
- □ Frame
- □ Adobe
- □ Solid brick
- □ Solid stone
- □ Other

#### Wall Facade:
- □ Stucco
- □ Stone
- □ Brick
- □ Wood shingle
- □ Log
- □ Terra Cotta
- □ Metal
- □ Siding, type ______
- □ Fieldstone veneer
- □ Awning(s)
- □ Other

#### Chimneys:
- □ Specify number(s)
- □ Interior
- □ Exterior
- □ Brick
- □ Stone
- □ With corbelled caps
- □ Stuccoed
- □ Other N/A

#### Windows:
- □ Fixed
- □ Wood sash
- □ Double hung
- □ Casement
- □ Aluminum sash
- □ Decorative screenwork
- □ Other ______

#### Doors:
- □ Single-door primary entrance
- □ Double-door primary entrance
- □ With transom
- □ With sidelights
- □ Other

#### Plan:
- □ L-plan □ Open
- □ T-plan □ Center passage
- □ Modified L-plan
- □ Bungalow
- □ Shotgun
- □ Irregular
- □ Four Square
- □ Rectangular
- □ Other

#### Foundation:
- □ Slab □ Pier and beam
- □ Perimeter wall
- □ Other

#### Porches:
- □ Shed roof
- □ Hipped roof
- □ Gable roof
- □ Inset
- □ Wood posts
- □ Brick piers
- □ Box columns
- □ Classical columns
- □ Tapered box supports
- □ Fabricated metal
- □ Spindlewok
- □ Jig-sawn trim
- □ Other

## 3. Integrity
- □ Location
- □ Design
- □ Materials
- □ Workmanship
- □ Setting
- □ Feeling
- □ Association

## Additional Information
- Stories: 1
- Basement: □ None □ Partial □ Full
- Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A

---

**Note:** The form contains fields for various architectural details and integrity elements, each with checkboxes and spaces for additional notes or specifications. The form is designed to capture the essential characteristics and elements of a historic resource. The fields are filled with specific data points relevant to the resource under survey.
4. Function
Historic Use: ☐ Agriculture ☐ Commerce/trade ☐ Defense ☐ Domestic ☐ Educational ☐ Government ☐ Healthcare
☐ Industry/processing ☐ Recreation/culture ☐ Religious ☐ Social ☐ Other

Current Use: ☐ Agriculture ☐ Commerce/trade ☐ Defense ☐ Domestic ☐ Educational ☐ Government ☐ Healthcare
☐ Industry/processing ☐ Recreation/culture ☐ Religious ☐ Social ☐ Vacant ☐ Other

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1970 ☐ Actual ☐ Estimated Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation
Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement roof sheathing, doors, and windows
Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground
☐ Original state ☐ Disturbed Explain: N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known Type: ☐ HABS ☐ Survey ☐ Other
Details:

Accessible to the public: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known Possible threat(s): ☐ None ☐ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) ☐ Neglect
Development ☐ Major alteration ☐ Relocation ☐ Other

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Carrollton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 696993 Northing: 3649210
Legal description (Lot/Block): Hill N. Dale Block A Lots 3-5
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
☐ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
☐ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
☐ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
☐ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: ☐ National ☐ State ☐ Local
Possible NR district: ☐ Yes ☐ No Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) ☐ High ☐ Medium ☐ Low
Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 34, general view of representative examples, looking west.

Resource 34, general view of representative examples, looking southeast.
1. Identification
County Dallas        City Carrollton
Current name Resource 35 (Korean Church of Dallas) Historic name N/A
Address 1878 Hill Cove
Owner/address Korean Church of Dallas
Photo data: Roll _____ Frame _____ to Roll _____ Frame _____
Current Designations: □ NR □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No) □ RTHL □ HTC □ SAL □ Local □ Other
Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: The building has a gabled roof topped by a four part steeple and coated concrete masonry unit walls. The façade exhibits a portico supported by fluted columns and a double glass-door entrance with side lights.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):
Garage _____ Barn _____ Shed _____ Other N/A
☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:
☐ Sidewalks ☐ Terracing ☐ Drives ☐ Well/cistern ☐ Gardens ☐ Other _____

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):
☐ Log Traditional ☐ Gothic Revival ☐ Pueblo Revival ☐ International
☐ Greek Revival ☐ Romanesque Revival ☐ Spanish Colonial ☐ Post-war Modern
☐ Italianate ☐ Folk Victorian ☐ Neo-Classical ☐ Ranch Style
☐ Second Empire ☐ Colonial Revival ☐ Beaux Arts ☐ Commercial Style
☐ Eastlake ☐ Renaissance Revival ☐ Mission ☐ Craftsman
☐ Queen Anne ☐ Exotic Revival ☐ Monterey ☐ No Style
☐ Other _______

Structural Details:

Roof Type:
☐ Gable ☐ Hipped ☐ Gambrel ☐ Shed ☐ Flat w/parapet
☐ Dormers: gable ☐ shedinn ☐ shed ☐ Other _____
☐ Other _____

Wall Facade:
Number of bays _____
☐ Stucco ☐ Stone ☐ Brick ☐ Wood shingle
☐ Log ☐ Terra Cotta ☐ Metal ☐ Siding, type ______
☐ Fieldstone veneer ☐ Awning(s) ☐ Other CMU
☐ Other _____

Windows:
☐ Fixed ☐ Wood sash ☐ Double hung ☐ Casement
☐ Aluminum sash ☐ Decorative screenwork ☐ Other N/A
☐ Other CMU

Doors:
☐ Single-door primary entrance ☐ Double-door primary entrance
☐ With transom ☐ With sidelights ☐ Other

Chimneys:
Specify number(s) ______
☐ Interior ☐ Exterior ☐ Brick ☐ Stone
☐ With corbelled caps ☐ Stuccoed ☐ Other N/A

Foundation:
☐ Slab ☐ Pier and beam ☐ Perimeter wall ☐ Other ______

Porches:
☐ Shed roof ☐ Hipped roof ☐ Gable roof ☐ Classical columns
☐ Inset ☐ Wood posts ☐ Brick piers ☐ Tapered box supports
☐ Box columns ☐ Fabricated metal ☐ Jig-sawn trim
☐ Other ______

Stories: N/A       Basement: ☐ None ☐ Partial ☐ Full       Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity
☐ Location ☐ Design ☐ Materials ☐ Workmanship ☐ Setting ☐ Feeling ☐ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: [ ] Agriculture [ ] Commerce/trade [ ] Defense [ ] Domestic [ ] Educational [ ] Government [ ] Healthcare
[ ] Industry/processing [ ] Recreation/culture [ ] Religious [ ] Social [ ] Other [ ] Church

Current Use: [ ] Agriculture [ ] Commerce/trade [ ] Defense [ ] Domestic [ ] Educational [ ] Government [ ] Healthcare
[ ] Industry/processing [ ] Recreation/culture [ ] Religious [ ] Social [ ] Vacant [ ] Other [ ] Church

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A                      Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1963           Actual [ ] Estimated [ ] Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation
Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement entrance and exterior wall coating.
Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground

[ ] Original state [ ] Disturbed [ ] Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known  Type: [ ] HABS [ ] Survey [ ] Other
Details: 
Accessible to the public: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known  Possible threat(s): [ ] None [ ] Damage (i.e. natural disaster) [ ] Neglect
Development [ ] Major alteration [ ] Relocation [ ] Other

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Carrollton  Year: 1981  Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14  Easting: 697099  Northing: 3649164
Legal description (Lot/Block): Blk 1Lot 1 Arc 2.5077
Addition: N/A  Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
[ ] A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
[ ] B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
[ ] C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
[ ] D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance: 
Level of significance: [ ] National [ ] State [ ] Local
Possible NR district: [ ] Yes [ ] No
Is property contributing? [ ] Yes [ ] No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) [ ] High [ ] Medium [ ] Low

Explain 

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation
www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 35, looking north.

Resource 35, looking northeast.
**HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM**

**1. Identification**
- County: Dallas
- City: Carrolton
- Current name: Resource 36
- Historic name: N/A
- Address: 1555 N. Josey Lane
- Owner/address: Aidan Enterprises, Inc., 1855 N. Josey Lane, Carrolton, Texas
- Photo data: Roll ____ Frame ____ to Roll ____ Frame ____.  
- Current Designations: □ NR □ NR District (Is property contributing?) □ Yes □ No □ RTHL □ HTC □ SAL □ Local □ Other
- Recorded by: Debra Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown  
- Date recorded: 11/28/2012

**General architectural description**: One-story eight bay commercial building. The roof consists of two parallel gables separated by a flat roofed addition. The building has three entrances and corrugated metal wall cladding.

**Outbuildings** *(Specify number and type):*
- Garage ____ Barn ____ Shed ____ Other N/A
- □ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

**Landscape/site features:**
- □ Sidewalks □ Terracing □ Drives □ Well/cistern □ Gardens □ Other __________

**2. Architectural Description**

**Stylistic Influence(s):**
- □ Log Traditional □ Greek Revival □ Romanesque Revival □ Gothic Revival □ Shingle
- □ Italianate □ Folk Victorian □ Neo-Classical □ Tudor Revival □ Prairie
- □ Second Empire □ Colonial Revival □ Beaux Arts □ Craftsman □ Mission
- □ Eastlake □ Renaissance Revival □ Beaux Arts □ Art Deco □ Monterey
- □ Queen Anne □ Exotic Revival □ Moderne □ Other __________

**Structural Details:**

**Roof Type:**
- □ Gable □ Hipped □ Gambrel □ Shed
- □ Flat w/parapet □ Dormers: □ gable □ hipped □ shed □ Other

**Roof Materials:**
- □ Wood shingles □ Tile □ Composition shingles □ Metal
- □ Other __________

**Construction:**
- □ Frame □ Adobe □ Solid brick □ Solid stone □ Other __________

**Wall Facade:**
- 8____ Number of bays
- □ Stucco □ Stone □ Brick □ Wood shingle □ Log □ Terra Cotta
- □ Metal □ Siding, type __________

**Chimneys:**
- □ Fieldstone veneer □ Awning(s) □ Other __________

**Specify number(s):**

**Windows:**
- □ Fixed □ Wood sash □ Double hung □ Casement
- □ Aluminum sash □ Decorative screenwork □ Other N/A

**Doors:**
- □ Single-door primary entrance □ Double-door primary entrance
- □ With transom □ With sidelights □ Other __________

**Plan:**
- □ L-plan □ 2-room □ T-plan □ Open
- □ Modified L-plan □ Center passage □ Bungalow
- □ Shotgun □ Irregular □ Four Square
- □ Rectangular □ Other __________

**Foundation:**
- □ Slab □ Pier and beam □ Perimeter wall □ Other __________

**Porches:**
- □ Shed roof □ Hipped roof □ Gable roof □ Inset
- □ Wood posts □ Brick piers □ Box columns

**Stories:** 1______  
**Basement:** □ None □ Partial □ Full  
**Dimensions:** L ______ x W ______ = Square feet N/A

**3. Integrity**
- □ Location □ Design □ Materials □ Workmanship □ Setting □ Feeling □ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/Trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/Trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A

Construction date: 1967
 Actual □ Estimated □ Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation

□ Additions/modifications, specify dates:
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known

Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known

Details:

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other

Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Carrollton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14 Easting: 697136 Northing: 3649190

Legal description (Lot/Block): JOSEPH B LEE ABST 798 PG 507 TR 18 ACS 1613

Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:

□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;

□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;

□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;

□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:


Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain

Questions?

Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

TEXAS
HISTORICAL
COMMISSION
The State Agency for Historic Preservation
www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 36, looking west.

Resource 36, looking northwest.
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

1. Identification

County: Dallas  City: Carrollton
Current name: Resource 37  Historic name: N/A
Address: 1820 N. Josey Lane
Owner/address: Sara Lee Refrigerated Dough LLC/PO Box 618 Saint Louis, Missouri
Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______
Current Designations: ☐ NR  ☐ NR District (Is property contributing? ☐ Yes  ☐ No)  ☐ RTHL  ☐ HTC  ☐ SAL  ☐ Local  ☐ Other
Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown  Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: One-story three bay, flat roofed commercial garage building. The exterior walls are clad with brick and three replacement metal overhead doors line the façade.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):
Garage _______ Barn _______ Shed _______ Other N/A
☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:
☐ Sidewalks  ☐ Terracing  ☐ Drives  ☐ Well/cistern  ☐ Gardens  ☐ Other

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):
☐ Log Traditional  ☐ Greek Revival  ☐ Italianate  ☐ Empire
☐ Greek Revival  ☐ Romanesque Revival  ☐ Folk Victorian  ☐ Eastlake
☐ Second Empire  ☐ Colonial Revival  ☐ Neo-Classical  ☐ Queen Anne
☐ Renaissance Revival  ☐ Exotic Revival  ☐ Beaux Arts  ☐ Mission
☐ Monterey  ☐ Pueblo Revival  ☐ Spanish Colonial  ☐ Prairie
☐ Tudor Revival  ☐ Neo-Classical  ☐ Craftsman  ☐ Art Deco
☐ International  ☐ Post-war Modern  ☐ Ranch Style  ☐ Commercial Style
☐ No Style  ☐ Other _______

Structural Details:

Roof Type:
☐ Gable  ☐ Hipped  ☐ Gambrel  ☐ Shed
☐ Flat w/parapet  ☐ Dormers: ☐ gable  ☐ hipped  ☐ shed
☐ Other _______

Wall Facade:
☐ Stucco  ☐ Stone  ☐ Brick  ☐ Wood shingle
☐ Log  ☐ Terra Cotta  ☐ Metal  ☐ Siding, type
☐ Fieldstone veneer  ☐ Awning(s)  ☐ Other _______

Number of bays

Number of bays:

Windows:
☐ Fixed  ☐ Wood sash  ☐ Double hung  ☐ Casement
☐ Aluminum sash  ☐ Decorative screenwork  ☐ Other N/A

Doors:
☐ Single-door primary entrance  ☐ Double-door primary entrance
☐ With transom  ☐ With sidelights  ☐ Other _______

Chimneys:
☐ Specify number(s)

Interior  ☐ Exterior  ☐ Brick  ☐ Stone
☐ With corbelled caps  ☐ Stuccoed  ☐ Other N/A

Foundation:
☐ Slab  ☐ Pier and beam  ☐ Perimeter wall  ☐ Other _______

Porches:
☐ Shed roof  ☐ Hipped roof  ☐ Gable roof  ☐ Inset
☐ Wood posts  ☐ Brick piers  ☐ Box columns
☐ Classical columns  ☐ Tapered box supports  ☐ Fabricated metal
☐ Spindework  ☐ Jig-sawn trim  ☐ Other _______

Stories: _______ Basement: ☐ None  ☐ Partial  ☐ Full  Dimensions: L _______ x W _______ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity

☐ Location  ☐ Design  ☐ Materials  ☐ Workmanship  ☐ Setting  ☐ Feeling  ☐ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other ________

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other ________

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A Builder: N/A

Construction date: 1967 Actual □ Estimated □ Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation

□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: N/A
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other ________
Details: ________

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other ________ * Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Carrolton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14 Easting: 697252 Northing: 3649238

Legal description (Lot/Block): MERICO BLK A LT 1 ACS 14.631 VOL88248/3943

Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance: ________

Period(s) of significance: ________

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain ________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation
www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 37, looking southeast.

Resource 37, looking northeast.
### 1. Identification

- **County**: Dallas  
- **City**: Carrollton
- **Address**: 2705-2706 Lakewood Lane
- **Owner/address**: Multiple Owners (grouping of single-family dwellings in cul-de-sac)
- **Current name**: Resource 38  
- **Historic name**: N/A
- **Recorded by**: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown  
- **Date recorded**: 11/28/2012

### General architectural description

- One-story, three or four bay, have side gabled or hipped roofs, and attached garages
- Windows include 1/1 and 2/2 aluminum or vinyl sash. Primary entrances are a single door either offset or centrally located on the façade.
- **Outbuildings** (Specify number and type):
  - Garage  
  - Barn  
  - Shed  
  - Other: N/A
- **Archaeological evidence of outbuildings**: Specify N/A

### Landscape/site features:
- Sidewalks
- Terracing
- Drives
- Well/cistern
- Gardens
- Other

### 2. Architectural Description

#### Stylistic Influence(s):
- Log Traditional
- Greek Revival
- Italianate
- Second Empire
- Eastlake
- Queen Anne
- Shingle
- Romanesque Revival
- Folk Victorian
- Colonial Revival
- Renaissance Revival
- Exotic Revival
- Gothic Revival
- Tudor Revival
- Neo-Classical
- Beaux Arts
- Mission
- Monterey
- Pueblo Revival
- Spanish Colonial
- Prairie
- Craftsman
- Art Deco
- Moderne
- International
- Post-war Modern
- Ranch Style
- Commercial Style
- No Style
- Other

#### Structural Details:
- **Roof Type**: Gable
- **Hipped**
- Gambrel
- Shed
- Flat w/parapet
- Dormers
- Gable
- Hipped
- Shed
- Other
- **Number of bays**: 3/4
- **Stucco**
- **Stone**
- **Brick**
- **Wood shingle**
- **Log**
- **Terra Cotta**
- **Metal**
- **Siding, type**: Fieldstone veneer
- **Awning(s)**
- **Other**
- **Chimneys**: Specify number(s)
- **Interior**
- **Exterior**
- **Brick**
- **Stone**
- **With corbelled caps**
- **Stuccoed**
- **Other**: N/A
- **Shed roof**
- **Hipped roof**
- **Gable roof**
- **Inset**
- **Wood posts**
- **Brick piers**
- **Box columns**

#### Windows:
- **Fixed**
- **Wood sash**
- **Double hung**
- **Casement**
- **Aluminum sash**
- **Decorative screenwork**
- **Other**

#### Doors:
- **Single-door primary entrance**
- **Double-door primary entrance**
- **With transom**
- **With sidelights**
- **Other**

#### Plan:
- **L-plan**
- **2-room**
- **T-plan**
- **Open**
- **Modified L-plan**
- **Center passage**
- **Bungalow**
- **Shotgun**
- **Irregular**
- **Four Square**
- **Rectangular**
- **Other**

#### Foundation:
- **Slab**
- **Pier and beam**
- **Perimeter wall**
- **Other**

#### Stories: 1  
**Basement**: None
**Partial**  
**Full**

**Dimensions**: L _____ x W _____ = Square feet

### 3. Integrity
- Location
- Design
- Materials
- Workmanship
- Setting
- Feeling
- Association
4. Function

Historic Use:  □ Agriculture  □ Commerce/trade  □ Defense  □ Domestic  □ Educational  □ Government  □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing  □ Recreation/culture  □ Religious  □ Social  □ Other

Current Use:  □ Agriculture  □ Commerce/trade  □ Defense  □ Domestic  □ Educational  □ Government  □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing  □ Recreation/culture  □ Religious  □ Social  □ Vacant  □ Other

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A  Builder: N/A

Construction date: 1971  Actual □ Estimated □ Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation

□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement roof sheathing, doors, and windows

□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archaeology Ground

□ Original state  □ Disturbed  Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known

Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known  Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other

Details: 

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known  Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect

□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other ____________________  * Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Carrollton  Year: 1981  Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14 □ Easting: 699949  Northing: 3648815

Legal description (Lot/Block): Country Place 1, Block 1, Lots 46-47

Addition: N/A  Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:

□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;

□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;

□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;

□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

__________________________

__________________________

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National  □ State  □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No  Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions): □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain ____________________________

Questions?

Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 38, general view of representative example, looking southeast.

Resource 38, general view of representative example, looking south.
1. Identification

County: Dallas  
City: Carrollton

Address: 2712-2713 Lakeview Lane

Owner/address: Multiple Owners (grouping of single-family dwellings in cul-de-sac)

Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______

Current Designations: □ NR  □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No) □ RTHL □ HTC □ SAL □ Local □ Other

Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown  
Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: One-story, three or four bay, have side gabled or hipped roofs, and attached garages

Windows include 1/1 and 2/2 aluminum or vinyl sash. Primary entrances are a single door either offset or centrally located on the façade.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

Garage _______ Barn _______ Shed _______ Other N/A

□ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:

☒ Sidewalks  ☒ Terracing  ♦ Drives  ☒ Well/cistern  ☒ Gardens  ☒ Other ______________________________

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):

☒ Log Traditional  ☒ Shingle  ☒ Gothic Revival  ☒ Pueblo Revival  ☒ International

☒ Greek Revival  ☒ Romanesque Revival  ☒ Tudor Revival  ☒ Spanish Colonial  ☒ Post-war Modern

☒ Italianate  ☒ Folk Victorian  ☒ Neo-Classical  ☒ Prairie  ☒ Ranch Style

☒ Second Empire  ☒ Colonial Revival  ☒ Beaux Arts  ☒ Craftsman  ☒ Commercial Style

☒ Eastlake  ☒ Renaissance Revival  ☒ Mission  ☒ Art Deco  ☒ No Style

☒ Queen Anne  ☒ Exotic Revival  ☒ Monterey  ☒ Moderne  ☒ Other _______

Structural Details:

Roof Type:

☒ Gable  ☒ Hipped  ☒ Gambrel  ☒ Shed  ☒ Flat w/parapet

☒ Dormers:  ☒ gable  ☒ hipped  ☒ shed  ☒ Other _______

Roof Materials:

☒ Wood shingles  ☒ Tile  ☒ Composition shingles  ☒ Metal _______  ☒ Other _______

Construction:

☒ Frame  ☒ Adobe  ☒ Solid brick  ☒ Solid stone  ☒ Other _______

Wall Façade:

3/4 Number of bays

☒ Stucco  ☒ Stone  ☒ Brick  ☒ Wood shingle  ☒ Log  ☒ Terra Cotta  ☒ Metal  ☒ Siding, type _______  ☒ Fieldstone veneer  ☒ Awning(s)  ☒ Other _______

Windows:

☐ Fixed  ☒ Wood sash  ☒ Double hung  ☒ Casement  ☒ Aluminum sash  ☒ Decorative screenwork  ☒ Other _______

Doors:

☒ Single-door primary entrance  ☒ Double-door primary entrance  ☒ With transom  ☒ With sidelights  ☒ Other _______

Chimneys:

Specify number(s)

☐ Interior  ☒ Exterior  ☒ Brick  ☒ Stone  ☒ With corbelled caps  ☒ Stuccoed  ☒ Other N/A

Porches:

☐ Shed roof  ☒ Hipped roof  ☒ Gable roof  ☒ Inset  ☒ Wood posts  ☒ Brick piers  ☒ Box columns  ☒ Classical columns  ☒ Tapered box supports  ☒ Fabricated metal  ☒ Spindleswork  ☒ Jig-sawn trim  ☒ Other _______

Stories: 1  
Basement: ☐ None  ☐ Partial  ☐ Full  
Dimensions: L _______ x W _______ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity

☒ Location  ☒ Design  ☐ Materials  ☒ Workmanship  ☒ Setting  ☐ Feeling  ☒ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A Builder: N/A

Construction date: 1971 □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation

□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement roof sheathing, doors, and windows
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other
Details: 

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other 

Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Carrollton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14 Easting: 700903 Northing: 3648786

Legal description (Lot/Block): Country Place 1, Block 1, Lots 39-40
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:

□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;

□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;

□ C. Embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;

□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation
www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 39, view of representative example, looking south.

Resource 39, view of representative example, looking southwest.
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

1. Identification

County  Dallas  City  Carrollton
Current name  Resource 40  Historic name  N/A
Address  2718-2719 Lakeshore Lane
Owner/address  Multiple Owners (grouping of single-family dwellings in cul-de-sac)

Photo data: Roll  _______ Frame  _______ to Roll  _______ Frame  _______

Current Designations:  □ NR  □ NRI District  (Is property contributing?  □ Yes  □ No  □ RTHL  □ HTC  □ SAL  □ Local  □ Other
Recorded by:  Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown  Date recorded:  11/28/2012

General architectural description One-story, three or four bay, have side gabled or hipped roofs, and attached garages

Windows include 1/1 and 2/2 aluminum or vinyl sash. Primary entrances are a single door either offset or centrally located on the façade.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

Garage  _______  Barn  _______  Shed  _______  Other  N/A

□ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify  N/A

Landscape/site features:

□ Sidewalks  □ Terracing  □ Drives  □ Well/cistern  □ Gardens  □ Other

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):

□ Log Traditional  □ Shingle  □ Gothic Revival  □ Pueblo Revival
□ Greek Revival  □ Romanesque Revival  □ Tudor Revival  □ Spanish Colonial
□ Italianate  □ Folk Victorian  □ Neo-Classical  □ Prairie
□ Second Empire  □ Colonial Revival  □ Beaux Arts  □ Craftsman
□ Eastlake  □ Renaissance Revival  □ Mission  □ Art Deco
□ Queen Anne  □ Exotic Revival  □ Monterey  □ Moderne
□ International  □ Post-war Modern  □ Ranch Style  □ Commercial Style
□ Other  _______

Structural Details:

Roof Type:

□ Gable  □ Hipped  □ Gambrel  □ Shed  □ Flat/w/parapet
□ Dormers:  □ gable  □ hipped  □ shed  □ Other

□ Wood shingles  □ Tile  □ Composition shingles
□ Metal  _______  □ Other  _______

Wall Facade:

3/4_ Number of bays
□ Stucco  □ Stone  □ Brick
□ Wood shingle  □ Log  □ Terra Cotta
□ Metal  □ Siding, type  □ Fieldstone veneer
□ Awning(s)  □ Other  _______

Windows:

□ Fixed  □ Wood sash  □ Double hung
□ Casement  □ Aluminum sash  □ Decorative screenwork
□ Decorative  □ Other  _______

Doors:

□ Single-door primary entrance  □ Double-door primary entrance
□ With transom  □ With sidelights  □ Other  _______

Chimneys:

□ Specify number(s)  □ Interior
□ Exterior  □ Brick  □ Stone
□ With corbelled caps  □ Stuccoed  □ Other  N/A

Plan:

□ L-plan  □ 2-room  □ T-plan  □ Open
□ Modified L-plan  □ Center passage  □ Bungalow
□ Shotgun  □ Irregular  □ Four Square  □ Rectangular
□ Other  _______

Foundation:

□ Slab  □ Pier and beam  □ Perimeter wall  □ Other

Porches:

□ Shed roof  □ Hipped roof  □ Gable roof
□ Inset  □ Wood posts  □ Brick piers  □ Box columns
□ Classical columns  □ Tapered box supports  □ Fabricated metal
□ Spindelwork  □ Jig-sawn trim  □ Other  _______

Stories:  1  □ Basement:  □ None  □ Partial  □ Full  Dimensions:  L  _______ x W  _______ =  Square feet  N/A

3. Integrity

□ Location  □ Design  □ Materials  □ Workmanship  □ Setting  □ Feeling  □ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense ■ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare □ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other _______  

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A   Builder: N/A  
Construction date: 1970   Actual □ Estimated □ Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation  
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement roof sheathing, doors, and windows  
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A  
Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archaeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A  
Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known  
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other _______  
Details:  
Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect □ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other _______  
*Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Carrollton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000  
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 700193 Northing: 3648764  
Legal description (Lot/Block): Country Place 1, Block 1, Lots 33-34  
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:  
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;  
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;  
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;  
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;  
Areas of significance: __________________________________________

Period(s) of significance: ________________________________

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local  
Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No  
10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low  
Explain ____________________________________________________________________________________________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator  
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission  
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 40, view of representative example, looking southwest.
1. Identification
County: Dallas
City: Carrollton

Current name: Resource 41
Historic name: N/A

Address: 2727-2728 Lakeridge Lane
Owner/address: Multiple Owners (grouping of single-family dwellings in cul-de-sac)

Photo data: Roll __________ Frame ________ to Roll __________ Frame ________

Current Designations: [ ] NR [ ] NR District (Is property contributing? [ ] Yes [ ] No) [ ] RTHL [ ] HTC [ ] SAL [ ] Local [ ] Other

Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown
Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: One-story, three or four bay, have side gabled or hipped roofs, and attached garages

Windows include 1/1 and 2/2 aluminum or vinyl sash. Primary entrances are a single door either offset or centrally located on the façade.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):
Garage _______ Barn _______ Shed _______ Other N/A

☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:
☐ Sidewalks ☐ Terracing ☐ Drives ☐ Well/cistern ☐ Gardens ☐ Other ______

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):
☐ Log Traditional ☐ Shingle ☐ Gothic Revival ☐ Pueblo Revival ☐ International
☐ Greek Revival ☐ Romanesque Revival ☐ Tudor Revival ☐ Spanish Colonial ☐ Post-war Modern
☐ Italianate ☐ Folk Victorian ☐ Neo-Classical ☐ Prairie ☐ Ranch Style
☐ Second Empire ☐ Colonial Revival ☐ Beaux Arts ☐ Craftsman ☐ Commercial Style
☐ Eastlake ☐ Renaissance Revival ☐ Mission ☐ Art Deco ☐ No Style
☐ Queen Anne ☐ Exotic Revival ☐ Monterey ☐ Moderne ☐ Other ______

Structural Details:

Roof Type:
☐ Gable ☐ Hipped ☐ Gambrel ☐ Shed ☐ Flat w/parapet ☐ Dormers:
☐ gable ☐ hipped ☐ shed
☐ Other ______

Wall Facade:
3/4 Number of bays
☐ Stucco ☐ Stone ☐ Brick ☐ Wood shingle
☐ Log ☐ Terra Cotta ☐ Metal ☐ Siding, type __________
☐ Fieldstone veneer ☐ Awning(s) ☐ Other __________

Roof Materials:
☐ Wood shingles ☐ Tile ☐ Composition shingles ☐ Metal ______
☐ Other ______

Chimneys:
Specify number(s)
☐ Interior ☐ Exterior ☐ Brick ☐ Stone ☐ With corbelled caps ☐ Stuccoed ☐ Other N/A

Construction:
☐ Frame ☐ Adobe ☐ Solid brick ☐ Solid stone ☐ Other ______

Windows:
☐ Fixed ☐ Wood sash ☐ Double hung ☐ Casement
☐ Aluminum sash ☐ Decorative screenwork ☐ Other __________

Doors:
☐ Single-door primary entrance ☐ Double-door primary entrance
☐ With transom ☐ With sidelights ☐ Other __________

Foundations:
☐ Slab ☐ Pier and beam ☐ Perimeter wall ☐ Other ______

Porches:
☐ Shed roof ☐ Hipped roof ☐ Gable roof ☐ Inset
☐ Wood posts ☐ Brick piers ☐ Box columns
☐ Classical columns ☐ Tapered box supports ☐ Fabricated metal
☐ Spindles ☐ Jig-sawn trim ☐ Other ______

Stories: 1 __________ Basement: [ ] None [ ] Partial [ ] Full
Dimensions: L _______ x W _______ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity
☐ Location ☐ Design ☐ Materials ☐ Workmanship ☐ Setting ☐ Feeling ☐ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1970 Actual □ Estimated □ Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation
Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement roof sheathing, doors, and windows
Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed □ Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known □ Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other
Details:

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Carrolton Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 700327 Northing: 3548744
Legal description (Lot/Block): Country Place 1, Block 1, Lots 24-25
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance: ____________________________

Period(s) of significance: ____________________________

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local
Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low
Explain ____________________________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 41, view of representative example, looking southeast.

Resource 41, view of representative example, looking south.
HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

1. Identification

County: Dallas
City: Carrollton
Current name: Resource 42
Historic name: N/A
Address: 2808-2809 Lakeside Lane
Owner/address: Multiple Owners (grouping of single-family dwellings in cul-de-sac)

Photo data: Roll __________ Frame __________ to Roll __________ Frame __________

Current Designations: ☐ NR ☐ NR District (Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No) ☐ RTHL ☐ HTC ☐ SAL ☐ Local ☐ Other

Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: One-story, three or four bay, have side gabled or hipped roofs, and attached garages.

Windows include multiple light aluminum or vinyl sash. Primary entrances are single doors either offset or centrally located on the façade.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):
Garage ______ Barn ______ Shed ______ Other N/A
☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:
☐ Sidewalks ☐ Terracing ☐ Drives ☐ Well/cistern ☐ Gardens ☐ Other

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):
☐ Log Traditional ☐ Shingle ☐ Gothic Revival ☐ Pueblo Revival ☐ International
☐ Greek Revival ☐ Romanesque Revival ☐ Tudor Revival ☐ Spanish Colonial ☐ Post-war Modern
☐ Italianate ☐ Folk Victorian ☐ Neo-Classical ☐ Prairie ☐ Ranch Style
☐ Second Empire ☐ Colonial Revival ☐ Beaux Arts ☐ Craftsman ☐ Commercial Style
☐ Eastlake ☐ Renaissance Revival ☐ Mission ☐ Art Deco ☐ No Style
☐ Queen Anne ☐ exotic Revival ☐ Monterey ☐ Moderne ☐ Other ______

Structural Details:

Roof Type: ☐ Gable ☐ Hipped ☐ Gambrel ☐ Shed
☐ Flat w/parapet ☐ Dormers: ☐ gable ☐ hipped ☐ shed ☐ Other

Roof Materials: ☐ Wood shingles ☐ Tile
☐ Composition shingles ☐ Metal ☐ Other

Construction: ☐ Frame ☐ Adobe ☐ Solid brick ☐ Solid stone
☐ Other

Wall Facade: 3/4 Number of bays
☐ Stucco ☐ Stone
☐ Brick ☐ Wood shingle
☐ Log ☐ Terra Cotta
☐ Metal ☐ Siding, type ______
☐ Fieldstone veneer ☐ Awning(s)
☐ Other

Windows:
☐ Fixed ☐ Wood sash
☐ Double hung ☐ Casement
☐ Aluminum sash ☐ Decorative screenwork
☐ Decorative screenwork ☐ Other

Doors:
☐ Single-door primary entrance ☐ Double-door primary entrance
☐ With transom ☐ With sidelights
☐ Other

Chimneys:
☐ Specify number(s)
☐ Interior ☐ Exteror
☐ Brick ☐ Stone
☐ With corbeled caps ☐ Stuccoed
☐ Other N/A

Porches:
☐ Shed roof ☐ Hipped roof
☐ Gable roof ☐ Inset
☐ Wood posts ☐ Brick piers
☐ Box columns ☐ Other

Foundation:
☐ Slab ☐ Pier and beam
☐ Perimeter wall ☐ Other

Plan:
☐ L-plan ☐ 2-room
☐ T-plan ☐ Open
☐ Modified L-plan ☐ Center passage
☐ Bungalow ☐ Shotgun
☐ Irregular ☐ Four Square
☐ Rectangular ☐ Other

Frames:
☐ Classical columns ☐ Tapered box supports
☐ Fabricated metal ☐ Spindlwork
☐ Jig-sawn trim ☐ Other

Dimensions: L ______ x W ______ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity

☐ Location ☐ Design ☐ Materials ☐ Workmanship ☐ Setting ☐ Feeling ☐ Association
4. Function

 Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense ■ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
 □ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other __________

 Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense ■ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
 □ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other __________

5. Architectural History

 Architect: N/A Builder: N/A

 Construction date: 1971 □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation

 □ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement roof sheathing, doors, and windows

 □ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

 Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground

 □ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

 Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known

 Details: N/A

7. Other Information

 Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other _______

 Details: ____________________________

 Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e., natural disaster) □ Neglect
 □ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other ____________________________

 Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

 USGS quad #: Carrollton Year: 1961 Map scale: 1:24,000

 UTM zone: 14 Easting: 700450 Northing: 3648717

 Legal description (Lot/Block): Country Place 1, Block 1, Lots 9-10

 Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

 Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:

 □ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
 □ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
 □ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
 □ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

 Areas of significance:

 ____________________________

 ____________________________

 Period(s) of significance:

 Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

 Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

 Explain ____________________________

 Questions?
 Contact survey coordinator
 History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
 at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 42, view of representative example, looking southeast.

Resource 42, view of representative example, looking southwest.
**TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION**

**HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM**

1. **Identification**
   
   County: Dallas  
   City: Addison  
   Current name: Resource 43  
   Historic name: N/A  
   Address: 4300 Lindberg Drive  
   Owner/address: Bankston W.O Paint and Body Inc. / 4300 Lindberg Drive, Addison, Texas  
   Photo data: Roll ______ Frame ______ to Roll ______ Frame ______  
   Current Designations:  
   [ ] NR  
   [ ] NR District (Is property contributing?  
   [ ] Yes  
   [ ] No)  
   [ ] RTHL  
   [ ] HTC  
   [ ] SAL  
   [ ] Local  
   [ ] Other  
   Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown  
   Date recorded: 11/28/2012

2. **General architectural description**
   
   One-story, flat roof at the front of the building, gabled roof over the warehouse, brick and corrugated metal cladding, and fixed windows. A wing addition projects from the NE elevation. The façade has a flat roof portico, stone pillars, and double door entrance.

3. **Outbuildings (Specify number and type):**
   
   Garage ______  
   Barn ______  
   Shed ______  
   Other N/A

   [ ] Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

4. **Landscape/site features:**
   
   [ ] Sidewalks  
   [ ] Terracing  
   [ ] Drives  
   [ ] Well/cistern  
   [ ] Gardens  
   [ ] Other

5. **2. Architectural Description**

   **Stylistic Influence(s):**
   
   [ ] Log Traditional  
   [ ] Greek Revival  
   [ ] Italianate  
   [ ] Second Empire  
   [ ] Eastlake  
   [ ] Queen Anne  
   [ ] Shingle  
   [ ] Romanesque Revival  
   [ ] Folk Victorian  
   [ ] Colonial Revival  
   [ ] Renaissance Revival  
   [ ] Gothic Revival  
   [ ] Tudor Revival  
   [ ] Neo-Classical  
   [ ] Beaux Arts  
   [ ] Mission  
   [ ] Monterey  
   [ ] Pueblo Revival  
   [ ] Spanish Colonial  
   [ ] Prairie  
   [ ] Craftsman  
   [ ] Art Deco  
   [ ] Moderne  
   [ ] International  
   [ ] Post-war Modern  
   [ ] Ranch Style  
   [ ] Commercial Style  
   [ ] No Style  
   [ ] Other

6. **Structural Details:**

   **Roof Type:**
   
   [ ] Gable  
   [ ] Hipped  
   [ ] Gambrel  
   [ ] Shed  
   [ ] Flat w/parapet  
   [ ] Dormers:  
   [ ] gable  
   [ ] hipped  
   [ ] shed  
   [ ] Other

   **Wall Facade:**
   
   4 Number of bays  
   [ ] Stucco  
   [ ] Stone  
   [ ] Brick  
   [ ] Wood shingle  
   [ ] Log  
   [ ] Terra Cotta  
   [ ] Metal  
   [ ] Siding, type ______  
   [ ] Fieldstone veneer  
   [ ] Awning(s)  
   [ ] Other

   **Windows:**
   
   [ ] Fixed  
   [ ] Wood sash  
   [ ] Double hung  
   [ ] Casement  
   [ ] Aluminum sash  
   [ ] Decorative screenwork  
   [ ] Decorative  
   [ ] Other

   **Doors:**
   
   [ ] Single-door primary entrance  
   [ ] Double-door primary entrance  
   [ ] With transom  
   [ ] With sidelights  
   [ ] Other

   **Chimneys:**
   
   [ ] Specify number(s)

   **Construction:**
   
   [ ] Frame  
   [ ] Adobe  
   [ ] Solid brick  
   [ ] Solid stone  
   [ ] Other

   **roof Materials:**
   
   [ ] Wood shingles  
   [ ] Tile  
   [ ] Composition shingles  
   [ ] Metal  
   [ ] Other

   **Plan:**
   
   [ ] L-plan  
   [ ] 2-room  
   [ ] T-plan  
   [ ] Open  
   [ ] Modified L-plan  
   [ ] Center passage  
   [ ] Bungalow  
   [ ] Shotgun  
   [ ] Irregular  
   [ ] Four Square  
   [ ] Rectangular  
   [ ] Other

   **Foundation:**
   
   [ ] Slab  
   [ ] Pier and beam  
   [ ] Perimeter wall  
   [ ] Other

   **Porches:**
   
   [ ] Classical columns  
   [ ] Tapered box supports  
   [ ] Fabricated metal  
   [ ] Spindles  
   [ ] Jig-sawn trim  
   [ ] Other portico

7. **3. Integrity**

   [ ] Location  
   [ ] Design  
   [ ] Materials  
   [ ] Workmanship  
   [ ] Setting  
   [ ] Feeling  
   [ ] Association

---

**Stories:** 1  
**Basement:** [ ] None  
[ ] Partial  
[ ] Full  
**Dimensions:** L ____ x W ____ = Square feet N/A

---
4. Function
Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare □ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other □

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1967 Actual □ Estimated Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Addition at northeast elevation, Replacement entrance and wall cladding.
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground
□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain: N/A
Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other
Details:
Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect □ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other
Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Addison Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 702421 Northing: 3648652
Legal description (Lot/Block): ADDISON AIRPORT INDUSTRIAL DIST BLK D LT 6 & PT LT 5 ACS 3.5382
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local
Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low
Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation
www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 43, looking southeast.

Resource 43, looking southwest.
HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

1. Identification

County: Dallas  City: Addison
Current name: Resource 44  Historic name: N/A
Address: 4803 Broadway
Owner/address: 4803 Broadway Restaurant Acquisitions LP/ 4803 Broadway St., Addison, Texas
Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______
Current Designations: □ NR  □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No) □ RT HL □ HTC □ SAL □ Local □ Other
Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown  Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: The building is a one-story brick structure that features corbeled brickwork at the cornice. The façade features a replacement plate glass window with transom and replacement single glass-door entrance with transom.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):
Garage _______ Barn _______ Shed _______ Other: N/A
☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify: N/A

Landscape/site features:
☐ Sidewalks  ☐ Terracing  ☐ Drives  ☐ Well/cistern  ☐ Gardens  ☐ Other: _______

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):
☐ Log Traditional  ☐ Shingle  ☐ Gothic Revival  ☐ Pueblo Revival  ☐ International
☐ Greek Revival  ☐ Romanesque Revival  ☐ Tudor Revival  ☐ Spanish Colonial  ☐ Post-war Modern
☐ Italianate  ☐ Folk Victorian  ☐ Neo-Classical  ☐ Prairie  ☐ Ranch Style
☐ Second Empire  ☐ Colonial Revival  ☐ Beaux Arts  ☐ Craftsman  ☐ Commercial Style
☐ Eastlake  ☐ Renaissance Revival  ☐ Mission  ☐ Art Deco  ☐ No Style
☐ Queen Anne  ☐ Exotic Revival  ☐ Monterey  ☐ Modern  ☐ Other: _______

Structural Details:

Roof Type:
☐ Gable  ☐ Hipped  ☐ Gambrel  ☐ Shed  ☐ Flat w/parapet
☐ Dormers: ☐ gable  ☐ hipped  ☐ shed  ☐ Other: _______

Wall Facade:
☐ Number of bays: 2
☐ Stucco  ☐ Stone  ☐ Brick  ☐ Wood shingle  ☐ Log  ☐ Terra Cotta  ☐ Metal  ☐ Siding, type: _______
☐ Fieldstone veneer  ☐ Awning(s):  ☐ Awning(s)  ☐ Other: _______

Windows:
☐ Fixed  ☐ Wood sash  ☐ Double hung  ☐ Casement  ☐ Aluminum sash
☐ Decorative screenwork  ☐ Other: _______

Roof Materials:
☐ Wood shingles  ☐ Tile  ☐ Composition shingles  ☐ Metal  ☐ Other: N/A

Chimneys:
☐ Specify number(s): _______
☐ Interior  ☐ Exterior  ☐ Brick  ☐ Stone  ☐ With corbeled caps  ☐ Stuccoed  ☐ Other: N/A

Doors:
☐ Single-door primary entrance  ☐ Double-door primary entrance  ☐ With transom
☐ With sidelights  ☐ Other: _______

Construction:
☐ Frame  ☐ Adobe  ☐ Solid brick  ☐ Solid stone  ☐ Other: _______

FOUNDATION:
☐ Shed roof  ☐ Hipped roof  ☐ Gable roof  ☐ Inset  ☐ Wood posts
☐ Brick piers  ☐ Box columns  ☐ Classical columns  ☐ Tapered box supports
☐ Fabricated metal  ☐ Spindles  ☐ Jig-sawn trim  ☐ Other: N/A

Porches:
☐ Slab  ☐ Pier and beam  ☐ Perimeter wall  ☐ Other: _______

Stories: 1  Basement: ☐ None  ☐ Partial  ☐ Full  Dimensions: L _______ x W _______ = Square feet: N/A

3. Integrity

☐ Location  ☐ Design  ☐ Materials  ☐ Workmanship  ☐ Setting  ☐ Feeling  ☐ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: ☐ Agriculture ☑ Commerce/trade ☐ Defense ☐ Domestic ☐ Educational ☐ Government ☐ Healthcare
☐ Industry/processing ☐ Recreation/culture ☐ Religious ☐ Social ☐ Other

Current Use: ☐ Agriculture ☑ Commerce/trade ☐ Defense ☐ Domestic ☐ Educational ☐ Government ☐ Healthcare
☐ Industry/processing ☐ Recreation/culture ☐ Religious ☐ Social ☐ Vacant ☐ Other

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A | Builder: N/A

Construction date: 1913 | Actual ☑ Estimated ☐ Source: Dallas County Appraisal District/On-site observation

Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement window and door.

☐ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground

☐ Original state ☐ Disturbed | Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known

Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Not known | Type: ☑ HABS ☐ Survey ☐ Other

Details:

Accessible to the public: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known | Possible threat(s): ☐ None ☐ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) ☐ Neglect

☐ Development ☐ Major alteration ☐ Relocation ☐ Other

Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Addison | Year: 1981

Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14 | Easting: 702882

Northing: 3648849

Legal description (Lot/Block): ORIG TOWN ADDISON BLK A LT 5

Addition: N/A | Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:

☐ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;

☐ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;

☐ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;

☐ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance: Early development of the banking industry in Addison, Texas.

Period(s) of significance: 1913-1926

Level of significance: ☐ National ☐ State ☑ Local

Possible NR district: ☐ Yes ☑ No | Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) ☐ High ☐ Medium ☐ Low

Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation
www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 44, looking north.

Resource 44, looking northwest.
HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

1. Identification

County: Dallas
City: Dallas

Current name: Resource 45 (White Rock Creek Bridge)
Historic name: N/A

Address: DART railroad line (Cotton Belt Corridor) West of Preston Rd., over White Rock Creek

Owner/address: DART-1401 Pacific Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202

Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______

Current Designations: □ NR □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No) □ RTHL □ HTC □ SAL □ Local □ Other

Recorded by: Kate Singleton Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: The bridge is a Warren with Verticals Pony Truss manufactured by the American Bridge Company. The bridge is one span and has six panels consisting of five verticals and six diagonal members per truss web.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

Garage □ Barn □ Shed □ Other N/A

□ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:

□ Sidewalks □ Terracing □ Drives □ Well/cistern □ Gardens □ Other N/A

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):

☐ Log Traditional  ☐ Shingle  ☐ Gothic Revival  ☐ Pueblo Revival  ☐ International
☐ Greek Revival  ☐ Romanesque Revival  ☐ Tudor Revival  ☐ Spanish Colonial  ☐ Post-war Modern
☐ Italianate  ☐ Folk Victorian  ☐ Neo-Classical  ☐ Prairie  ☐ Ranch Style
☐ Second Empire  ☐ Colonial Revival  ☐ Beaux Arts  ☐ Craftsman  ☐ Commercial Style
☐ Eastlake  ☐ Renaissance Revival  ☐ Mission  ☐ Art Deco  ☐ No Style
☐ Queen Anne  ☐ Exotic Revival  ☐ Monterey  ☐ Modern  ☐ Other

Structural Details:

Roof Type:

☐ Gable ☐ Hipped ☐ Gambrel ☐ Shed ☐ Dormers:
☐ gable ☐ hipped ☐ shed ☐ Other N/A

Wall Facade:

Number of bays
☐ Stucco ☐ Stone ☐ Brick ☐ Wood shingle ☐ Log ☐ Terra Cotta ☐ Metal ☐ Siding, type
☐ Fieldstone veneer ☐ Awning(s) ☐ Other N/A

Windows:

☐ Fixed ☐ Wood sash ☐ Double hung ☐ Casement ☐ Aluminum sash ☐ Decorative screenwork ☐ Other N/A

Doors:

☐ Single-door primary entrance ☐ Double-door primary entrance ☐ With transom ☐ With sidelights ☐ Other N/A

Chimneys:

Specify number(s)
☐ Interior ☐ Exterior ☐ Brick ☐ Stone ☐ With corbeled caps ☐ Stuccoed ☐ Other N/A

Porches:

☐ Shed roof ☐ Hipped roof ☐ Gable roof ☐ Inset ☐ Wood posts ☐ Brick piers ☐ Box columns

☐ Classical columns ☐ Tapered box supports ☐ Fabricated metal ☐ Spindled trim ☐ Jig-sawn trim ☐ Other N/A

Stories: N/A

Basement: ☐ None ☐ Partial ☐ Full  Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity

☐ Location  ☐ Design  ☐ Materials  ☐ Workmanship  ☐ Setting  ☐ Feeling  ☐ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other Transportation/rail-related

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other Transportation/rail-related

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1917 □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Regional Rail Right of Way Company (2009)
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: N/A
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: Located on the Cotton Belt Railway between Fort Worth and Piano.

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other
Details: In 2009 the bridge was determined eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criterion C.

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Addison Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 704598 Northing: 3649610
Legal description (Lot/Block): N/A
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance: Early railroad bridge design (Warren with Verticals Pony Truss).

Period(s) of significance: 1917-1935

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local
Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low
Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation
www.tdhc.state.tx.us
Resource 45, looking east.
HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

1. Identification
County: Dallas
City: Dallas

Current name: Resource 46 (McCamy Branch Bridge)
Historic name: N/A

Address: DART railroad line (Cotton Belt Corridor) South of Campbell Rd., East of Keller Springs Rd.
Owner/address: DART-1401 Pacific Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202

Photo data: Roll ______ Frame ______ to Roll ______ Frame ______

Current Designations: ☐ NR ☐ NR District (Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No) ☐ RTHL ☐ HTC ☐ SAL ☐ Local ☐ Other

Recorded by: Kate Singleton Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: Wood trestle bridge with wood pile bents and wood abutments. The bents, abutments, and track have been replaced since the structure was constructed in ca. 1937.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):
Garage ______ Barn ______ Shed ______ Other N/A
☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:
☐ Sidewalks ☐ Terracing ☐ Drives ☐ Well/cistern ☐ Gardens ☐ Other N/A

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):
☐ Log Traditional ☐ Shingle
☐ Greek Revival ☐ Romanesque Revival
☐ Italianate ☐ Folk Victorian
☐ Second Empire ☐ Colonial Revival
☐ Eastlake ☐ Renaissance Revival
☐ Queen Anne ☐ Exotic Revival
☐ Gothic Revival ☐ Tudor Revival
☐ Neo-Classical ☐ Beaux Arts
☐ Mission ☐ Monterey
☐ Pueblo Revival ☐ Spanish Colonial
☐ Prairie ☐ Craftsman
☐ Art Deco ☐ Moderne
☐ International ☐ Post-war Modern
☐ Ranch Style ☐ Commercial Style
☐ No Style ☐ Other ______

Structural Details:

Roof Type:
☐ Gable ☐ Hipped
☐ Gambrel ☐ Shed
☐ Flat w/parapet ☐ Dormers:
☐ gable ☐ hipped
☐ shed ☐ Other N/A

Wall Facade:
Number of bays:
☐ Stucco ☐ Stone
☐ Brick ☐ Wood shingle
☐ Log ☐ Terra Cotta
☐ Metal ☐ Siding, type:
☐ Fieldstone veneer ☐ Awning(s)
☐ Other N/A

Windows:
☐ Fixed ☐ Wood sash
☐ Double hung ☐ Casement
☐ Aluminum sash ☐ Decorative screenwork
☐ Other N/A

Doors:
☐ Single-door primary entrance ☐ Double-door primary entrance
☐ With transom ☐ With sidelights
☐ Other N/A

Chimneys:
Specify number(s):
☐ Interior ☐ Exterior
☐ Brick ☐ Stone
☐ With corbelled caps ☐ Stuccoed
☐ Other N/A

Porches:
☐ Shed roof ☐ Hipped roof
☐ Gable roof ☐ Inset
☐ Wood posts ☐ Brick piers
☐ Box columns
☐ Classical columns ☐ Tapered box supports
☐ Fabricated metal ☐ Spindles
☐ Jig-sawn trim ☐ Other N/A

Foundation:
☐ Slab ☐ Pier and beam
☐ Perimeter wall ☐ Other ______

Plan:
☐ L-plan ☐ 2-room
☐ T-plan ☐ Open
☐ Modified L-plan ☐ Center passage
☐ Bungalow ☐ Shotgun
☐ Irregular ☐ Four Square
☐ Rectangular ☐ Other N/A

Stories: N/A Basement: ☐ None ☐ Partial ☐ Full Dimensions: L _____ x W ______ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity
☐ Location ☐ Design ☐ Materials ☐ Workmanship ☐ Setting ☐ Feeling ☐ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: ☐ Agriculture ☐ Commerce/trade ☐ Defense ☐ Domestic ☐ Educational ☐ Government ☐ Healthcare
☐ Industry/processing ☐ Recreation/culture ☐ Religious ☐ Social ☐ Other Transportation/rail-related

Current Use: ☐ Agriculture ☐ Commerce/trade ☐ Defense ☐ Domestic ☐ Educational ☐ Government ☐ Healthcare
☐ Industry/processing ☐ Recreation/culture ☐ Religious ☐ Social ☐ Vacant ☐ Other Transportation/rail-related

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A Builder: N/A
Construction date: ca. 1937 ☐ Actual ☐ Estimated Source: Regional Rail Right of Way Company (2009)/ On-site observation
☐ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of bents, abutments, and deck.
☐ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: Located on the Cotton Belt Railway between Fort Worth and Plano.

6. Archeology Ground

☐ Original state ☐ Disturbed Explain: N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known Type: ☐ HABS ☐ Survey ☐ Other Details: In 2009, the McCamy Branch Bridge was determined not eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Accessible to the public: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known Possible threat(s): ☐ None ☐ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) ☐ Neglect ☐ Development ☐ Major alteration ☐ Relocation ☐ Other ☐ Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Addison Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 705644 Northing: 3650906
Legal description (Lot/Block): N/A
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
☐ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
☐ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
☐ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
☐ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: ☐ National ☐ State ☐ Local

Possible NR district: ☐ Yes ☐ No Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) ☐ High ☐ Medium ☐ Low

Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 46, looking west.

Resource 46, looking east.
1. Identification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Dallas</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Dallas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current name</td>
<td>Resource 47 (Spanky Branch Bridge)</td>
<td>Historic name</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>DART railroad line (Cotton Belt Corridor) East of Davenport Rd.</td>
<td>Owner/address</td>
<td>DART-1401 Pacific Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo data</td>
<td>Roll ______ Frame ______ to Roll ______ Frame ______</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Designations</td>
<td>□ NR</td>
<td>□ NR District (Is property contributing?</td>
<td>□ Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recorded by</td>
<td>Kate Singleton</td>
<td>Date recorded</td>
<td>11/28/2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General architectural description: Wood trestle bridge with wood pile bents and wood abutments. The bents, abutments, and track have been replaced since the structure was constructed in ca. 1937.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Garage</th>
<th>Barn</th>
<th>Shed</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Landscape/site features:

| Sidewalks | Terracing | Drives | Well/cistern | Gardens | Other | N/A |

2. Architectural Description

### Stylistic Influence(s):

- □ Log Traditional
- □ Greek Revival
- □ Italianate
- □ Second Empire
- □ Eastlake
- □ Queen Anne
- □ Shingle
- □ Romanesque Revival
- □ Folk Victorian
- □ Colonial Revival
- □ Renaissance Revival
- □ Exotic Revival
- □ Gothic Revival
- □ Tudor Revival
- □ Neo-Classical
- □ Beaux Arts
- □ Mission
- □ Monterey
- □ Pueblo Revival
- □ Spanish Colonial
- □ Prairie
- □ Craftsman
- □ Art Deco
- □ Moderne
- □ International
- □ Post-war Modern
- □ Ranch Style
- □ Commercial Style
- □ No Style
- □ Other ______

### Structural Details:

#### Roof Type:

- □ Gable
- □ Hipped
- □ Gambrel
- □ Shed
- □ Flat w/parapet
- □ Dormers:
  - □ gable
  - □ hipped
  - □ shed
  - □ Other | N/A |

#### Roof Materials:

- □ Wood shingles
- □ Tile
- □ Composition shingles
- □ Metal
- □ Other | N/A |

#### Construction:

- □ Frame
- □ Adobe
- □ Solid brick
- □ Solid stone
- □ Other | N/A |

#### Wall Facade:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of bays</th>
<th>□ Stucco</th>
<th>□ Stone</th>
<th>□ Brick</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Wood shingle</td>
<td>□ Log</td>
<td>□ Terra Cotta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Metal</td>
<td>□ Siding, type</td>
<td>□ Fieldstone veneer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Awning(s)</td>
<td>□ Other</td>
<td>□ N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Windows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>□ Fixed</th>
<th>□ Wood sash</th>
<th>□ Double hung</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Casement</td>
<td>□ Aluminum sash</td>
<td>□ Decorative screenwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other</td>
<td>□ N/A</td>
<td>□ N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Doors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>□ Single-door primary entrance</th>
<th>□ Double-door primary entrance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ With transom</td>
<td>□ With sidelights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other</td>
<td>□ N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Chimneys:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number(s)</th>
<th>□ Interior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Exterior</td>
<td>□ Brick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Stone</td>
<td>□ With corbelled caps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Stucco</td>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Porches:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>□ Shed roof</th>
<th>□ Hipped roof</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Gable roof</td>
<td>□ Inset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Wood posts</td>
<td>□ Brick piers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Other</td>
<td>□ Box columns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Plan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>□ L-plan</th>
<th>□ 2-room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ T-plan</td>
<td>□ Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Modified L-plan</td>
<td>□ Center passage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Bungalow</td>
<td>□ Shotgun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Irregular</td>
<td>□ Four Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Rectangular</td>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Foundation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>□ Slab</th>
<th>□ Pier and beam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ Perimeter wall</td>
<td>□ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Integrity

- □ Location
- □ Design
- □ Materials
- □ Workmanship
- □ Setting
- □ Feeling
- □ Association

Stories: N/A
Basement: □ None □ Partial □ Full
Dimensions: L ______ x W ______ = Square feet N/A
4. Function
Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other □ Transportation/rail-related
Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other □ Transportation/rail-related

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A □ Actual □ Estimated Builder: N/A Source: Regional Rail Right of Way Company (2009) / On-site observation
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: □ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
□ Replacement of bents, abutments, and deck.
Other associated contexts and information of interest: Located on the Cotton Belt Railway between Fort Worth and Plano.

6. Archeology Ground
□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain: N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other
Details: In 2009, the Sparky Branch Bridge 2 was determined not eligible for listing in the NRHP.

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Addison Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 706195 Northing: 3651480
Legal description (Lot/Block): N/A
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local
Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low
Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 47, looking southwest.

Resource 47, looking northwest.
HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

1. Identification

County: Dallas  
City: Dallas

Current name: Resource 48 (Spanky Branch Bridge 1)  
Historic name: N/A

Address: DART railroad line (Cotton Belt Corridor) South of Duffield Dr., East of Davenport Rd.

Owner/address: DART-1401 Pacific Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202

Photo data: Roll __________ Frame __________ to Roll __________ Frame __________

Current Designations:  
- [ ] NR  
- [ ] NR District (Is property contributing?  
- [ ] Yes  
- [ ] No)  
- [ ] RTHL  
- [ ] HTC  
- [ ] SAL  
- [ ] Local  
- [ ] Other

Recorded by: Kate Singleton  
Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: Spanky Branch Bridge 1 is a Half-Through Plate Girder Bridge constructed in ca. 1940. Two metal plate walls line the deck of this commonly used design. The bridge is supported by concrete abutments.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

- [ ] Garage  
- [ ] Barn  
- [ ] Shed  
- [ ] Other N/A

- [ ] Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:

- [ ] Sidewalks  
- [ ] Terracing  
- [ ] Drives  
- [ ] Well/cistern  
- [ ] Gardens  
- [ ] Other N/A

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):

- [ ] Log Traditional  
- [ ] Greek Revival  
- [ ] Italianate  
- [ ] Second Empire  
- [ ] Eastlake  
- [ ] Queen Anne  
- [ ] Shingle  
- [ ] Romanesque Revival  
- [ ] Folk Victorian  
- [ ] Colonial Revival  
- [ ] Renaissance Revival  
- [ ] Exotic Revival  
- [ ] Gothic Revival  
- [ ] Tudor Revival  
- [ ] Neo-Classical  
- [ ] Beaux Arts  
- [ ] Mission  
- [ ] Monterey  
- [ ] Pueblo Revival  
- [ ] Spanish Colonial  
- [ ] Prairie  
- [ ] Craftsman  
- [ ] Art Deco  
- [ ] Moderne  
- [ ] International  
- [ ] Post-war Modern  
- [ ] Ranch Style  
- [ ] Commercial Style  
- [ ] No Style  
- [ ] Other __________

Structural Details:

Roof Type:

- [ ] Gable  
- [ ] Hipped  
- [ ] Gambrel  
- [ ] Shed  
- [ ] Flat w/parapet  
- [ ] Dormers:  
  - [ ]gable  
  - [ ]hipped  
  - [ ]shed  
  - [ ]Other N/A

Wall Facade:

- [ ] Number of bays
- [ ] Stucco
- [ ] Stone
- [ ] Brick
- [ ] Wood shingle
- [ ] Log
- [ ] Terra Cotta
- [ ] Metal
- [ ] Siding, type __________
- [ ] Fieldstone veneer
- [ ] Awning(s)
- [ ] Other N/A

Windows:

- [ ] Fixed
- [ ] Wood sash
- [ ] Double hung
- [ ] Casement
- [ ] Aluminum sash
- [ ] Decorative screenwork
- [ ] Other N/A

Doors:

- [ ] Single-door primary entrance
- [ ] Double-door primary entrance
- [ ] With transom
- [ ] With sidelights
- [ ] Other N/A

Chimneys:

- [ ] Specify number(s)
- [ ] Interior
- [ ] Exterior
- [ ] Brick
- [ ] Stone
- [ ] With corbelled caps
- [ ] Stuccoed
- [ ] Other N/A

Plan:

- [ ] L-plan  
- [ ] 2-room  
- [ ] T-plan  
- [ ] Open  
- [ ] Modified L-plan  
- [ ] Center passage  
- [ ] Bungalow  
- [ ] Shotgun  
- [ ] Irregular  
- [ ] Four Square  
- [ ] Rectangular  
- [ ] Other N/A

Foundation:

- [ ] Slab  
- [ ] Pier and beam  
- [ ] Perimeter wall  
- [ ] Other

Porches:

- [ ] Shed roof  
- [ ] Hipped roof  
- [ ] Gable roof  
- [ ] Inset  
- [ ] Wood posts  
- [ ] Brick piers  
- [ ] Box columns  
- [ ] Classical columns  
- [ ] Tapered box supports  
- [ ] Fabricated metal  
- [ ] Spindles  
- [ ] Jig-sawn trim  
- [ ] Other N/A

Roof Materials:

- [ ] Wood shingles  
- [ ] Tile  
- [ ] Composition shingles  
- [ ] Metal  
- [ ] Other N/A

Construction:

- [ ] Frame  
- [ ] Adobes  
- [ ] Solid brick  
- [ ] Solid stone  
- [ ] Other N/A

Stories: N/A  
Basement:  
- [ ] None  
- [ ] Partial  
- [ ] Full

Dimensions: L _______ x W _______ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity

- [ ] Location  
- [ ] Design  
- [ ] Materials  
- [ ] Workmanship  
- [ ] Setting  
- [ ] Feeling  
- [ ] Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other

Transportation/rail-related

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other

Transportation/rail-related

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A
Builder: N/A

Construction date: ca. 1940 □ Actual □ Estimated
Source: Regional Rail Right of Way Company (2009)/ On-site observation

□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: N/A

□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: Located on the Cotton Belt Railway between Fort Worth and Plano.

6. Archaeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed
Explain: N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
□ HABS □ Survey □ Other

Details: In 2009, the Spanky Branch Bridge 1 was determined to have unknown eligibility.

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other

Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect

Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Addison Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14 Easting: 706745 Northing: 3651822

Legal description (Lot/Block): N/A

Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No
Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 48, looking east.
**HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM**

1. **Identification**
   - County: Collin
   - City: Dallas
   - Current name: Resource 49
   - Historic name: N/A
   - Address: 7671 Ronnie Drive
   - Owner/address: Clay Henry Burr; 7671 Ronnie Dr., Dallas, Texas

2. **Architectural Description**
   - Photo data: Roll _____ Frame ______ to Roll ______ Frame ______
   - Current Designations: [ ] NR [ ] NR District (Is property contributing? [ ] Yes [ ] No) [ ] RTHL [ ] HTC [ ] SAL [ ] Local [ ] Other
   - Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown
   - Date recorded: 11/28/2012
   - General architectural description: Originally used as a single-family dwelling and is now a commercial building. The resource is one-story, three bay, has a cross-gabled roof, and irregular floor plan. The roof and exterior walls are sheathed with corrugated metal.
   - Outbuildings: (Specify number and type):
     - Garage _____ Barn _____ Shed _____ Other N/A
     - [ ] Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A
   - Landscape/site features:
     - [ ] Sidewalks [ ] Terracing [ ] Drives [ ] Well/cistern [ ] Gardens [ ] Other

2. **Architectural Description**
   - **Stylistic Influence(s):**
     - [ ] Log Traditional [ ] Greek Revival [ ] Italianate [ ] Second Empire [ ] Eastlake [ ] Queen Anne
     - [ ] Shingle [ ] Romanesque Revival [ ] Folk Victorian [ ] Colonial Revival [ ] Renaissance Revival [ ] Exotic Revival
     - [ ] Gothic Revival [ ] Tudor Revival [ ] Neo-Classical [ ] Beaux Arts [ ] Mission [ ] Monterey
     - [ ] Pueblo Revival [ ] Spanish Colonial [ ] Prairie [ ] Craftsman [ ] Art Deco [ ] Moderne
     - [ ] International [ ] Post-war Modern [ ] Ranch Style [ ] Commercial Style [ ] No Style

   - **Structural Details:**
     - Roof Type:
       - [ ] Gable [ ] Hipped [ ] Gambrel [ ] Shed
       - [ ] Flat w/parapet [ ] Dormers: [ ] gable [ ] hipped [ ] shed [ ] Other
       - [ ] Other
     - Roof Materials:
       - [ ] Wood shingles [ ] Tile [ ] Composition shingles [ ] Metal [ ] corrugated
     - [ ] Other
     - Construction:
       - [ ] Frame [ ] Adobe [ ] Solid brick [ ] Solid stone
       - [ ] Other
     - Wall Facade:
       - 3 Number of bays
       - [ ] Stucco [ ] Stone [ ] Brick
       - [ ] Wood shingle [ ] Log [ ] Terra Cotta
       - [ ] Metal [ ] Siding, type ______ [ ] Fieldstone veneer
       - [ ] Awning(s) [ ] Awning(s)
       - [ ] Other
     - Windows:
       - [ ] Fixed [ ] Wood sash [ ] Double hung [ ] Casement
       - [ ] Aluminum sash [ ] Decorative screenwork [ ] Other N/A
     - Plan:
       - [ ] L-plan [ ] 2-room [ ] T-plan [ ] Open
       - [ ] Modified L-plan [ ] Center passage
       - [ ] Bungalow [ ] Shotgun
       - [ ] Irregular
       - [ ] Four Square [ ] Rectangular
     - Doors:
       - [ ] Single-door primary entrance [ ] Double-door primary entrance
       - [ ] With transom [ ] With sidelights
       - [ ] Other, double door for car
     - Chimneys:
       - [ ] Specify number(s)
       - [ ] Interior [ ] Exterior [ ] Brick [ ] Stone
       - [ ] With corbelled caps [ ] Stuccoed [ ] Other N/A
     - Foundation:
       - [ ] Slab [ ] Pier and beam
       - [ ] Perimeter wall [ ] Other
     - Porches:
       - [ ] Shed roof [ ] Hipped roof [ ] Gable roof [ ] Inset
       - [ ] Wood posts [ ] Brick piers [ ] Box columns
       - [ ] Classical columns [ ] Tapered box supports [ ] Fabricated metal
       - [ ] Spindles [ ] Jig-sawn trim

3. **Integrity**
   - [ ] Location [ ] Design [ ] Materials [ ] Workmanship [ ] Setting [ ] Feeling [ ] Association

4. **Dimensions**
   - Stories: __________
   - Basement: [ ] None [ ] Partial [ ] Full
   - Dimensions: L ______ x W ______ = Square feet N/A
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A ➤ Builder: N/A

Construction date: 1907 ➤ Actual □ Estimated Source: Collin County Appraisal District/On-site observation

Additions/modifications, specify dates: Two additions (west elevation and north elevation) and replacement roof, wall cladding, and doors.

Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known

Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other

Details:

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other N/A

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Addison Year: 1981 Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14 Easting: 707828 Northing: 3652387

Legal description (Lot/Block): RENNER ORIGINAL DONATION (CDA), BLK 2, LOT 5 & 6

Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
### 1. Identification

County: Collin  
City: Richardson  
Current name: Resource 50  
Historic name: N/A  
Address: DART railroad line (Cotton Belt Corridor) East of Waterview Drive  
Owner/address: DART-1401 Pacific Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202  
Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______  
Current Designations:  
- NR  
- NR District (is property contributing?)  
- Yes  
- No  
- RTHL  
- HTC  
- SAL  
- Local  
- Other  
Recorded by: Kate Singleton  
Date recorded: 11/28/2012  
General architectural description: Modified wood trestle bridge. The bridge has replacement wood pile bents and replacement concrete bents.

### Outbuildings (Specify number and type):  
- Garage _______  
- Barn _______  
- Shed _______  
- Other N/A  
- Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

### Landscape/site features:  
- Sidewalks  
- Terracing  
- Drives  
- Well/cistern  
- Gardens  
- Other N/A

### 2. Architectural Description

#### Stylistic Influence(s):

- Log Traditional  
- Greek Revival  
- Italianate  
- Second Empire  
- Eastlake  
- Queen Anne  
- Shingle  
- Romanesque Revival  
- Folk Victorian  
- Colonial Revival  
- Renaissance Revival  
- Exotic Revival  
- Gothic Revival  
- Tudor Revival  
- Neo-Classical  
- Beaux Arts  
- Mission  
- Monterey  
- Pueblo Revival  
- Spanish Colonial  
- Prairie  
- Craftsman  
- Art Deco  
- Moderne  
- International  
- Post-war Modern  
- Ranch Style  
- Commercial Style  
- No Style  
- Other _______

#### Structural Details:

#### Roof Type:

- Gable  
- Hipped  
- Gambrel  
- Shed  
- Flat w/parapet  
- Dormers:  
  - gable  
  - hipped  
  - shed  
  - Other N/A  

#### Roof Materials:

- Wood shingles  
- Tile  
- Composition shingles  
- Metal  
- Other N/A  

#### Wall Facade:

- Number of bays  
- Stucco  
- Stone  
- Brick  
- Wood shingle  
- Log  
- Terra Cotta  
- Metal  
- Siding, type  
- Fieldstone veneer  
- Awning(s)  
- Other N/A  

#### Chimneys:

- Specify number(s)  
  - Interior  
  - Exterior  
  - Brick  
  - Stone  
  - With corbelled caps  
  - Stuccoed  
  - Other N/A

#### Windows:

- Fixed  
- Wood sash  
- Double hung  
- Casement  
- Aluminum sash  
- Decorative screenwork  
- Other N/A

#### Doors:

- Single-door primary entrance  
- Double-door primary entrance  
- With transom  
- With sidelights  
- Other N/A

#### Foundation:

- Slab  
- Pier and beam  
- Perimeter wall  
- Other _______

#### Porches:

- Shed roof  
- Hipped roof  
- Gable roof  
- Inset  
- Wood posts  
- Brick piers  
- Box columns  
- Classical columns  
- Tapered box supports  
- Fabricated metal  
- Spindlwork  
- Jig-sawn trim  
- Other N/A

### Stories: N/A  
Basement:  
- None  
- Partial  
- Full  
Dimensions: L _______ x W _______ = Square feet N/A

### 3. Integrity

- Location  
- Design  
- Materials  
- Workmanship  
- Setting  
- Feeling  
- Association
4. Function

Historic Use: [ ] Agriculture [ ] Commerce/trade [ ] Defense [ ] Domestic [ ] Educational [ ] Government [ ] Healthcare
[ ] Industry/processing [ ] Recreation/culture [ ] Religious [ ] Social [ ] Other Transportation/rail-related
Current Use: [ ] Agriculture [ ] Commerce/trade [ ] Defense [ ] Domestic [ ] Educational [ ] Government [ ] Healthcare
[ ] Industry/processing [ ] Recreation/culture [ ] Religious [ ] Social [ ] Vacant [ ] Other Transportation/rail-related

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A Builder: N/A
Construction date: ca. 1940 [ ] Actual [ ] Estimated Source: Regional Rail Right of Way Company (2009)/On-site observation
[ ] Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of bents, abutments, and deck.
[ ] Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: Located on the Cotton Belt Railway between Fort Worth and Plano.

6. Archeology Ground

[ ] Original state [ ] Disturbed Explain: N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known Type: [ ] HABS [ ] Survey [ ] Other
Details:________________________
Accessible to the public: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known Possible threat(s): [ ] None [ ] Damage (i.e. natural disaster) [ ] Neglect
[ ] Development [ ] Major alteration [ ] Relocation [ ] Other ____________________ Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Garland Year: 1973 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 710486 Northing: 3653224
Legal description (Lot/Block): N/A
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
[ ] A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
[ ] B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
[ ] C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
[ ] D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance: __________________________

Period(s) of significance: __________________________

Level of significance: [ ] National [ ] State [ ] Local
Possible NR district: [ ] Yes [ ] No Is property contributing? [ ] Yes [ ] No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) [ ] High [ ] Medium [ ] Low

Explain __________________________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 50, looking west.

Resource 50, looking northeast.
1. Identification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Collin</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Plano</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current name</td>
<td>Resource 51 (Spring Creek Bridge)</td>
<td>Historic name</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td>DART railroad line (Cotton Belt Corridor) North of President George Bush Turnpike and east of Alma Road</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner/address</td>
<td>DART-1401 Pacific Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photo data:</td>
<td>Roll ______ Frame ______ to Roll ______ Frame ______</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Designations</td>
<td>☐ NR ☐ NR District (Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No) ☐ RTHL ☐ HTC ☐ SAL ☐ Local ☐ Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recorded by</td>
<td>Kate Singleton</td>
<td>Date recorded: 11/28/2012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**General architectural description**
The bridge is a Deck Plate Girder Bridge constructed in ca. 1940. This bridge has metal plate walls below the deck, concrete bents, and concrete abutments. The bridge design is commonly used for railroad and highway bridge replacements.

**Outbuildings** (Specify number and type):
- Garage ______
- Barn ______
- Shed ______
- Other N/A

☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

**Landscape/site features:**
- Sidewalks ☐
- Terracing ☐
- Drives ☐
- Well/cistern ☐
- Gardens ☐
- Other N/A

2. Architectural Description

**Stylistic Influence(s):**
- ☐ Log Traditional
- ☐ Greek Revival
- ☐ Italianate
- ☐ Second Empire
- ☐ Eastlake
- ☐ Queen Anne
- ☐ Shingle
- ☐ Romanesque Revival
- ☐ Folk Victorian
- ☐ Colonial Revival
- ☐ Renaissance Revival
- ☐ Exotic Revival
- ☐ Gothic Revival
- ☐ Tudor Revival
- ☐ Neo-Classical
- ☐ Beaux Arts
- ☐ Mission
- ☐ Monterey
- ☐ Pueblo Revival
- ☐ Spanish Colonial
- ☐ Prairie
- ☐ Craftsman
- ☐ Art Deco
- ☐ Moderne
- ☐ International
- ☐ Post-war Modern
- ☐ Ranch Style
- ☐ Commercial Style
- ☐ No Style
- ☐ Other ______

**Structural Details:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roof Type:</th>
<th>☐ Gable</th>
<th>☐ Hipped</th>
<th>☐ Gambrel</th>
<th>☐ Shed</th>
<th>☐ Flat w/parapet</th>
<th>☐ Dormers:</th>
<th>☐ gable</th>
<th>☐ hipped</th>
<th>☐ shed</th>
<th>☐ Other N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wall Facade:</td>
<td>☐ Number of bays</td>
<td>☐ Stucco</td>
<td>☐ Stone</td>
<td>☐ Brick</td>
<td>☐ Wood shingle</td>
<td>☐ Log</td>
<td>☐ Terra Cotta</td>
<td>☐ Metal</td>
<td>☐ Siding, type</td>
<td>☐ Fieldstone veneer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windows:</td>
<td>☐ Fixed</td>
<td>☐ Wood sash</td>
<td>☐ Double hung</td>
<td>☐ Casement</td>
<td>☐ Aluminum sash</td>
<td>☐ Decorative screenwork</td>
<td>☐ Other N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doors:</td>
<td>☐ Single-door primary entrance</td>
<td>☐ Double-door primary entrance</td>
<td>☐ With transom</td>
<td>☐ With sidelights</td>
<td>☐ Other N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chimneys:</td>
<td>☐ Specify number(s)</td>
<td>☐ Interior</td>
<td>☐ Exterior</td>
<td>☐ Brick</td>
<td>☐ Stone</td>
<td>☐ With corbelled caps</td>
<td>☐ Stuccoed</td>
<td>☐ Other N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porches:</td>
<td>☐ Shed roof</td>
<td>☐ Hipped roof</td>
<td>☐ Gable roof</td>
<td>☐ Inset</td>
<td>☐ Wood posts</td>
<td>☐ Brick piers</td>
<td>☐ Box columns</td>
<td>☐ Classical columns</td>
<td>☐ Tapered box supports</td>
<td>☐ Fabricated metal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Stories: | N/A | Basement: ☐ None ☐ Partial ☐ Full | Dimensions: L ______ x W ______ = Square feet N/A |

3. Integrity

☐ Location ☐ Design ☐ Materials ☐ Workmanship ☐ Setting ☐ Feeling ☐ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other
Transportation/rail-related

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other
Transportation/rail-related

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A
Builder: N/A

Construction date: ca. 1940   □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Regional Rail Right of Way Company (2009)/ On-site observation

□ Additions/ modifications, specify dates: N/A
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: Located on the Cotton Belt Railway between Fort Worth and Plano.

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other
Details:

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e., natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other

Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Plano Year: 1973 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 713647 Northing: 3654092
Legal description (Lot/Block): N/A
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:

□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:


Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain

Questions?

Contact survey coordinator

History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation
www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 51, looking northeast.

Resource 51, looking north.
**HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM**

**1. Identification**
- **County**: Collin
- **City**: Plano
- **Current name**: Resource 52
- **Historic name**: N/A
- **Address**: 1000 Avenue F
- **Owner/address**: Eddie Stimpson Jr. Estate/1000 Avenue F, Plano, Texas
- **Photo data**: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______
- **Current Designations**: □ NR □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No) □ RTHL □ HTC □ SAL □ Local □ Other
- **Recorded by**: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown
  **Date recorded**: 11/28/2012

**General architectural description**
The resource is one-story, three bay, has a replacement cross-hipped roof, and an irregular floor plan. The exterior walls are clad with replacement horizontal vinyl siding. The façade exhibits a central inset porch with a single wood post and single-door.

**Outbuildings (Specify number and type):**
- Garage _______ Barn _______ Shed _______ Other N/A
- □ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

**Landscape/site features:**
- □ Sidewalks  □ Terracing  □ Drives  □ Well/cistern  □ Gardens  □ Other _______

**2. Architectural Description**

**Stylistic Influence(s):**
- □ Log Traditional  □ Greek Revival  □ Italianate
- □ Second Empire  □ Eastlake
- □ Queen Anne  □ Shingle  □ Romanesque Revival
- □ Colonial Revival  □ English Revival
- □ Exotic Revival  □ Gothic Revival  □ Tudor Revival
- □ Neo-Classical  □ Mission
- □ Prairie  □ Craftsman  □ Art Deco
- □ Modern  □ Prairie  □ International
- □ Post-war Modern  □ Ranch Style
- □ Commercial Style  □ No Style
- □ Other _______

**Structural Details:**
- **Roof Type:**
  - □ Gable
  - □ Hipped
  - □ Gambrel
  - □ Shed
  - □ Flat w/parapet
  - □ Dormers:
    - □ gable
    - □ hipped
    - □ shed
    - □ Other _______

- **Wall Facade:**
  - □ 3 Number of bays
  - □ Stucco
  - □ Stone
  - □ Brick
  - □ Wood shingle
  - □ Log
  - □ Terra Cotta
  - □ Metal
  - □ Siding, type vinyl
  - □ Fieldstone veneer
  - □ Awning(s)
  - □ Other _______

- **Windows:**
  - □ Fixed
  - □ Wood sash
  - □ Double hung
  - □ Casement
  - □ Aluminum sash
  - □ Decorative screenwork
  - □ Other _______

- **Doors:**
  - □ Single-door primary entrance
  - □ Double-door primary entrance
  - □ With transom
  - □ With sidelights
  - □ Other _______

- **Chimneys:**
  - □ Specify number(s)
  - □ Interior
  - □ Exterior
  - □ Brick
  - □ Stone
  - □ With corbelled caps
  - □ Stuccoed
  - □ Other N/A

- **Roof Materials:**
  - □ Wood shingles
  - □ Tile
  - □ Composition shingles
  - □ Metal
  - □ Other _______

- **Construction:**
  - □ Frame
  - □ Adobe
  - □ Solid brick
  - □ Solid stone
  - □ Other _______

- **Plan:**
  - □ L-plan
  - □ T-plan
  - □ Open
  - □ Modified L-plan
  - □ Center passage
  - □ Bungalow
  - □ Shotgun
  - □ Irregular
  - □ Four Square
  - □ Rectangular
  - □ Other _______

- **Foundation:**
  - □ Slab
  - □ Pier and beam
  - □ Perimeter wall
  - □ Other _______

- **Porches:**
  - □ Shed roof
  - □ Hipped roof
  - □ Gable roof
  - □ Inset
  - □ Wood posts
  - □ Brick piers
  - □ Box columns
  - □ Classical columns
  - □ Tapered box supports
  - □ Fabricated metal
  - □ Spindlwork
  - □ Jig-sawn trim
  - □ Other _______

**Stories**: 1  **Basement**: □ None □ Partial □ Full  **Dimensions**: L _______ x W _______ = Square feet N/A

**3. Integrity**
- □ Location  □ Design  □ Materials  □ Workmanship  □ Setting  □ Feeling  □ Association
4. Function
Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other __________________________
Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other __________________________

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A □ Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1948 □ Actual □ Estimated □ Source: Collin County Appraisal District/On-site observation
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of the roof, door, windows, and wall cladding.
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: Within Douglass Community in Plano, Texas.

6. Archeology Ground
□ Original state □ Disturbed □ Explain N/A
Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known □ Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other ________
Details: __________________________
Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known □ Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other __________________________ □ Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Plano Year: 1973 □ Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 11 Easting: 714339 Northing: 3654932
Legal description (Lot/Block): DAVIS L A (CPL). BLK 3, LOT 16A
Addition: N/A □ Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance: __________________________

Period(s) of significance: __________________________
Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local
Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No □ Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low
Explain __________________________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation
www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 52, looking east.

Resource 52, looking southeast.
1. Identification

County: Collin  
City: Plano

Current name: Resource 53  
Historic name: N/A

Address: 1001 Avenue G

Owner/address: Maria G. Macias/ 1001 Southwestern Ave, Plano, Texas

Photo data: Roll ______ Frame _______ to Roll ______ Frame _______

Current Designations:  
☐ NR  ☐ NR District (Is property contributing?)  ☐ Yes  ☐ No  ☐ RTHL  ☐ HTC  ☐ SAL  ☐ Local  ☐ Other

Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown  
Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: The resource is one-story, two bay, has a pyramidal roof, and a simple floor plan (Foursquare). The exterior walls are clad with replacement horizontal vinyl siding. The façade exhibits an offset single-door flanked by 2/2 double-hung windows.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

Garage ______  
Barn ______  
Shed ______  
Other  N/A

☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:

☐ Sidewalks  ☐ Terracing  ☐ Drives  ☐ Well/cistern  ☐ Gardens  ☐ Other

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):

☐ Log Traditional  
☐ Greek Revival  
☐ Italianate  
☐ Second Empire  
☐ Eastlake  
☐ Queen Anne  
☐ Shingle  
☐ Romanesque Revival  
☐ Folk Victorian  
☐ Colonial Revival  
☐ Renaissance Revival  
☐ Exotic Revival  
☐ Gothic Revival  
☐ Tudor Revival  
☐ Neo-Classical  
☐ Beaux Arts  
☐ Mission  
☐ Monterey  
☐ Pueblo Revival  
☐ Spanish Colonial  
☐ Prairie  
☐ Craftsman  
☐ Art Deco  
☐ Moderne  
☐ International  
☐ Post-war Modern  
☐ Ranch Style  
☐ Commercial Style  
☐ No Style  
☐ Other __________

Structural Details:

Roof Type:

☐ Gable  
☐ Hipped  
☐ Gambrel  
☐ Shed  
☐ Flat w/parapet  
☐ Dormers:  
☐ gable  
☐ hipped  
☐ shed  
☐ Other  Pyramidal

☐ Wood shingles  
☐ Tile  
☐ Composition shingles  
☐ Metal  
☐ Other

Roof Materials:

☐ Wood shingles  
☐ Tile  
☐ Composition shingles  
☐ Metal  
☐ Other

Concentration:

☐ Frame  
☐ Adobe  
☐ Solid brick  
☐ Solid stone  
☐ Other

Wall Facade:

2. Number of bays

☐ Stucco  
☐ Stone  
☐ Brick  
☐ Wood shingle  
☐ Log  
☐ Terra Cotta  
☐ Metal  
☐ Siding, type vinyl  
☐ Fieldstone veneer  
☐ Awning(s)  
☐ Other

Chimneys:

☐ Specify number(s)

☐ Interior  
☐ Exterior  
☐ Brick  
☐ Stone  
☐ With corbeled caps  
☐ Stuccoed  
☐ Other  N/A

Windows:

☐ Fixed  
☐ Wood sash  
☐ Double hung  
☐ Casement  
☐ Aluminum sash  
☐ Decorative screenwork  
☐ Other

Doors:

☐ Single-door primary entrance  
☐ Double-door primary entrance  
☐ With transom  
☐ With sidelights  
☐ Other

Porchs:

☐ Shed roof  
☐ Hipped roof  
☐ Gable roof  
☐ Wood posts  
☐ Brick piers  
☐ Box columns

☐ Classical columns  
☐ Tapered box supports  
☐ Fabricated metal  
☐ Spindework  
☐ Jig-sawn trim  
☐ Other: Wood deck porch

Plan:

☐ L-plan  
☐ 2-room  
☐ T-plan  
☐ Open  
☐ Modified L-plan  
☐ Center passage  
☐ Bungalow  
☐ Shotgun  
☐ Irregular  
☐ Four Square  
☐ Rectangular  
☐ Other

Foundation:

☐ Slab  
☐ Pier and beam  
☐ Perimeter wall  
☐ Other

3. Integrity

☐ Location  
☐ Design  
☐ Materials  
☐ Workmanship  
☐ Setting  
☐ Feeling  
☐ Association

Stories:  
Basement: ☐ None  ☐ Partial  ☐ Full

Dimensions: L ______ x W ______ = Square feet  N/A

N/A
4. Function
Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other ____________________________

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other ____________________________

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1918 □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Collin County Appraisal District/On-site observation
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of the roof, door, windows, and wall cladding.
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: Within Douglass Community in Plano, Texas.

6. Archeology Ground
□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other ____________
Details: ____________________________

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other ____________________________

* Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Plano □ Year: 1973 □ Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: □ Easting: 714385 □ Northing: 3654954
Legal description (Lot/Block): DAVIS L A (CPL), BLK 3, LOT 14 & 15
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance: ____________________________

Period(s) of significance: ____________________________

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local
Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low
Explain ____________________________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation
www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 53, looking northwest.

Resource 53, looking southwest.
HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

1. Identification

County: Collin
City: Plano

Current name: Resource 54
Historic name: N/A

Address: 1009 Avenue G

Owner/address: Mary Pier and Ruth Finley/1009 Avenue G, Plano, Texas

Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______

Current Designations: [ ] NR [ ] NR District (Is property contributing? [ ] Yes [ ] No) [ ] RTHL [ ] HTC [ ] SAL [ ] Local [ ] Other

Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown
Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: The resource one-story, three bay, has a side gabled roof, and a rectangular floor plan. The exterior walls are clad with horizontal vinyl siding. The facade exhibits a centrally located single door entrance with a shed roof porch supported by wood posts.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

Garage _______ Barn _______ Shed _______ Other N/A

[ ] Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:

[ ] Sidewalks [ ] Terracing [ ] Drives [ ] Well/cistern [ ] Gardens [ ] Other _______

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):

[ ] Log Traditional [ ] Greek Revival [ ] Gothic Revival
[ ] Italianate [ ] Romanesque Revival [ ] Tudor Revival
[ ] Second Empire [ ] Victorian [ ] Neo-Classical
[ ] Eastlake [ ] Beaux Arts [ ] Mission
[ ] Queen Anne [ ] Renaissance Revival [ ] Monterey
[ ] Exotic Revival [ ] Moderne [ ] Other _______

[ ] International [ ] Post-war Modern [ ] Ranch Style
[ ] Commercial Style [ ] No Style [ ] Other _______

Structural Details:

Roof Type:

[ ] Gable [ ] Hipped [ ] Gambrel
[ ] Shad [ ] Flat w/parapet [ ] Dormers:
[ ] gable [ ] hipped [ ] shed
[ ] Other _______

[ ] Wood shingles [ ] Tile [ ] Composition shingles
[ ] Metal [ ] Other _______

Wall Facade:

3 ______ Number of bays
[ ] Stucco [ ] Stone
[ ] Brick [ ] Wood shingle
[ ] Log [ ] Terra Cotta
[ ] Metal [ ] Sliding, type vinyl
[ ] Fieldstone veneer [ ] Awning(s)
[ ] Other _______

Windows:

[ ] Fixed [ ] Wood sash
[ ] Double hung [ ] Casement
[ ] Aluminum sash [ ] Decorative screenwork
[ ] Other _______

Doors:

[ ] Single-door primary entrance
[ ] Double-door primary entrance
[ ] With transom
[ ] With sidelights
[ ] Other _______

Chimneys:

[ ] Specify number(s)

[ ] Interior [ ] Exterior
[ ] Brick [ ] Stone
[ ] With corbelled caps [ ] Stuccoed
[ ] Other N/A

Porches:

[ ] Shed roof [ ] Hipped roof
[ ] Gable roof [ ] Inset
[ ] Wood posts [ ] Brick piers
[ ] Box columns [ ] Other _______

Plan:

[ ] L-plan [ ] Open
[ ] T-plan [ ] Center passage
[ ] Modified L-plan [ ] Bungalow
[ ] Shotgun [ ] Irregular
[ ] Four Square [ ] Rectangular
[ ] Other _______

Foundation:

[ ] Slab [ ] Pier and beam
[ ] Perimeter wall [ ] Other _______

Stories: 1 _______ Basement: [ ] None [ ] Partial [ ] Full
Dimensions: L _______ x W _______ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity

[ ] Location [ ] Design [ ] Materials [ ] Workmanship [ ] Setting [ ] Feeling [ ] Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other ________________________________

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other ________________________________

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A Builder: N/A

Construction date: 1945 Actual □ Estimated Source: Collin County Appraisal District/On-site observation
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of the roof, door, windows, and wall cladding
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: Within Douglass Community in Plano, Texas,

6. Archeological Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known

Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other N/A

Details: ________________________________

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other ________________________________

* Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Plano Year: 1973 Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14 Easting: 714399 Northing: 3654999

Legal description (Lot/Block): DAVIS L A (CPL), BLK 3, LOT 11A & 11B

Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:

□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance: ________________________________

Period(s) of significance: ________________________________

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain ________________________________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 54, looking west.

Resource 54, looking northwest.
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

1. Identification
County: Collin
City: Plano
Current name: Resource 55
Historic name: N/A
Address: 1008 Avenue G
Owner/address: Benito and Maria Alvarez/1008 Avenue G, Plano, Texas
Photo data: Roll ______ Frame ______ to Roll ______ Frame ______
Current Designations: ☐ NR ☐ NR District (is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No) ☐ RTHL ☐ HTC ☐ SAL ☐ Local ☐ Other
Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: The resource is one-story, three bay, and has a hipped roof. The building's plan is irregular due to the enclosed porch projecting from the façade and a shed roof addition projecting off of the west elevation. The exterior walls are clad with horizontal vinyl siding.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):
Garage ______ Barn ______ Shed ______ Other N/A
☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:
☐ Sidewalks ☐ Terracing ☐ Drives ☐ Well/cistern ☐ Gardens ☐ Other ______

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):
☐ Log Traditional ☐ Shingle
☐ Greek Revival ☐ Romanesque Revival
☐ Italianate ☐ Folk Victorian
☐ Second Empire ☐ Colonial Revival
☐ Eastlake ☐ Renaissance Revival
☐ Queen Anne ☐ Exotic Revival
☐ Gothic Revival ☐ Tudor Revival
☐ Neo-Classical ☐ Beaux Arts
☐ Mission ☐ Modern
☐ Pueblo Revival ☐ Spanish Colonial
☐ Prairie ☐ Craftsman
☐ Art Deco ☐ Modernist
☐ International ☐ Post-war Modern
☐ Ranch Style ☐ Commercial Style
☐ No Style ☐ Other ______

Structural Details:

Roof Type:
☐ Gable ☐ Hipped ☐ Gambrel
☐ Shed ☐ Flat w/parapet
☐ Dormers: ☐ gable ☐ hipped ☐ shed ☐ Other
☐ Other

Wall Facade:
☐ Number of bays
☐ Stucco ☐ Stone
☐ Brick ☐ Wood shingle
☐ Log ☐ Terra Cotta
☐ Metal ☐ Siding, type vinyl
☐ Fieldstone veneer ☐ AWing(s)
☐ Other

Windows:
☐ Fixed ☐ Wood sash
☐ Double hung ☐ Casement
☐ Aluminum sash ☐ Decorative screenwork
☐ Other ______

Doors:
☐ Single-door primary entrance
☐ Double-door primary entrance
☐ With transom ☐ With sidelights
☐ Other

Chimneys:
☐ Specify number(s)
☐ Interior ☐ Exterior
☐ Brick ☐ Stone
☐ With corbelled caps ☐ Stuccoed
☐ Other N/A

Porches:
☐ Shed roof ☐ Hipped roof
☐ Gable roof ☐ Inset
☐ Wood posts ☐ Brick piers
☐ Box columns
☐ Classical columns ☐ Tapered box supports
☐ Fabricated metal ☐ Spindlework
☐ Jig-sawn trim ☐ Other enclosed

stories: 1 ☐ Basement: ☐ None ☐ Partial ☐ Full
Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity
☐ Location ☐ Design ☐ Materials ☐ Workmanship ☐ Setting ☐ Feeling ☐ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: ☐ Agriculture ☐ Commerce/trade ☐ Defense ☐ Domestic ☐ Educational ☐ Government ☐ Healthcare
☐ Industry/processing ☐ Recreation/culture ☐ Religious ☐ Social ☐ Other

Current Use: ☐ Agriculture ☐ Commerce/trade ☐ Defense ☐ Domestic ☐ Educational ☐ Government ☐ Healthcare
☐ Industry/processing ☐ Recreation/culture ☐ Religious ☐ Social ☐ Vacant ☐ Other

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1913 Actual ☐ Estimated ☐ Source: Collin County Appraisal District/On-site observation
☐ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of the roof, door, windows, and wall cladding and enclosure of porch.
☐ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: Within Douglass Community in Plano, Texas.

6. Archeology Ground

☐ Original state ☐ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known Type: ☐ HABS ☐ Survey ☐ Other
Details:

Accessible to the public: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known Possible threat(s): ☐ None ☐ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) ☐ Neglect
☐ Development ☐ Major alteration ☐ Relocation ☐ Other

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Plano Year: 1973 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 714432 Northing: 3655049
Legal description (Lot/Block): DAVIS L A (CPL), BLK 2, LOT 6
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
☐ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
☐ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
☐ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
☐ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: ☐ National ☐ State ☐ Local
Possible NR district: ☐ Yes ☐ No Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) ☐ High ☐ Medium ☐ Low

Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 55, looking northeast.

Resource 55, looking southeast.
1. Identification

County: Collin
City: Plano
Current name: Resource 56
Historic name: N/A
Address: 1010 Avenue G
Owner/address: Charles Tatum/1010 Avenue G, Plano, Texas

Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______

Current Designations: ☐ NR ☐ NR District (Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No) ☐ RTHL ☐ HTC ☐ SAL ☐ Local ☐ Other

Recorded by: Katie Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown
Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description
The resource is one-story, three bay, has a cross-gabled roof with exposed rafter, and an irregular floor plan.
The exterior walls are clad with replacement horizontal siding. Windows are 2/2 aluminum sash with decorative shutters.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

Garage _____ Barn _____ Shed _____ Other N/A
☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:
☐ Sidewalks ☐ Terracing ☐ Drives ☐ Well/cistern ☐ Gardens ☐ Other

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):
☐ Log Traditional ☐ Greek Revival ☐ Italianate ☐ Second Empire
☐ Eastlake ☐ Queen Anne ☐ Shingle ☐ Romanesque Revival ☐ Folk Victorian ☐ Colonial Revival ☐ Renaissance Revival ☐ Exotic Revival
☐ Gothic Revival ☐ Tudor Revival ☐ Neo-Classical ☐ Beaux Arts ☐ Mission ☐ Monterey
☐ Pueblo Revival ☐ Spanish Colonial ☐ Prairie ☐ Craftsman ☐ Art Deco ☐ Moderne
☐ International ☐ Post-war Modern ☐ Ranch Style ☐ Commercial Style ☐ No Style ☐ Other

Structural Details:

Roof Type:
☐ Gable ☐ Hipped ☐ Gambrel ☐ Shed ☐ Flat w/parapet ☐ Dormers: ☐ gable ☐ hipped ☐ shed ☐ Other

Roof Materials:
☐ Wood shingles ☐ Tile ☐ Composition shingles ☐ Metal ☐ Other

Wall Facade:
3. Number of bays
☐ Stucco ☐ Stone ☐ Brick ☐ Wood shingle ☐ Log ☐ Terra Cotta ☐ Metal
☐ Siding, type vinyl ☐ Fieldstone veneer ☐ Awning(s) ☐ Other

Windows:
☐ Fixed ☐ Wood sash ☐ Double hung ☐ Casement ☐ Aluminum sash ☐ Decorative screenwork ☐ Other

Doors:
☐ Single-door primary entrance ☐ Double-door primary entrance ☐ With transom ☐ With sidelights ☐ Other

Chimneys:
☐ Specify number(s)
☐ Interior ☐ Exterior ☐ Brick ☐ Stone ☐ With corbeled caps ☐ Stuccoed ☐ Other N/A

Porches:
☐ Shed roof ☐ Hipped roof ☐ Gable roof ☐ Inset ☐ Wood posts ☐ Brick piers ☐ Box columns
☐ Classical columns ☐ Tapered box supports ☐ Fabricated metal ☐ Spindles ☐ Jig-sawn trim ☐ Other

Construction:
☐ Frame ☐ Adobe ☐ Solid brick ☐ Solid stone ☐ Other

Stories: 1 ☐ Basement: ☐ None ☐ Partial ☐ Full
Dimensions: L ______ x W ______ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity
☐ Location ☐ Design ☐ Materials ☐ Workmanship ☐ Setting ☐ Feeling ☐ Association
4. Function
Historic Use: ☐ Agriculture ☐ Commerce/trade ☐ Defense ☐ Domestic ☐ Educational ☐ Government ☐ Healthcare
☐ Industry/processing ☐ Recreation/culture ☐ Religious ☐ Social ☐ Other
☐ Industry/processing ☐ Recreation/culture ☐ Religious ☐ Social ☐ Vacant ☐ Other

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A
Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1928 ☑ Actual ☐ Estimated
Source: Collin County Appraisal District/On-site observation
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of the roof sheathing, door, windows, and wall cladding.
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: Within Douglass Community in Plano, Texas.

6. Archaeology Ground
☐ Original state ☐ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known ☐ Type: ☐ HABS ☐ Survey ☐ Other_______
Details: ____________________________

Accessible to the public: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known Possible threat(s): ☐ None ☐ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) ☐ Neglect
☐ Development ☐ Major alteration ☐ Relocation ☐ Other ____________________________
* Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Plano
Year: 1973
Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14
Easting: 714435
Northing: 3655060

Legal description (Lot/Block): DAVIS LA (CPL), BLK 2, LOT 7
Addition: N/A
Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
☐ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
☐ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
☐ C. Embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
☐ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance: ____________________________

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: ☐ National ☐ State ☐ Local

Possible NR district: ☐ Yes ☐ No Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) ☐ High ☐ Medium ☐ Low
Explain ____________________________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 56, looking northeast.

Resource 56, looking east.
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

1. Identification

County: Collin
City: Plano

Current name: Resource 57
Historic name: N/A

Address: 1012 Avenue G

Owner/address: Cora Baker, 1012 Avenue G, Plano, Texas

Photo data: Roll _____ Frame _____ to Roll _____ Frame _____

Current Designations: ☐ NR ☐ NR District (Is property contributing?) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ RTHL ☐ HTC ☐ SAL ☐ Local ☐ Other

Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown
Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: The resource is one-story, three bay, has a hipped roof with exposed rafters, and simple floor plan.

The exterior walls are clad with replacement horizontal siding and windows throughout the building are replacement 2/2 aluminum sash.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

Garage _____ Barn _____ Shed _____ Other N/A

☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify: N/A

Landscape/site features:

☐ Sidewalks ☐ Terracing ☐ Drives ☐ Well/cistern ☐ Gardens ☐ Other ______

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):

☐ Log Traditional ☐ Shingle
☐ Greek Revival ☐ Romanesque Revival
☐ Italianate ☐ Folk Victorian
☐ Second Empire ☐ Colonial Revival
☐ Eastlake ☐ Renaissance Revival
☐ Queen Anne ☐ Exotic Revival
☐ Gothic Revival ☐ Tudor Revival
☐ Neo-Classical ☐ Beaux Arts
☐ Mission ☐ Monterey
☐ Pueblo Revival ☐ Spanish Colonial
☐ Prairie ☐ Craftsman
☐ Art Deco ☐ Moderne
☐ International ☐ Post-war Modern
☐ Ranch Style ☐ Commercial Style
☐ No Style ☐ Other ______

Structural Details:

Roof Type:

☐ Gable
☐ Hipped
☐ Gambrel
☐ Shed
☐ Flat w/parapet
☐ Dormers:
  ☐ gable
  ☐ hipped
  ☐ shed
  ☐ Other ______

Wall Facade:

☐ Stucco
☐ Stone
☐ Brick
☐ Wood shingle
☐ Log
☐ Terra Cotta
☐ Metal
☐ Siding, type vinyl
☐ Fieldstone veneer
☐ Awning(s)
☐ Other ______

Windows: [Check all that apply]

☐ Fixed
☐ Wood sash
☐ Double hung
☐ Casement
☐ Aluminum sash
☐ Decorative screenwork
☐ Other ______

Doors:

☐ Single-door primary entrance
☐ Double-door primary entrance
☐ With transom
☐ With sidelights
☐ Other ______

Chimneys:

☐ Interior
☐ Exterior
☐ Brick
☐ Stone
☐ With corbelled caps
☐ Stuccoed
☐ Other N/A

Specify number(s)

Porches:

☐ Shed roof
☐ Hipped roof
☐ Gable roof
☐ Inset
☐ Wood posts
☐ Brick piers
☐ Box columns
☐ Classical columns
☐ Tapered box supports
☐ Fabricated metal
☐ Spindles
☐ Jig-sawn trim
☐ Other ______

Porch enclosed porch

Stories: 1
Basement: ☐ None ☐ Partial ☐ Full
Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity

☐ Location ☐ Design ☐ Materials ☐ Workmanship ☐ Setting ☐ Feeling ☐ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: [ ] Agriculture [ ] Commerce/trade [ ] Defense [ ] Domestic [ ] Educational [ ] Government [ ] Healthcare
[ ] Industry/processing [ ] Recreation/culture [ ] Religious [ ] Social [ ] Other

Current Use: [ ] Agriculture [ ] Commerce/trade [ ] Defense [ ] Domestic [ ] Educational [ ] Government [ ] Healthcare
[ ] Industry/processing [ ] Recreation/culture [ ] Religious [ ] Social [ ] Vacant [ ] Other

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A  Builder: N/A

Construction date: 1928  Actual □ Estimated □ Source: Collin County Appraisal District/On-site observation

[ ] Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of the roof sheathing, door, windows, and wall cladding.
[ ] Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: Within Douglass Community in Plano, Texas.

6. Archeology Ground

[ ] Original state [ ] Disturbed  Explain: N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known

Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known  Type: [ ] HABS [ ] Survey [ ] Other:

Details:

Accessible to the public: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known  Possible threat(s): [ ] None [ ] Damage (i.e. natural disaster) [ ] Neglect
[ ] Development [ ] Major alteration [ ] Relocation [ ] Other:

* Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Plano  Year: 1973  Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14  Easting: 714434  Northing: 3655072

Legal description (Lot/Block): DAVIS LA (CPL), BLK 2, LOT 8

Addition: N/A  Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
[ ] A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
[ ] B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
[ ] C. Embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
[ ] D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance: ________________________________

Period(s) of significance: ________________________________

Level of significance: [ ] National [ ] State [ ] Local

Possible NR district: [ ] Yes [ ] No  Is property contributing? [ ] Yes [ ] No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) [ ] High [ ] Medium [ ] Low

Explain ________________________________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 57, looking northeast.

Resource 57, looking southeast.
1. Identification

County: Collin  
City: Plano

Current name: Resource 58  
Historic name: N/A

Address: 1029 Southwestern Avenue

Owner/address: Kuswanto Husen/ 1029 Southwestern Avenue, Plano, Texas

Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______

Current Designations:  No NR  No NR District (Is property contributing? Yes No)  No RTHL  No HTC  No SAL  No Local  No Other

Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown  
Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: The resource is one-story, three bay, has a side gabled roof, and irregular plan due to enclosed porch.

The exterior walls are clad with replacement painted wood panels and replacement horizontal vinyl siding.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

Garage _____ Barn _____ Shed _____ Other N/A

☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:

☐ Sidewalks  ☐ Terracing  ☐ Drives  ☐ Well/cistern  ☐ Gardens  ☐ Other _______

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):

☐ Log Traditional  ☐ Greek Revival  ☐ Italianate  ☐ Second Empire  ☐ Eastlake  ☐ Queen Anne

☐ Shingle  ☐ Romanesque Revival  ☐ Folk Victorian  ☐ Colonial Revival  ☐ Renaissance Revival  ☐ Exotic Revival

☐ Gothic Revival  ☐ Tudor Revival  ☐ Neo-Classical  ☐ Beaux Arts  ☐ Mission  ☐ Monterey

☐ Pueblo Revival  ☐ Spanish Colonial  ☐ Prairie  ☐ Craftsman  ☐ Art Deco  ☐ Moderne

☐ International  ☐ Post-war Modern  ☐ Ranch Style  ☐ Commercial Style  ☐ No Style  ☐ Other _______

Structural Details:

Roof Type:

☐ Gable  ☐ Hipped  ☐ Gambrel  ☐ Shed  ☐ Flat w/parapet  ☐ Dormers:

☐ gable  ☐ hipped  ☐ shed  ☐ Other _______

☐ Wood shingles  ☐ Composition shingles  ☐ Metal  ☐ Other _______

Roof Materials:

Wall Facade:

☐ 3___ Number of bays

☐ Stucco  ☐ Stone  ☐ Brick  ☐ Wood shingle  ☐ Log  ☐ Terra Cotta  ☐ Metal

☐ Siding, type vinyl

☐ Fieldstone veneer  ☐ Awning(s)  ☐ Other wood panels

Windows:

☐ Fixed  ☐ Wood sash  ☐ Double hung  ☐ Casement  ☐ Aluminum sash  ☐ Decorative screenwork

☐ Other _______

Doors:

☐ Single-door primary entrance  ☐ Double-door primary entrance  ☐ With transom  ☐ With sidelights  ☐ Other _______

Chimneys:

☐ Specify number(s)

☐ Interior  ☐ Exterior  ☐ Brick  ☐ Stone  ☐ With corbelled caps  ☐ Stuccoed  ☐ Other N/A

☐ Box columns

Porches:

☐ Shed roof  ☐ Hipped roof  ☐ Gable roof  ☐ Inset  ☐ Wood posts  ☐ Brick piers

☐ Box columns

☐ Classical columns  ☐ Tapered box supports  ☐ Fabricated metal  ☐ Spindledowork  ☐ Jig-sawn trim  ☐ Other enclosed porch

Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity

☐ Location  ☐ Design  ☐ Materials  ☐ Workmanship  ☐ Setting  ☐ Feeling  ☐ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: [ ] Agriculture [ ] Commerce/trade [ ] Defense [ ] Domestic [ ] Educational [ ] Government [ ] Healthcare  
[ ] Industry/processing [ ] Recreation/culture [ ] Religious [ ] Social [ ] Other __________________________

Current Use: [ ] Agriculture [ ] Commerce/trade [ ] Defense [ ] Domestic [ ] Educational [ ] Government [ ] Healthcare  
[ ] Industry/processing [ ] Recreation/culture [ ] Religious [ ] Social [ ] Vacant [ ] Other __________________________

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A  
Builder: N/A  
Construction date: 1923  
[ ] Actual [ ] Estimated  
Source: Collin County Appraisal District/On-site observation  
Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of the roof sheathing, door, windows, and wall cladding.  
[ ] Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A  
Other associated contexts and information of interest: Within Douglass Community in Plano, Texas.

6. Archeology Ground

[ ] Original state [ ] Disturbed  
Explain: N/A  
Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known  
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known  
Type: [ ] HABS [ ] Survey [ ] Other________________________
Details: __________________________

Accessible to the public: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known  
Possible threat(s): [ ] None [ ] Damage (i.e. natural disaster) [ ] Neglect  
[ ] Development [ ] Major alteration [ ] Relocation [ ] Other __________________________  
Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Plano  
Year: 1973  
Map scale: 1:24,000  
UTM zone: 14  
Easting: 714485  
Northing: 3655099  
Legal description (Lot/Block): DAVIS LA (CPL), BLK 2, LOT 3  
Addition: N/A  
Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
[ ] A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;  
[ ] B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;  
[ ] C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;  
[ ] D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;  
Areas of significance: __________________________

Period(s) of significance: __________________________

Level of significance: [ ] National [ ] State [ ] Local

Possible NR district: [ ] Yes [ ] No  
Is property contributing? [ ] Yes [ ] No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) [ ] High [ ] Medium [ ] Low  
Explain: __________________________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator  
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission  
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation
www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 58, looking northwest.
**TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION**

**HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM**

1. **Identification**
   - County: Collin
   - City: Plano
   - Current name: Resource 59
   - Historic name: N/A
   - Address: 1033 Southwestern Avenue
   - Owner/address: Vera and Ocie Hamilton/ 1033 Southwestern Avenue, Plano, Texas
   - Photo data: Roll ______ Frame ______ to Roll ______ Frame ______
   - Current Designations: [ ] NR [ ] NR District (is property contributing? [ ] Yes [ ] No) [ ] RTHL [ ] HTC [ ] SAL [ ] Local [ ] Other
   - Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown
   - Date recorded: 11/28/2012

**General architectural description** The resource is one-story, three bay, has a gabled roof, and irregular plan due to shed roof addition on south elevation. The exterior walls of the building are clad with vertical wood board. Windows throughout the building are replacement 1/1 aluminum sash.

**Outbuildings** (Specify number and type):
   - Garage ______ Barn ______ Shed ______ Other ______ N/A
   - □ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

**Landscape/site features:**
   - [ ] Sidewalks [ ] Terracing [ ] Drives [ ] Well/cistern [ ] Gardens [ ] Other

2. **Architectural Description**

   **Stylistic Influence(s):**
   - □ Log Traditional
   - □ Greek Revival
   - □ Italianate
   - □ Romanesque Revival
   - □ Second Empire
   - □ Colonial Revival
   - □ Eastlake
   - □ Victorian
   - □ Queen Anne
   - □ Renaissance Revival
   - □ Exotic Revival
   - □ Gothic Revival
   - □ Tudor Revival
   - □ Neo-Classical
   - □ Beaux Arts
   - □ Mission
   - □ Monterey
   - □ Adobe
   - □ Pueblo Revival
   - □ Spanish Colonial
   - □ Prairie
   - □ Craftsman
   - □ Art Deco
   - □ Modern
   - □ International
   - □ Post-war Modern
   - □ Ranch Style
   - □ Commercial Style
   - □ No Style
   - □ Other

**Structural Details:**

   **Roof Type:**
   - Gable
   - Hipped
   - Gambrel
   - Shed
   - Flat w/parapet
   - Dormers:
     - gable
     - hipped
     - shed
     - Other

   **Wall Facade:**
   - 3 Number of bays
   - □ Stucco
   - □ Stone
   - □ Brick
   - □ Wood shingle
   - □ Log
   - □ Terra Cotta
   - □ Metal
   - □ Siding, type ______
   - □ Fieldstone veneer
   - □ Awning(s)
   - □ Other wood panels
   - □ Specify number(s)

   **Windows:**
   - □ Fixed
   - □ Wood sash
   - □ Double hung
   - □ Casement
   - □ Aluminum sash
   - □ Decorative screenwork
   - □ Other

   **Doors:**
   - □ Single-door primary entrance
   - □ Double-door primary entrance
   - □ With transom
   - □ With sidelights
   - □ Other

   **Chimneys:**
   - □ Interior
   - □ Exterior
   - □ Brick
   - □ Stone
   - □ With corbelled caps
   - □ Stuccoed
   - □ Other ______

**Construction:**

   **Roof Materials:**
   - □ Wood shingles
   - □ Tile
   - □ Composition shingles
   - □ Metal
   - □ Other

   **Porches:**
   - □ Shed roof
   - □ Hipped roof
   - □ Gable roof
   - □ Inset
   - □ Wood posts
   - □ Brick piers
   - □ Box columns

   **Dimensions:**
   - L ______ x W ______ = Square feet ______

   **Foundation:**
   - □ Slab
   - □ Pier and beam
   - □ Perimeter wall
   - □ Other

3. **Integrity**
   - □ Location
   - □ Design
   - □ Materials
   - □ Workmanship
   - □ Setting
   - □ Feeling
   - □ Association
4. Function
Historic Use: ☐ Agriculture ☐ Commerce/trade ☐ Defense ☐ Domestic ☐ Educational ☐ Government ☐ Healthcare
☐ Industry/processing ☐ Recreation/culture ☐ Religious ☐ Social ☐ Other

Current Use: ☐ Agriculture ☐ Commerce/trade ☐ Defense ☐ Domestic ☐ Educational ☐ Government ☐ Healthcare
☐ Industry/processing ☐ Recreation/culture ☐ Religious ☐ Social ☐ Vacant ☐ Other

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1923 Actual ☐ Estimated ☐ Source: Collin County Appraisal District/On-site observation
Additions/modifications, specify dates: Addition of south addition and replacement of the roof sheathing, doors, and windows.
☑ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: Within Douglass Community in Plano, Texas.

6. Archaeology Ground
☐ Original state ☐ Disturbed ☐ Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known Type: ☐ HABS ☐ Survey ☐ Other
Details:
Accessible to the public: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known Possible threat(s): ☐ None ☐ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) ☐ Neglect
☐ Development ☐ Major alteration ☐ Relocation ☐ Other
Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Plano Year: 1973 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 714483 Northing: 3655113
Legal description (Lot/Block): DAVIS L A (CPL), BLK 2, Lot 1B & 2A
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
☐ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
☐ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
☐ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
☐ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;
Areas of significance: ____________________________

Period(s) of significance: ____________________________
Level of significance: ☐ National ☐ State ☐ Local
Possible NR district: ☐ Yes ☐ No Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) ☐ High ☐ Medium ☐ Low
Explain ____________________________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 59, looking northwest.

Resource 59, looking southwest.
**TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION**

**HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM**

1. Identification

County: Collin  
City: Plano

Current name: Resource 60  
Historic name: N/A

Address: 1101 Avenue H

Owner/address: Arthur Williams/ 1101 Avenue H, Plano, Texas

Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______

Current Designations:  
☐ NR  
☐ NR District (Is property contributing)?  
☐ Yes  
☐ No  
☐ RTHL  
☐ HTC  
☐ SAL  
☐ Local  
☐ Other

Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown  
Date recorded: 11/28/2012

**General architectural description** The resource is one-story, two bay, has a side gabled roof, and rectangular floor plan. The exterior walls are clad with replacement brick and the windows throughout the building are replacement 2/2 aluminum sash.

**Outbuildings (Specify number and type):**

Garage _____ Barn _____ Shed _____ Other N/A

☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

**Landscape/site features:**

☐ Sidewalks  
☐ Terracing  
☐ Drives  
☐ Well/cistern  
☐ Gardens  
☐ Other

2. Architectural Description

**Stylistic Influence(s):**

☐ Log Traditional  
☐ Greek Revival  
☐ Italianate  
☐ Second Empire  
☐ Eastlake  
☐ Queen Anne  
☐ Shingle  
☐ Romanesque Revival  
☐ Folk Victorian  
☐ Colonial Revival  
☐ Renaissance Revival  
☐ Exotic Revival  
☐ Gothic Revival  
☐ Tudor Revival  
☐ Neo-Classical  
☐ Beaux Arts  
☐ Mission  
☐ Monterey  
☐ Pueblo Revival  
☐ Spanish Colonial  
☐ Prairie  
☐ Craftsman  
☐ Art Deco  
☐ Moderne  
☐ International  
☐ Post-war Modern  
☐ Ranch Style  
☐ Commercial Style  
☐ No Style  
☐ Other

**Structural Details:**

**Roof Type:**

☐ Gable  
☐ Hipped  
☐ Gambrel  
☐ Shed  
☐ Flat w/parapet  
☐ Dormers:  
☐ gable  
☐ hipped  
☐ shed  
☐ Other

**Roof Materials:**

☐ Wood shingles  
☐ Tile  
☐ Composition shingles  
☐ Metal  
☐ Other

**Construction:**

☐ Frame  
☐ Adobe  
☐ Solid brick  
☐ Solid stone  
☐ Other

**Wall Facade:**

☐ Stucco  
☐ Stone  
☐ Brick  
☐ Wood shingle  
☐ Log  
☐ Terra Cotta  
☐ Metal  
☐ Siding, type  
☐ Fieldstone veneer  
☐ Awning(s)  
☐ Other

**Windows:**

☐ Fixed  
☐ Wood sash  
☐ Double hung  
☐ Casement  
☐ Aluminum sash  
☐ Decorative screenwork  
☐ Other

**Doors:**

☐ Single-door primary entrance  
☐ Double-door primary entrance  
☐ With transom  
☐ With sidelights  
☐ Other

**Chimneys:**

☐ Specify number(s)

☐ Interior  
☐ Exterior  
☐ Brick  
☐ Stone  
☐ With corbelled caps  
☐ Stuccoed  
☐ Other N/A

**Porches:**

☐ Shed roof  
☐ Hipped roof  
☐ Gable roof  
☐ Inset  
☐ Wood posts  
☐ Brick piers  
☐ Box columns

**Foundation:**

☐ Slab  
☐ Pier and beam  
☐ Perimeter wall  
☐ Other

**Plan:**

☐ L-plan  
☐ 2-room  
☐ T-plan  
☐ Open  
☐ Modified L-plan  
☐ Center passage  
☐ Bungalow  
☐ Shotgun  
☐ Irregular  
☐ Four Square  
☐ Rectangular  
☐ Other

**Dimensions:**

L ____ x W ____ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity

☐ Location  
☐ Design  
☐ Materials  
☐ Workmanship  
☐ Setting  
☐ Feeling  
☐ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare □ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other


5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A  Builder: N/A

Construction date: 1948  Actual □ Estimated □ Source: Collin County Appraisal District/On-site observation

Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of the roof, doors, windows, and wall cladding.

Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: Within Douglass Community in Plano, Texas.

6. Archeology Ground

Original state □ Disturbed □ Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known

Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known  Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other

Details: N/A

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known  Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect □ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other

Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Plano  Year: 1973  Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14  Easting: 714488  Northing: 3655145

Legal description (Lot/Block): DAVIS LA (CPL), BLK 2, Lot 48 & 5

Addition: N/A  Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:

□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;

□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;

□ C. Embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;

□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No  Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain

Questions?

Contact survey coordinator

History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission

at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

Texas Historical Commission

The State Agency for Historic Preservation

www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 60, looking west.

Resource 60, looking northwest.
1. Identification

County: Collin  City: Plano
Current name: Resource 61 (Plano, Old City Cemetery/Pioneer Cemetery)  Historic name: Old City Cemetery
Address: 1000 Avenue H
Owner/address: Davis Cemetery/1000 Avenue H, Plano, Texas
Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______
Current Designations:  □ NR  □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes  □ No)  □ RTHL  □ HTC  □ SAL  □ Local  □ Other
Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown  Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: Burial dates within the cemetery range from 1881 to ca. 2009. In general, the cemetery exhibits a variety of headstones including those made of marble, granite, and wood. Some graves are known to have been relocated to other cemeteries.

Outbuildings: (Specify number and type):
Garage _______ Barn _______ Shed _______ Other N/A
□ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:
[ ] Sidewalks  [ ] Terracing  [ ] Drives  [ ] Well/cistern  [ ] Gardens  [ ] Other _______

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):
□ Log Traditional  □ Shingle  □ Gothic Revival  □ Pueblo Revival  □ International
□ Greek Revival  □ Romanesque Revival  □ Tudor Revival  □ Spanish Colonial  □ Post-war Modern
□ Italianate  □ Folk Victorian  □ Neo-Classical  □ Prairie  □ Ranch Style
□ Second Empire  □ Colonial Revival  □ Beaux Arts  □ Craftsman  □ Commercial Style
□ Eastlake  □ Renaissance Revival  □ Mission  □ Art Deco  □ No Style
□ Queen Anne  □ Exotic Revival  □ Monterey  □ Moderne  □ Other _______

Structural Details:

Roof Type:
□ Gable  □ Hipped  □ Gambrel  □ Shed  □ Flat w/parapet  □ Dormers:
□ gable  □ hipped  □ shed  □ Other N/A  □ Other N/A
□ Wood shingles  □ Tile  □ Composition shingles  □ Metal  □ Other N/A

Roof Materials:
□ Number of bays
□ Stucco  □ Stone  □ Brick  □ Wood shingle  □ Log  □ Terra Cotta  □ Metal
□ Siding, type:
□ Fieldstone veneer  □ Awning(s)  □ Other N/A

Construction:
□ Frame  □ Adobe  □ Solid brick  □ Solid stone  □ Other N/A

Wall Facade:
□ Fixed  □ Wood sash  □ Double hung  □ Casement  □ Aluminum sash  □ Decorative screenwork
□ Other N/A

Windows:
□ Single-door primary entrance  □ Double-door primary entrance  □ With transom  □ With sidelights
□ Other N/A

Doors:
□ Slab  □ Pier and beam  □ Perimeter wall  □ Other _______

Chimneys:
□ Specify number(s)
□ Interior  □ Exterior  □ Brick  □ Stone  □ With corbelled caps  □ Stuccoed  □ Other N/A

Porches:
□ Shed roof  □ Hipped roof  □ Gable roof  □ Inset  □ Wood posts  □ Brick piers  □ Box columns
□ Classical columns  □ Tapered box supports  □ Fabricated metal  □ Spindlwork
□ Jig-sawn trim  □ Other N/A

Stories: N/A  Basement: □ None  □ Partial  □ Full  Dimensions: L ______ x W ______ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity

[ ] Location  [ ] Design  [ ] Materials  [ ] Workmanship  [ ] Setting  [ ] Feeling  [ ] Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other □ Funerary/cemetery

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other □ Funerary/cemetery

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A Builder: N/A

Construction date: 1881-2009 □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Plano Heritage Committee

□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: N/A

□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: Within Douglass Community in Plano, Texas.

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain: N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known

Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other

Details:

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect

□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other

Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Plano Year: 1973 Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14 Easting: 714543 Northing: 3655159

Legal description (Lot/Block): DAVIS LA (CPL), LOT 1, 1.024 ACRES

Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:

□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;

□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;

□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;

□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:


Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain


Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 61, looking northeast.

Resource 61, looking north.
**TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION**

**HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM**

1. **Identification**
   - County: Collin  
   - City: Plano
   - Current name: Resource 62  
   - Historic name: N/A
   - Address: 1101 Avenue I
   - Owner/address: Mozelle Brooks/1101 Avenue I, Plano, Texas
   - Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______
   - Current Designations: □ NR  □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No)  □ RTHL □ HTC □ SAL □ Local □ Other
   - Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown  
   - Date recorded: 11/28/2012

**General architectural description**
The resource is one-story, three bay, has a replacement cross-hipped roof, and an irregular floor plan.

**Outbuildings** (Specify number and type):
- Garage _______ Barn _______ Shed _______ Other N/A
- □ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

**Landscape/site features:**
- □ Sidewalks □ Terracing □ Drives □ Well/cistern □ Gardens □ Other _______

2. **Architectural Description**

   **Stylistic Influence(s):**
   - □ Log Traditional  □ Greek Revival  □ Italianate  □ Second Empire  □ Eastlake  □ Queen Anne
   - □ Shingle  □ Romanesque Revival  □ Folk Victorian  □ Colonial Revival  □ Victorian Revival  □ Neo-Classical
   - □ Colonial Revival  □ Beaux Arts  □ Mission  □ Mission Revival  □ Pueblo Revival
   - □ Tudor Revival  □ Mission Revival  □ Spanish Colonial  □ Prairie
   - □ Craftsman  □ Art Deco  □ Moderate  □ No Style
   - □ International  □ Ranch Style  □ Commercial Style

   **Structural Details:**
   - □ Roof Type: Gable □ Hipped □ Gambrel □ Shed □ Flat w/parapet □ Dormers:
     - □ gable □ hipped □ shed □ Other _______
   - □ Roof Materials: Wood shingles □ Tile □ Composition shingles
     - □ Metal _______ □ Other _______
   - □ Construction: Frame □ Adobe □ Solid brick □ Solid stone □ Other _______

   **Wall Facade:**
   - □ Number of bays _______  □ Stucco □ Stone □ Brick □ Wood shingle □ Log □ Terra Cotta
     - □ Metal □ Siding, type: vinyl □ Fieldstone veneer □ Awning(s) □ Other _______

   **Windows:**
   - □ Fixed □ Wood sash □ Double hung □ Casement □ Aluminum sash □ Decorative screenwork
     - □ Decorative screenwork □ Other _______

   **Doors:**
   - □ Single-door primary entrance □ Double-door primary entrance
     - □ With transom □ With sidelights □ Other _______

   **Chimneys:**
   - □ Specify number(s) _______

   **Porches:**
   - □ Shed roof □ Hipped roof □ Gable roof □ Inset
     - □ Wood posts □ Brick piers □ Box columns
     - □ Classical columns □ Tapered box supports
     - □ Fabricated metal □ Spindles □ Jig-sawn trim

   **Plan:**
   - □ L-plan □ 2-room □ T-plan □ Open
     - □ Modified L-plan □ Center passage □ Bungalow □ Shotgun
     - □ Irregular □ Four Square □ Rectangular □ Other _______

   **Foundation:**
   - □ Slab □ Pier and beam □ Perimeter wall □ Other _______

3. **Integrity**
   - □ Location □ Design □ Materials □ Workmanship □ Setting □ Feeling □ Association

   **Stories:** 1  
   - **Basement:** □ None □ Partial □ Full
   - **Dimensions:** L _______ x W _______ = Square feet N/A
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other ____________________________

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other ____________________

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A         Builder: N/A

Construction date: 1940  □ Actual □ Estimated  Source: Collin County Appraisal District/On-site observation

□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of the roof, doors, windows, and wall cladding.
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: Within Douglass Community in Plano, Texas.

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed  Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known

Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known  Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other ______

Details: ________________________________

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known  Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other ____________________________  * Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Plano    Year: 1973  Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14     Easting: 714642  Northing: 3655245

Legal description (Lot/Block): PLANO ORIGINAL DONATION (CPL), BLK 18, LOT 12C

Addition: N/A  Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance: ________________________________

Period(s) of significance: ________________________________

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No  Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain: ________________________________

Questions?

Contact survey coordinator

History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission

at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.
Resource 62, looking northwest.

Resource 62, looking west.
1. Identification

County: Collin
City: Plano
Resource: 63
Historic name: N/A
Address: 1105 Avenue I
Owner/address: Harold Morley / 1105 Avenue I, Plano, Texas

Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______

Current Designations: □ NR □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No) □ RTHL □ HTC □ SAL □ Local □ Other
Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: The resource is one-story, three bays, has a gable roof, and a rectangular floor plan. The exterior walls are clad with replacement horizontal siding and windows throughout the building are replacement 2/2 double-hung. The facade exhibits a full width porch.

Outbuildings: (Specify number and type):

Garage _______ Barn _______ Shed _______ Other N/A
☐ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:
☐ Sidewalks ☐ Terracing ☐ Drives ☐ Well/cistern ☐ Gardens ☐ Other _______

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):
☐ Log Traditional ☐ Shingle ...........................................................................................................
☐ Greek Revival ☐ Romanesque Revival ......................................................................................
☐ Italianate ☐ Folk Victorian .........................................................................................................
☐ Second Empire ☐ Colonial Revival ..........................................................................................
☐ Eastlake ☐ Renaissance Revival ...................................................................................................
☐ Queen Anne ☐ Exotic Revival .....................................................................................................
☐ Gothic Revival ☐ Mission ☐ Monterey....................................................................................
☐ Pueblo Revival ☐ Spanish Colonial ..........................................................................................
☐ Tudor Revival ☐ Neo-Classical ..................................................................................................
☐ Italianate ☐ Chef’s Tol ................................................................................................................
☐ Post-war Modern ☐ Ranch Style ..................................................................................................
☐ Arch Modern Style .....................................................................................................................
☐ No Style ........................................................................................................................................
☐ Other _______

Structural Details:

Roof Type:
☐ Gable ☐ Hipped ☐ Gambrel ☐ Shed ☐ Flat w/parapet ☐ Dormer:
☐ gable ☐ hipped ☐ shed ☐ Other _______

Wall Facade:
□ Stucco ☐ Stone ☐ Brick ☐ Wood shingle ☐ Log ☐ Terra Cotta ☐ Metal ☐ Siding, type vinyl
□ Fieldstone veneer ☐ Awning(s) ☐ Other _______

Windows:
□ Fixed ☐ Wood sash ☐ Double hung ☐ Casement ☐ Aluminum sash ☐ Decorative screenwork
□ Other _______

Doors:
□ Single-door primary entrance ☐ Double-door primary entrance
□ With transom ☐ With sidelights ☐ Other _______

Foundation:
□ Slab ☐ Pier and beam ☐ Perimeter wall ☐ Other _______

3. Integrity

☐ Location ☐ Design ☐ Materials ☐ Workmanship ☐ Setting ☐ Feeling ☐ Association

Stories: 1
Basement: □ None □ Partial □ Full
Dimensions: L _______ x W _______ = Square feet N/A
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense ■ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other ________

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense ■ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other ________

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A Builder: N/A

Construction date: 1940 □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Collin County Appraisal District/On-site observation
□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement of the roof, doors, windows, and wall cladding.
□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: Within Douglass Community in Plano, Texas.

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other________
Details: ________

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other ________ * Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Plano Year: 1973 Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14 Easting: 714542 Northing: 3655284

Legal description (Lot/Block): PLANO ORIGINAL DONATION (CPL), BLK 18, LOT 10
Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:

□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Domains of significance:

________________________

________________________

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain ________

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

[Logo: Texas Historical Commission]
Resource 63, looking northwest.

Resource 63, looking west.
**TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION**

**HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM**

1. **Identification**
   - County: Collin
   - City: Plano
   - Address: 901 10th Street
   - Owner/address: Tenth Street Industries LP, 901 10th Street, Plano, Texas
   - Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______
   - Current Designations: □ NR □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No) □ RTHL □ HTC □ SAL □ Local □ Other
   - Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown
   - Date recorded: 11/28/2012

2. **General Architectural Description**
   - The resource is a two-story, flat roofed, brick clad industrial building. The primary entrance is located at the building's cross section and consists of a corrugated metal shed roof and double glass-door entrance. Windows are narrow paired fixed glass.

3. **Outbuildings**
   - Specify number and type:
     - Garage: _______ Barn: _______ Shed: _______ Other: N/A
     - □ Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify: N/A

4. **Landscape/site features:**
   - □ Sidewalks □ Terracing □ Drives □ Well/cistern □ Gardens □ Other _______

5. **2. Architectural Description**
   - **Stylistic Influence(s):**
     - □ Log Traditional □ Greek Revival □ Italianate □ Second Empire □ Eastlake □ Queen Anne
     - □ Shingle □ Romanesque Revival □ Folk Victorian □ Colonial Revival □ Renaissance Revival □ Exotic Revival
     - □ Gothic Revival □ Tudor Revival □ Neo-Classical □ Beaux Arts □ Mission □ Monterey
     - □ Pueblo Revival □ Spanish Colonial □ Prairie □ Mission □ Moderne
     - □ International □ Post-war Modern □ Ranch Style □ Commercial Style □ No Style
     - □ Other _______

   - **Structural Details:**
     - **Roof Type:**
       - □ Gable □ Hipped □ Gambrel □ Shed □ Flat w/parapet □ Dormers:
         - □ gable □ hipped □ shed □ Other _______
     - **Roof Materials:**
       - □ Wood shingles □ Tile □ Composition shingles □ Metal □ Other N/A
     - **Construction:**
       - □ Frame □ Adobe □ Solid brick □ Solid stone □ Other _______
       - □ Number of bays □ Exterior □ Brick □ Stone □ With corbelled caps □ Stuccoed □ Other N/A
     - **Wall Facade:**
       - □ Stucco □ Stone □ Brick □ Wood shingle □ Log □ Terra Cotta □ Metal □ Siding, type _______
       - □ Fieldstone veneer □ Awning(s) □ Other _______
     - **Windows:**
       - □ Fixed □ Wood sash □ Double hung □ Casement □ Aluminum sash □ Decorative screenwork □ Other _______
       - □ Single-door primary entrance □ Double-door primary entrance □ With transom □ With sidelights □ Other _______
       - □ Shed roof □ Hipped roof □ Gable roof □ Inset □ Wood posts □ Brick piers □ Box columns
       - □ Classical columns □ Tapered box supports □ Fabricated metal □ Spandrel □ Jig-sawn trim □ Other: N/A

   - **Stories:** 2 _______ Basements: □ None □ Partial □ Full

   - **Dimensions:** L _______ x W _______ = Square feet N/A

3. **3. Integrity**
   - □ Location □ Design □ Materials □ Workmanship □ Setting □ Feeling □ Association
4. Function

Historic Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Other

Current Use: □ Agriculture □ Commerce/trade □ Defense □ Domestic □ Educational □ Government □ Healthcare
□ Industry/processing □ Recreation/culture □ Religious □ Social □ Vacant □ Other

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A Builder: N/A

Construction date: 1955 □ Actual □ Estimated Source: Collin County Appraisal District/On-site observation

□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Additions to east, west, south, and southwest.

□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? □ Yes □ No □ Not known

Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? □ Yes □ No □ Not known Type: □ HABS □ Survey □ Other

Details:

Accessible to the public: □ Yes □ No □ Not known Possible threat(s): □ None □ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) □ Neglect
□ Development □ Major alteration □ Relocation □ Other

*Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Pano Year: 1973 Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14 Easting: 715208 Northing: 3655493

Legal description (Lot/Block): BIRGE (CPL), LOT TRACT 2, 4.658 ACRES

Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
□ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
□ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
□ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
□ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:


Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: □ National □ State □ Local

Possible NR district: □ Yes □ No Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) □ High □ Medium □ Low

Explain

Questions?

Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation
www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 64, looking northwest.
HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

1. Identification

County: Collin
City: Plano

Current name: Resource 65
Historic name: N/A

Address: 1302 13th Street
Owner/address: Shuffer Enterprises LLC/1304 13th St., Plano, Texas

Photo data: Roll _______ Frame _______ to Roll _______ Frame _______
Current Designations: [ ] NR [ ] NR District (Is property contributing? [ ] Yes [ ] No) [ ] RTHL [ ] HTC [ ] SAL [ ] Local [ ] Other
Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown
Date recorded: 11/28/2012

General architectural description: The resource is a two-story, gambrel roofed, corrugated metal commercial building. The resource has a semi-cylindrical form and is a variation of or modified Quonset Hut.

Outbuildings (Specify number and type):

Garage [ ] Barn [ ] Shed [ ] Other N/A

[ ] Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

Landscape/site features:

[ ] Sidewalks [ ] Terracing [ ] Drives [ ] Well/cistern [ ] Gardens [ ] Other _______

2. Architectural Description

Stylistic Influence(s):

[ ] Log Traditional [ ] Shingle
[ ] Greek Revival [ ] Romanesque Revival
[ ] Italianate [ ] Folk Victorian
[ ] Second Empire [ ] Colonial Revival
[ ] Eastlake [ ] Renaissance Revival
[ ] Queen Anne [ ] Exotic Revival
[ ] Gothic Revival [ ] Tudor Revival
[ ] Neo-Classic [ ] Beaux Arts
[ ] Mission [ ] Monterey
[ ] Pueblo Revival [ ] Spanish Colonial
[ ] Prairie [ ] Craftsman
[ ] Mission [ ] Art Deco
[ ] Moderne [ ] International
[ ] Post-war Modern [ ] Ranch Style
[ ] Commercial Style [ ] No Style
[ ] Other _______

Structural Details:

Roof Type:

[ ] Gable [ ] Hipped
[ ] Gambrel [ ] Shed
[ ] Flat w/parapet [ ] Dormers:
[ ] gable [ ] hipped
[ ] shed [ ] Other _______

Wall Facade:

2 ______ Number of bays
[ ] Stucco [ ] Stone
[ ] Brick [ ] Wood shingle
[ ] Log [ ] Terra Cotta
[ ] Metal [ ] Siding, type
[ ] Fieldstone veneer [ ] Awning(s)
[ ] Other _______

Windows:

[ ] Fixed [ ] Wood sash
[ ] Double hung [ ] Casement
[ ] Aluminum sash [ ] Decorative screenwork
[ ] Other _______

Doors:

[ ] Single-door primary entrance [ ] Double-door primary entrance
[ ] With transom [ ] With sidelights
[ ] Other _______

Chimneys:

Specify number(s): _______

[ ] Interior [ ] Exterior
[ ] Brick [ ] Stone
[ ] With corbelled caps [ ] Stuccoed
[ ] Other N/A _______

Shed roof [ ] Hipped roof
Gable roof [ ] Inset
Wood posts [ ] Brick piers
Box columns [ ] Classical columns
Tapered box supports [ ] Fabricated metal
Spindles [ ] Jig-sawn trim
[ ] Other N/A _______

3. Integrity

[ ] Location [ ] Design [ ] Materials [ ] Workmanship [ ] Setting [ ] Feeling [ ] Association
4. Function

Historic Use:
- Agriculture
- Commerce/trade
- Defense
- Domestic
- Educational
- Government
- Healthcare
- Industry/processing
- Recreation/culture
- Religious
- Social
- Other

Storage/Warehouse

Current Use:
- Agriculture
- Commerce/trade
- Defense
- Domestic
- Educational
- Government
- Healthcare
- Industry/processing
- Recreation/culture
- Religious
- Social
- Vacant
- Other

Specialty Store

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A

Builder: N/A

Construction date: ca. 1945

Actual: N/A

Estimated: N/A

Source: Collin County Appraisal District/On-site observation

Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement door and windows.

N/A

Relocated, specify former location and reason:

Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground

Original state: No

Disturbed: Yes

Explain: N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? Yes

No

Not known

Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? Yes

No

Not known

Type: HABS

Survey

Other

Details: N/A

Accessible to the public: Yes

No

Not known

Possible threat(s):

- None
- Damage (i.e. natural disaster)
- Neglect
- Development
- Major alteration
- Relocation
- Other

Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #:

Plano

Year: 1973

Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14

Easting: 715206

Northing: 3655493

Legal description (Lot/Block):

Oglesby Place, Blk D, Lot 6r, 4.4751 Acres; Replat

Addition: N/A

Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:

- A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
- B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
- C. Embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
- D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance:

National

State

Local

Possible NR district:

Yes

No

Is property contributing?

Yes

No

10. Priority

(See manual for definitions.)

High

Medium

Low

Questions?

Contact survey coordinator

History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission

at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us
Resource 65, looking southeast.
**TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION**

**HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM**

1. **Identification**

   - County: Collin
   - City: Plano
   - Current name: Resource 66
   - Historic name: N/A
   - Address: 1304 13th Street
   - Owner/address: Shaffer Enterprises LLC/1304 13th St., Plano, Texas
   - Photo data: Roll _____ Frame _____ to Roll _____ Frame _____
   - Current Designations: □ NR □ NR District (Is property contributing? □ Yes □ No) □ RTNL □ HTC □ SAL □ Local □ Other
   - Recorded by: Kate Singleton and Deborah Dobson-Brown
   - Date recorded: 11/28/2012

2. **General Architectural Description**

   The resource is a one-story corrugated metal industrial building. The resource appears to have been constructed as two separate buildings, one half with a side gable roof and the other half with a shed roof.

   **Outbuildings** (Specify number and type):
   - Garage _____ Barn _____ Shed _____ Other N/A
   - Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A

3. **Landscape/site features**:

   - Sidewalks □ Terracing □ Drives □ Well/cistern □ Gardens □ Other □

**2. Architectural Description**

**Stylistic Influence(s):**

- Log Traditional
- Greek Revival
- Italianate
- Second Empire
- Eastlake
- Queen Anne
- Shingle
- Romanesque Revival
- Folk Victorian
- Colonial Revival
- Renaissance Revival
- Exotic Revival
- Gothic Revival
- Tudor Revival
- Neo-Classical
- Beaux Arts
- Mission Revival
- Monterey
- Pueblo Revival
- Spanish Colonial
- Prairie
- Craftsman
- Art Deco
- Moderne
- International
- Post-war Modern
- Ranch
- Commercial Style
- No Style
- Other

**Structural Details:**

- **Roof Type:**
  - Gable
  - Hipped
  - Gambrel
  - Shed
  - Flat w/parapet
  - Dormers: □ gable □ hipped □ shed □ Other □

- **Wall Facade:**
  - 2 Number of bays
  - Stucco
  - Stone
  - Brick
  - Wood shingle
  - Log
  - Terra Cotta
  - Metal
  - Siding, type □
  - Fieldstone veneer
  - Awning(s) □
  - Other □

- **Chimneys:**
  - Specify number(s): □ Interior □ Exterior □ Brick □ Stone □ With corbeled caps □ Stuccoed □ Other N/A

- **Roof Materials:**
  - Wood shingles □
  - Tile □
  - Composition shingles □
  - Metal □
  - Other □

- **Construction:**
  - Frame □
  - Adobe □
  - Solid brick □
  - Solid stone □
  - Other □

- **Windows:**
  - Fixed □
  - Wood sash □
  - Double hung □
  - Casement □
  - Aluminum sash □
  - Decorative screenwork □
  - Other N/A □

- **Doors:**
  - Single-door primary entrance □
  - Double-door primary entrance □
  - With transom □
  - With sidelights □
  - Other □

- **Foundation:**
  - Slab □
  - Pier and beam □
  - Perimeter wall □
  - Other □

- **Porch:**
  - Shed roof □
  - Hipped roof □
  - Gable roof □
  - Inset □
  - Wood posts □
  - Brick piers □
  - Box columns □

- **Classical columns □
  - Tapered box supports □
  - Fabricated metal □
  - Spindles □
  - Jig-sawn trim □
  - Other □

**Stories:** 1 □

**Basement:** □ None □ Partial □ Full

**Dimensions:** L _____ x W _____ = Square feet N/A

3. **Integrity**

- Location □
- Design □
- Materials □
- Workmanship □
- Setting □
- Feeling □
- Association □
4. Function


Current Use: ☐ Agriculture ☐ Commerce/trade ☐ Defense ☐ Domestic ☐ Educational ☐ Government ☐ Healthcare ☐ Industry/processing ☐ Recreation/culture ☐ Religious ☐ Social ☐ Storage/Warehouse ☐ Vacant ☐ Other

5. Architectural History

Architect: N/A Builder: N/A

Construction date: ☐ ca. 1945 ☐ Actual ☐ Estimated Source: Collin County Appraisal District/On-site observation

□ Additions/modifications, specify dates: Replacement doors.

□ Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A

□ Other associated contexts and information of interest: N/A

6. Archeology Ground

□ Original state □ Disturbed Explain N/A

Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known Details: N/A

7. Other Information

Is prior documentation available for this resource? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known Type: ☐ HABS ☐ Survey ☐ Other Details: N/A

Accessible to the public: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not known Possible threat(s): ☐ None ☐ Damage (i.e. natural disaster) ☐ Neglect ☐ Development ☐ Major alteration ☐ Relocation ☐ Other Details: N/A

* Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information

USGS quad #: Plano Year: 1973 Map scale: 1:24,000

UTM zone: 14 Easting: 715206 Northing: 3655493

Legal description (Lot/Block): Oglesby Place, Blk D, Lot 6r, 4.4751 Acres, Replat

Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance

Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:

☐ A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;

☐ B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;

☐ C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;

☐ D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: ☐ National ☐ State ☐ Local

Possible NR district: ☐ Yes ☐ No Is property contributing? ☐ Yes ☐ No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) ☐ High ☐ Medium ☐ Low

Explain

Questions:

Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation

www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 66, looking south.

Resource 66, looking north.
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION

HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY FORM

1. Identification
   County: Collin
   City: Plano
   Current name: Resource 67
   Historic name: N/A
   Address: DART railroad line (Cotton Belt Corridor) North of Technology Dr., East of Jupiter Rd.
   Owner/address: DART-1401 Pacific Avenue, Dallas, Texas, 75202
   Photo data: Roll ______ Frame ______ to Roll ______ Frame ______
   Current Designations: [ ] NR [ ] NR District (Is property contributing? [ ] Yes [ ] No) [ ] RTHL [ ] HTC [ ] SAL [ ] Local [ ] Other
   Recorded by: Kate Singleton
   Date recorded: 11/28/2012
   General architectural description: The structure is a single double barrel concrete culvert constructed in 1951. The structure has a winged concrete headwall and two double sloped barrels. A date stamp “1951” is located at the top of the headwall.
   Outbuildings (Specify number and type):
   Garage [ ] Barn [ ] Shed [ ] Other N/A
   [ ] Archeological evidence of outbuildings, specify N/A
   Landscape/site features:
   [ ] Sidewalks [ ] Terracing [ ] Drives [ ] Well/cistern [ ] Gardens [ ] Other N/A

2. Architectural Description
   Stylistic Influence(s):
   [ ] Log Traditional [ ] Greek Revival [ ] Italianate
   [ ] Romanesque Revival [ ] Folk Victorian [ ] Neo-Classical
   [ ] Colonial Revival [ ] Beaux Arts [ ] Craftsman
   [ ] Renaissance Revival [ ] Mission [ ] Art Deco
   [ ] Exotic Revival [ ] Monterey [ ] Moderne
   [ ] International [ ] Post-war Modern
   [ ] Ranch Style [ ] Commercial Style
   [ ] No Style [ ] Other ______
   Structural Details:
   Roofs:
   [ ] Gable [ ] Hipped [ ] Gambrel [ ] Shed
   [ ] Flat w/parapet [ ] Dormers: [ ] gable [ ] hipped [ ] shed [ ] Other N/A
   Wall Façade:
   Number of bays: [ ] Stucco [ ] Stone [ ] Brick
   [ ] Wood shingle [ ] Log [ ] Terra Cotta [ ] Metal
   Siding, type: [ ] Fieldstone veneer [ ] Awnings(s) [ ] Other N/A
   Windows:
   [ ] Fixed [ ] Wood sash [ ] Double hung [ ] Casement
   [ ] Aluminum sash [ ] Decorative screenwork [ ] Other N/A
   Doors:
   [ ] Single-door primary entrance with transom [ ] Double-door primary entrance with transom
   [ ] With sidelights [ ] Other N/A
   Chimneys:
   [ ] Specify number(s)
   [ ] Interior [ ] Exterior [ ] Brick
   [ ] Stone [ ] With corbeled caps [ ] Stuccoed [ ] Other N/A
   Plan:
   [ ] L-plan [ ] 2-room [ ] T-plan [ ] Open
   [ ] Modified L-plan [ ] Center passage [ ] Bungalow
   [ ] Shotgun [ ] Irregular [ ] Four Square [ ] Rectangular
   [ ] Other N/A
   Porches:
   [ ] Shed roof [ ] Hipped roof [ ] Gable roof [ ] Inset
   [ ] Wood posts [ ] Brick piers [ ] Box columns [ ] Classical columns
   [ ] Tapered box supports [ ] Fabricated metal [ ] Spindlery
   [ ] Jig-saw trim [ ] Other N/A
   Stories: N/A
   Basement: [ ] None [ ] Partial [ ] Full
   Dimensions: L ______ x W ______ = Square feet N/A

3. Integrity
   [ ] Location [ ] Design [ ] Materials [ ] Workmanship [ ] Setting [ ] Feeling [ ] Association
4. Function
Historic Use: [ ] Agriculture [ ] Commerce/trade [ ] Defense [ ] Domestic [ ] Educational [ ] Government [ ] Healthcare
[ ] Industry/processing [ ] Recreation/culture [ ] Religious [ ] Social [ ] Other [ ] Transportation/rail-related
Current Use: [ ] Agriculture [ ] Commerce/trade [ ] Defense [ ] Domestic [ ] Educational [ ] Government [ ] Healthcare
[ ] Industry/processing [ ] Recreation/culture [ ] Religious [ ] Social [ ] Vacant [ ] Other [ ] Transportation/rail-related

5. Architectural History
Architect: N/A Builder: N/A
Construction date: 1951 [ ] Actual [ ] Estimated Source: Date Stamp
[ ] Additions/modifications, specify dates: N/A
[ ] Relocated, specify former location and reason: N/A
Other associated contexts and information of interest: Located on the Cotton Belt Railway between Fort Worth and Plano.

6. Archeology Ground
[ ] Original state [ ] Disturbed Explain N/A
Is a State Archeological Survey Form available for this site? [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known
Details: N/A

7. Other Information
Is prior documentation available for this resource? [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known Type: [ ] HABS [ ] Survey [ ] Other
Details: 
Accessible to the public: [ ] Yes [ ] No [ ] Not known Possible threat(s): [ ] None [ ] Damage (i.e. natural disaster) [ ] Neglect
[ ] Development [ ] Major alteration [ ] Relocation [ ] Other
Note: Also see Endangered Historic Property Identification Form

8. Geographic Information
USGS quad #: Plano Year: 1973 Map scale: 1:24,000
UTM zone: 14 Easting: 707828 Northing: 3652387
Legal description (Lot/Block): N/A Addition: N/A Year of addition: N/A

9. Significance
Applicable National Register (NR) criteria:
[ ] A. Associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad pattern of our history;
[ ] B. Associated with the lives of persons significant in our past;
[ ] C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction or represents the work of a master, or possesses high artistic value, or represents a significant and distinguishable entity whose components lack individual distinction;
[ ] D. Has yielded, or is likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history;

Areas of significance:

Period(s) of significance:

Level of significance: [ ] National [ ] State [ ] Local
Possible NR district: [ ] Yes [ ] No Is property contributing? [ ] Yes [ ] No

10. Priority (See manual for definitions.) [ ] High [ ] Medium [ ] Low
Explain

Questions?
Contact survey coordinator
History Programs Division, Texas Historical Commission
at 512/463-5853 or history@thc.state.tx.us.

Texas Historical Commission
The State Agency for Historic Preservation
www.thc.state.tx.us
Resource 67, looking southwest.